MATERIAL REVIEWED AT CIA HEADQUARTERS BY
HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS STAFF MEMBERS

FILE TITLE/NUMBER/VOLUME: WIROGUE
201-233477
VOL. I

INCLUSIVE DATES:

CUSTODIAL UNIT/LOCATION:

ROOM:

DELETIONS, IF ANY:

DATE RECEIVED	DATE RETURNED	REVIEWED BY (PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL
27 JULY 78		DAN HARDWAY	Dan Hardway
27 JULY 78		ED LOPEZ	Edwin Juan Lopez

NO DOCUMENTS MAY BE COPIED OR REMOVED FROM THIS FILE

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

211 LB/WCJ
14 APR 59

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING
1 DOB 4
2 DOB 5
3 6

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM: PARIS

ACTION: SR 6* (TEMPO TO SR AT 1150, 14 APR)

INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, FI/D 3, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

APR 14 1636Z 59

OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

IN 44422

TO DIR INFO FRAN FROB CITE PARI 5705

RE FROB 3977 (IN 42861)**

1. FRIGANZA PARI AWAITING HQS DECISION AEASPIC.

2. REQUESTS CONCURRENCE PARA 3** REF. REGRETS NOTE URGENCY BUT IN CIRCUMSTANCES SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT MANAGE WITHOUT EARLY DECISION.

3. WE NEED SAFEHOUSE SUBJ USING AND CONCUR THERE DEFINITE SECURITY AND ARREST RISKS IF HE QUARTERED OTHER THAN IN SAFEHOUSE.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: *ACTION UNIT DETERMINED AS THOUGH INDICATOR REDWOOD USED.

**IF HQS CONCURRED FRAN WOULD MOVE SUBJ TO PBPRIME VIA FRAN. GAVE DETAILS OF CROSSING FRANCO-GERMAN BORDER.

Answered by cable dated 3 14 Apr 59 expedite OP Immediate
MR

15 APR 1959

SR/DOB
14 APR 1959

201-233477

S-E-C-R-E-T

GEHEIM

GEHEIMHALTUNGSVERPFLICHTUNG

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

EINVERSTÄNDNISERKLÄRUNG

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

1. Ich bin mir der Tatsache bewusst, dass mir kraft des zwischen mir und der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten bestehenden Verhältnisses gewisse Information zukommen wird, und dass diese Information selbst oder die aus ihr möglichen Folgerungen der art sein werden, dass ihre rechtswidrige Enthüllung oder unvorsichtige Behandlung die Interessen und möglicherweise die Sicherheit der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika ungünstig berühren könnte.

2. Ich werde stets die überragenden Interessen der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten an Information anerkennen, die ich infolge von mit Sondervertretern der Regierung geführten Gesprächen oder von an mich durch Regierungs-Vertreter gestellten Ersuchen diesem Einverständnis gemäss besitze oder erwerbe.

3. Ich gebe mein feierliches Wort, niemals Information oder Kenntnis der oben angegebenen Art durch Rede, Betragen oder irgendein anderes Mittel bekannt zu machen, zu veröffentlichen oder zu enthüllen, es sei denn, dass ich besondere Ermächtigung dazu erhalt.

4. Ich verpflichte mich niemandem mitzuteilen, dass ich dieses Verhältnis mit der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten eingehe, es sei denn, dassich besondere Ermächtigung dazu erhalte.

5. Ich verpflichte mich, über keinerlei Personen, mit denen ich im Rahmen dieses Verhältnisses mit der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten gesprochen habe, mit Namensnennung oder anderweitig zu sprechen.

Unterschrift	Unterschrift
....	David [illegible]
Vertreter der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten	
(Datum)..	(Datum) 29 April 1959

GEHEIM

201-233477

GEHEIM

GEHEIMHALTUNGSVERPFLICHTUNG

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

EINVERSTÄNDNISERKLÄRUNG

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

1. Ich bin mir der Tatsache bewusst, dass mir kraft des zwischen mir und der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten bestehenden Verhältnisses gewisse Information zukommen wird, und dass diese Information selbst oder die aus ihr möglichen Folgerungen der art sein werden, dass ihre rechtswidrige Enthüllung oder unvorsichtige Behandlung die Interessen und möglicherweise die Sicherheit der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika ungünstig berühren könnte.

2. Ich werde stets die überragenden Interessen der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten an Information anerkennen, die ich infolge von mit Sondervertretern der Regierung geführten Gesprächen oder von an mich durch Regierungs-Vertreter gestellten Ersuchen diesem Einverständnis gemäss besitze oder erwerbe.

3. Ich gebe mein feierliches Wort, niemals Information oder Kenntnis der oben angegebenen Art durch Rede, Betragen oder irgendein anderes Mittel bekannt zu machen, zu veröffentlichen oder zu enthüllen, es sei denn, dass ich besondere Ermächtigung dazu erhalte.

4. Ich verpflichte mich niemandem mitzuteilen, dass ich dieses Verhältnis mit der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten eingehe, es sei denn, dass ich besondere Ermächtigung dazu erhalte.

5. Ich verpflichte mich, über keinerlei Personen, mit denen ich im Rahmen dieses Verhältnisses mit der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten gesprochen habe, mit Namensnennung oder anderweitig zu sprechen.

Unterschrift	Unterschrift
....	David [illegible]
Vertreter der Regierung der Vereinigten Staaten	
(Datum)..	(Datum) 09 April 1959

GEHEIM

The United States Government, as represented by the Contracting Officer, hereby contracts with you for the submission of certain information and related directed services of a confidential nature under the following terms and conditions:

1. Compensation. In consideration of the submission of such information and related directed services, you will be paid $500.00 per month until the activity is completed, a period to approximate 6 months. Of this amount:

$450.00 per month to be held in escrow pending your departure to and return from such activity.

$ 50.00 per month to be paid directly to you for personal expenses.

2. Bonus. A bonus to be paid contingent upon the comparative success of the mission, the amount of the bonus to be determined at a later date by the Contracting Officer, if the Contractee is chosen to perform the task assigned.

3. Termination. In the event the United States Government terminates this activity or your connection with same, sooner than originally proposed, through no fault of yours, you will receive all monies held in escrow and a satisfactory resettlement will be effected as per prior oral agreement with the United States Government.

However, if for any reason traceable to you, such as making yourself unavailable for proper services or training, you fail to consummate the terms of our understanding, you will not receive monies held in escrow, your salary will be immediately terminated and you will be resettled in a place in accordance with above mentioned prior agreement without further compensation or assistance.

4. Secrecy Clause. You will be required to keep forever secret the contract and all information which you may obtain by reason hereof (unless released in writing by the Government from such obligation), with full knowledge that violation of such secrecy may subject you to criminal prosecution under the Espionage Laws dated 25 June 1948, as amended, and other applicable laws and regulations.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

BY ______________________
Contracting Officer

ACCEPTED:

____

WITNESS: ______________________

APPROVED:

____

201-233477

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

485 JM/DLD

DATE 26 MAR 59

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

TO DIRECTOR

FROM PARIS

ACTION SR 6

INFO CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2, DPD 4

MAR 27 0238Z 59

ROUTINE

IN 37247

TO DIR INFO FRAN FROB CITE PARI 5504

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE AEASPIC SECUR

1. RE SUBJECT LCFLUTTER, AEASPIC OK FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE, MINOR EXAGGERATIONS LIFE HISTORY.

2. SUBJECT INSTRUCTED RETURN AMBERT, PUT AFFAIRS IN ORDER, RETURN PARI 6 APRIL WHEN ROSTEDT INTERVIEW SCHEDULED. HE WILL BE PREPARED DEPART BUT NO REPORT NO COMMITMENT MADE.

(REPEAT)

3. FYI: SUBJECT WITHOUT ANY VALID DOCUMENT. ERGO, TRAVEL SOMEWHAT RISKY AND CAN NOT STAY HOTELS. IF DISAPPEARANCE NOTICED SUBJECT COULD NOT SAFELY STAY CHEZ ANNICK. KEEPING HIM SAFEHOUSE AWKWARD BUT POSSIBLE IF NOT PROLONGED AND ALSO NOT RISKLESS. YOU MAY WISH ALERT HBELECTOR FOR TRIP FRAN IMMEDIATELY AFTER ROSTEDT INTERVIEW.

END OF MESSAGE

27 MAR 1959

201-233477

S-E-C-R-E-T

625 IPI/333/... 53

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

ORIG H. PAL
UNIT SR/DOB
EXT 8331
DATE 20 Mar 59

TO PARIS FRANKFURT

FROM DIRECTOR

CONF SR 6

INFO CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

ROUTING			
1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

MAR 20 23 21:59

REC'D CABLE SECT.

DEFERRED | ROUTINE | X PRIORITY | OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE | INITIALS

OUT 84802

TO PARI INFO FRAN FROB CITE DIR 18040

18040

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE PARI 5469 (IN ~~XXXX~~ 34410)

Mr. PAUL BELKIN SABENA

1. ~~OPERATOR~~ ETA PARIS ~~SAS~~ FLT 633 LEBOURGET FIELD 1310 MARCH ~~23~~ 22.

2. PLS ARRANGE MEET ASSIST CLEARANCE CUSTOMS OF SPECIAL EQUIPMENT.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: REQUESTED HQS SEND OPERATOR FOR LCFLUTTER OF AEASPIC.

20 MAR 1959

WE/3 R...

C/SR
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

SR/COP
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

201-233477

14-00000

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

DATE: 189 ES/JO/JO/
20 MAR 59

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM: PARIS

ACTION: SR 6

INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

ROUTING
1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	
3		6	

MAR 20 1802Z 59

PRIORITY

IN 34410

TO DIR INFO FRAN FROB CITE PARI 5409

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE DIR 17554 (OUT 83806)*

1. FRIGANZA CONTACTED AEASPIC IN AMBERT. PURSUADED HIM COME PARIS WITHOUT POLICE PERMISSION. HE ARRIVING EVENING 21 MARCH. CAN REMAIN TWO OR THREE DAYS.

2. HAVE SECURE SITE HOUSE AND TEST AGENT.

3. SEND OPERATOR.

END OF MESSAGE.

CS COMMENT: *CONCERNED LCFLUTTER FOR AEASPIC.

Paul Belkin
Lv. N.Y. Sabena 4:15 p.m.
FL# 546
Dep Brus 12:00
Arr Paris Fl# 633
Le Bourget 13:10

Priority cable dispatched
Thru SR/COP 20 Mar
give ETD Paris for Paul B

SR/DOB
20 MAR 1959

201-233477

S-E-C-R-E-T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. Copy No.

14-00000

578 SE/LSB/~~LSB~~/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ORIG George Fill
UNIT SR/DOB
EXT 8331
DATE ~~18 March 1959~~

TO PARIS FRANKFURT
FROM: DIRECTOR
CONF: SR 6
INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2
FI, FI/OPS

ROUTING 1 2 3 4 5 6

DEFERRED MAR 18 21 36 Z 59
ROUTINE RC'D CABLE SECT.
X PRIORITY
OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

OUT 83806
OUT 83806

TO PARI INFO FROB FRAN CITE DIR 17554
17554

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF FROB 3763 (IN 33235) *
PARI 5377 (IN 32810)

1. HQS DELAYED LCFLUTTER OPERATOR TRAVEL SINCE DO NOT DESIRE LCFLUTTER AEASPIC UNLESS ASSURED CONTROLLED CONDITIONS AVAILABLE

2. SUGGEST FRIGANZA VISIT AEASPIC AND

A. DETERMINE IF HE CAN COME PARI PRIOR 10 APRIL, OR

B. ASSURE HQS SECURE SITE AVAILABLE VICINITY AMBERT.

3. LCFLUTTER OPERATOR AVAILABLE DEPART ON 24 HOUR NOTICE.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: * CONCERNED PLANNED LCFLUTTER OF AEASPIC.

SR/2
SR/3
SR/ECOP/FI
IRD By phone C. Phalen
WE/3
EE/G

20 MAR 1959

C/WE
for ERIC W. TIMM
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

S-E-C-R-E-T

C/SR/DOB
MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

 Copy No.

201-235477

14-00000

S/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

Crookham

S-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

March 1959

FRANKFURT

DIRECTOR

DEFERRED

ROUTINE

PRIORITY

OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

SR 4, CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, S/C 2

OUT 53332

FROB INFO FRAN CITE DIR 17342

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF A. FROB 3658 (IN 29383)
B. FROB 3708 (IN 30930)
C. FROB 3697 (IN 30482)

1. SCHEDULING HEELECTOR CIRCA 20 APRIL FOR ALL SUITABLE CANDIDATES WHO SHOULD BROUGHT FRAN SUFFICIENT TIME DISCUSSION WITH ROSTEDT AND PROCESSING FOR DEPARTURE.

2. ROSTEDT WILL DISCUSS MOVEMENT AND NUMBER NECESSARY ESCORT PERSONNEL UPON ARRIVAL FRAN 3 APRIL.

3. UPON COMPLETION LCFLUTTER OF AEASPIC ROSTEDT DESIRES TALK TO HIM IN PARIS WITH BRIGANZA PRIOR MOVEMENT FROM FRANCE.

4. AEASPIC, AESATCHEL AND AENUTMEG 3 ONLY CANDIDATES DOCUMENTED HQS FOR TRAVEL THIS TIME. AWAITING BIO DATA AND ASSESSMENT AENUTMEG 8.

END OF MESSAGE

A. RECOMMENDS FRAN C/OS ACCOMPANY AGENTS IN TRAVEL TO U.S.
B. REQUESTS ROSTEDT ARRIVE FRAN EARLY TO ASSIST ASSESSMENTS.
C. ASKS DATE AENUTMEG 8 SHOULD ARRIVE FRAN FOR ASSESSMENT.

ASS/DPD coordinated by phone

SR/3

SR/COP/FI

C/SR/COP

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

C/SR/DOB

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER MICHAEL KAL...

S-E-C-R-E-T

Copy No.

201-233477

14-00000

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S E C R E T

DATE : [illegible]

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/[illegible], FI, FI/CPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

ROUTING

1	DaB	4	B
2	DaB	5	3
3		6	2

IN 33235

TO PRITY DIR INFO FRAN PRITY PARI CITE FRAN [illegible]

RE: PARI 5377 (IN 32510)

1. RE PARA 3: AGREE PRESUMPTION.

2. PLAN RENT CAR, DRIVE TO AEASPIC AND LCFLUTTER HIM VICINITY AMBERT.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: *ACTION UNIT DETERMINED AS THOUGH INDICATOR REDWOOD USED.

**ANTICIPATED AEASPIC WHO FOR ADMIN REASONS UNABLE MEET FRICANZA PARIS 10 MAR OR NEAR FUTURE. ASSUMED ADMIN REASONS WAS PROBATION STATUS TRAVEL RESTRICTED [illegible]

18 MAR 1959

201-233477

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

14-00000

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

DATE 138 WCJ/LBI ~~17 MAR 59~~

TO DIRECTOR

FROM PARIS

ACTION SR 6

INFO CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

ROUTING
1	DOB	4	B
2	DOB	5	3
3	C	6	2

MAR 17 1725Z 59

PRIORITY

IN 32810

TO DIR FROB INFO FRAN CITE PARI 5377

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE FROB 3734 (IN 31879) *

1. ANNICK PHONED AEASPIC WHO "FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REASONS" UNABLE MEET FRIGANZA PARIS 19 MARCH OR "IN NEAR FUTURE."

2. AEASPIC AVAILABLE CHEZ M.J. DASSAUD, 14 RUE ST JOSEPH, AMBERT (PUY DE DOME), TELEPHONE: 136, BETWEEN 0900-1200 AND 1400-1800 HOURS.

3. ANNICK DID NOT EXPLAIN WHAT MEANT BY "ADMIN. STATUS" BUT PROBABLE THIS PROBATION STATUS TRAVEL RESTRICTIONS.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: * REQUESTED PLATIPADIS VISIT ANNICK AND ARRANGEMENTS MEET AEASPIC PARI 19 MARCH.

MAR 15 1959

201-233477

SECRET

14-00000

DATE : 210/ 14 MAR 59

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING			
1	DDB	4	B
2	DDB	5	3
3		6	2

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

MAR 14 1622Z 59

ROUTINE

IN 31879

TO (PRIORITY) PARI INFO DIR FRAN CITE FRGB 3734

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

NIGHT ACTION

REF A. DIR 16768 (OUT 81907)*

B. FRGB 3707 (IN 30913)

1. REQUEST PLATIPODIS VISIT ANNICK AT REF B ADDRESS AND MAKE ARRANGEMENTS MEET AEASPIC PARI 19 MAR. ANNICK KNOWS NAME "MIKE". OWING TIME LIMIT BELIEVE THIS ADVISABLE RATHER THAN RISK MISSING AEASPIC BY RELYING ON POST.

2. IF REASONS WHY PLATIPODIS OR ANOTHER CASE OFFICER CANNOT CALL ON ANNICK, REQUEST SEND EXPRESS LETTER ADDRESSED ANNICK TELLING HER M WANTS MEET DAVID AT HER HOUSE 19 MAR. M WILL FETCH DAVID, NOT MEET SET TIME AT AGREED RENDEZVOUS.

3. FRIGANZA WILL TAKE NIGHT TRAIN, ETA PARI AM 19 MAR.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: *STATED LCFLUTTER OPERATOR PLANNED TRAVEL PARI 19 MAR. ASKED IF AEASPIC WOULD BE AVAILABLE. SUGGESTED FRIGANZA MEET OPERATOR PARI.

AEaspic 201

16 MAR 1959

S-E-C-R-E-T

Copy No.

201-233477

14-00000

512/OJ/BV/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ORIG George Fill
UNIT SR/DOB
EXT 8331
DATE 13 March 1959

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING			
1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	
3		6	

TO FRANKFURT PARIS
FROM DIRECTOR
CONF: SR 6
INFO: CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

DEFERRED MAR 13 16 02z59
ROUTINE REC'D CABLE SECT.
X PRIORITY
OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

OUT 81907 OUT 81907

TO FROB PARI INFO FRAN CITE DIR 16768

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE NO NIGHT ACTION 16768

REF FROB 3501 (IN 22378)

1. LCFLUTTER OPERATOR PLANS TRAVEL PARI 19 MARCH. CAN AEASPIC BE AVAILABLE.

2. SUGGEST FRIGANZA MEET OPERATOR PARI.

3. REQUEST PARI ARRANGE CONTROLLED SITE FOR INTERROGATION.

END OF MESSAGE

Agree LCFLUTTER AEASPIC prior final decision.

IRD Coord by phone CPhalen

SR/2 ________

SR/3 ________

SR/DCOP/FI ________

WE/3 ________

16 MAR 1959

EE/COP ________

C/WE ________
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

S-E-C-R-E-T

C/SR/DOB ________
MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

201-233477

SR/DOB/59/ 1163

12 March 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Alien Affairs Staff/SO

ATTENTION : Mr. Pennington

SUBJECT : Illegal Entry of Three Foreign Nationals (REDSOX Agent Candidates) into the United States.

1. This will confirm the information furnished your office by Mr. Perry Crookham of this Base relative to the contemplated entry of subject individuals into the United States on or about 20 April 1959.

2. It is presently anticipated that a member of the SR Division will proceed to Germany in early April to assess subject personnel with a view toward their subsequent travel in his custody on the HBELECTOR flight to the United States to begin training as REDSOX agents.

3. By separate memorandum, the Air Support Section/DPD has been requested to schedule a HBELECTOR flight to depart Germany for the U.S. about 20 April 1959.

4. Subject agent candidates are:

a. Zigrids Bruno PLENSNERS. Latvian presently residing in Sweden. OA has been obtained. CI/OA No. C-71157

b. Yevgeniy Nikolayevich SUKHAREV. Russian presently residing in Germany. OA has been obtained. CI/OA No. C-69189

c. David TZITZICHVILI. Georgian presently residing in France. POA obtained. OA requested. CI/OA No. C-73532

5. The Security Office has been contacted regarding Security Officer escort for the flight.

6. Since the agents will be arriving in the United States illegally, provisions will be made for their supervision by a staff officer on a 24 hour day basis.

7. For any further information, please contact Mr. P. Crookham on extension 8331.

MICHAEL RAF.
Chief, SR/DOB

IC/vat
O & 1 - Addressee 1 - Subject File 1 - Chrono

HT-233477

14-00000

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

DATE: 305 DJM/JO/JO/
12 MAR 59

ROUTING			
1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	
3		6	

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM: FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, FI/SOD, EE 4, S/C 2

MAR 12 1836Z 59

ROUTINE

IN 30930

TO DIR INFO FRAN CITE FROB 3708

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE FROB 3658 (IN 29383)

1. AS WE APPROACH DEADLINE PER DIR 07093 (OUT 59714) CLEAR NEWENS AND FRIGANZA WILL BE HARD PRESSED HANDLE WORK. CERTAIN AMOUNT TRAVEL GET AENUTMEG 3 AND AEASPIC (IF CHOSEN) UNAVOIDABLE. SUBJECTS VIEN 4005 (IN 22370) MORESO. PRESSING HARD GET TO AENUTMEG 8 AND SUBJECT FROB 2634 (IN 24137) WHO MAY BE WILLING AND IS CLEARLY LEADER TYPE.

2. COULD ROSTEDT COME FRAN NOW REMAIN TDY UNTIL CANDIDATES SENT AEACRE TO ASSIST DEBREIFINGS, ASSESSMENT, ETC? ADDITIONAL BENEFITS EXTENDED BRIEFING, ROSTEDT'S INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE AEACRE REQUIREMENTS ETC OUGHT ENHANCE OUR PROSPECTS MEET NEEDS AND FUTURE TASK.

END OF MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

13 MAR 1959

 Copy No. 201-233477

14-00000

CRYPTO: **[illegible]IC**

PRQ I: Yes

PRQ II: Yes

POA: To contact and assess. Dated 15 September 1958. Extended for six months.

OA: 11 March 1959. OK for entry into U.S.

CI/OA Number: C-73532

PHYSICAL: OK

LCFLUTTER: Favorable

PSYCHOLOGICAL: McGovern

SECRET
(When Filled In)

No. C-73532

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SR/DOB - Fill

Operational Approval is hereby granted in the case of AEASPIC, for the purpose specified in your request dated 9 Mar 1959.

This Approval is based upon evaluation of information available to this Office, and is granted under authority contained in CSI 10-5 and FR 10-215.

CI/OPERATIONAL APPROVAL AND SUPPORT DIVISION

Date: 11 Mar 1959

Distribution:
Orig. to Addressee
1 to Files

dea

SECRET

FORM NO. 124 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.
1 JUN 55

(8)

SECRET
(When Filled In)

REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION AND APPROVAL

DATE: 9 March 1959
PROJECT: AEACRE

TO: CI/Operational Approval and Support Division

FROM: (Division) SR/DOB

SUBJECT

TRUE NAME: David TZITZICHVILI

CRYPTONYM OR PSEUDONYM: AEASPIC

TYPE OF AGENT OR EMPLOYEE: REDSOX Agent

CI/OA FILE NO.: C-73532

SO FILE NO.:

USE OF AGENT OR EMPLOYEE (Full details - Specify country of assignment)

It is anticipated that the Subject will be brought to the United States in late April to begin training, upon the completion of which he will be dispatched on a black mission into the USSR as a member of a REDSOX team during late 1959.

TYPE ACTION REQUESTED

	PROVISIONAL APPROVAL		PROVISIONAL COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL
X	OPERATIONAL APPROVAL		COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL
	GOVERNMENT NAME CHECK		PROPRIETARY APPROVAL
	SPECIAL INQUIRY (SO field investigation)		OTHER:

PRO AND GREEN LIST STATUS

	PRO I, OR EQUIVALENT, IS ATTACHED IN TWO COPIES		PRO II WILL BE FORWARDED WHEN RECEIVED
X	PRO II IS ATTACHED, IF OBTAINABLE		GREEN LIST CHECK HAS BEEN INITIATED

FIELD TRACES

	REVEAL NO INFORMATION OF VALUE		WILL BE FORWARDED WHEN RECEIVED
	REVEAL INFORMATION AS ATTACHED		NOT INITIATED. NOT EXPECTED TO POSSESS RELEVANT INFO.

SUGGESTED INVESTIGATIVE COVER

GOVERNMENT	ACADEMIC
COMMERCIAL	OTHER:

RI TRACES (*If derogatory attach summary)				DIVISION TRACES (*If derogatory attach summary)			
RECORD	DEROGATORY*	NON-DEROGATORY	NO RECORD	RECORD	DEROGATORY*	NON-DEROGATORY	NO RECORD

INDICATE SPECIAL LIMITATIONS ON INVESTIGATION OR SPECIAL COVERAGE DESIRED

NOTE: In accordance with the request of CI Staff (Mr. Brewer), the attached PRQ Part II is submitted herewith. Inasmuch as it is contemplated that a SR representative will proceed to Frankfurt in the near future to contact Subject relative to the mission, it is requested that the OA be expedited.

SUBJECT				
IS	X	AWARE	UNAWARE OF CIA INTEREST	(Possibly)
	X	AWARE	UNAWARE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST	
	X	AWARE	UNAWARE OF IMPENDING INVESTIGATION	(Probably
HAS		BEEN	NOT BEEN BRIEFED ON A "COVER STORY"	(not reflected in file)

SIGNATURE OF CASE OFFICER	EXTENSION	SIGNATURE OF BRANCH CHIEF
GEORGE A. FILL	8331	MICHAEL RAE, Chief, SR/DOB

FORM NO. 772 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.
1 AUG 58

SECRET

201-233477

ORIG: George Fill
UNIT: SR/DC3
EXT: 8331
DATE: [illegible]

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

TO: PARIS, FRANKFURT

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: SR 6

INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, CS 2, S/C 2

DEFERRED
X ROUTINE
PRIORITY
OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

MAR 2 17 20 59
REC'D CABLE SECT.

TO PARI PROB INFO FRAN CITE DIR

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE PROB 3501 (IN 22378)

1. WILL ATTEMPT GET LCFLUTTER OPERATOR PARIS SOONEST IF ASSESSMENT REVIEW REVEALS NECESSARY.

2. APPROVE PARI ACTION PER PARA 2 REF.

END OF MESSAGE

Note: Report Pari after Hqs approval write Subject double-talk letter explaining delay.

ASPIC

3 MAR 1959

SR/3
WE/3
EE/COP
SR/2
C/WE
SR/COP
CSR/DOP
ERIC W. TIMM
MICHAEL RAE

RELEASING OFFICER — COORDINATING OFFICERS — AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

S-E-C-R-E-T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. Copy No.

41-233477

SECRET

Chief, SR

EE; COS, Germany

FROM: Chief of Base, Frankfurt

SUBJECT: [illegible]

For your information

REFERENCE: [illegible], 20 January 1959

1. Forwarded herewith as Attachment A is the medical report by the Chief of Medical Staff, COS based on the laboratory report of the French doctor whom Subject used. Also enclosed as Attachment B is the chest x-ray of Subject whose name has been removed from it.

2. Two photographs of Subject for AEACIE are enclosed under separate cover as Attachment C.

Anthony R. Frigenza

Approved: Charles D. Richards

Attachments - As stated above

Distribution:
3 - SR w/Atts A, B h/w; Att C s/c
2 - COS w/Att A
1 - EE 2 w/Att A h/w

CS COPY

Docteur Louis ELBET
Ancien Externe des Hôpitaux
de Marseille

TALLARD (H.-A.)
TELEPHONE :

CONSULTATIONS :
LUNDI - MERCREDI
VENDREDI - SAMEDI
- de 9 à 12 heures -
MARDI - JEUDI
- de 14 à 16 heures -
et sur rendez-vous

Tallard, le 5 Novembre 1958

Je soussigne Docteur Elbet certifie que Monsieur David TZITZICHVILI, que je viens d'examiner cliniquement et radiologiquement est actuellement en excellente santé, et indemne de toute affection contagieuse ou parasitaire en activité.

Les examens de Laboratoire (Lab. re JOUVE) donnent :

- urée = 0,gr35
- cholestérol = 1,gr70
- acide urique = 35 mgr/ l. sang total
- BW négatif

En foi de quoi je lui délivre le présent certificat pour valoir ce que de droit.

Dr L Elbet

SECRET

15 January 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, FOB

FROM : Chief, COS/Medical

SUBJECT : Laboratory Studies - AEASPIC I

1. The chest x-ray was reviewed and although it is of poor technical quality, there is no evidence of active disease of the lungs.

2. The laboratory procedures which were reported by the French physician are also within normal limits.

Vincent I. Cookeny
VINCENT I. COOKENY

SECRET

201-233477

[illegible]

[illegible]

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☑ SECRET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:
RI/

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

S/C encl to
EGFA - 15336

POUCH MATERIAL
SEPARATE COVER
ENCLOSURE
EXPEDITE

TO				
1. SR/DDB 105 J				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

24 Feb 1959

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS ☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ UNCLASSIFIED

14-00000

SECRET

To: Chief, SR

Fm: Chief of Base, Frankfurt

S/C all C to EGFA-20336

SECRET

14-00000

387 DHCL/KH
19 FEB 59

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING
1 DoB 4 B
2 DoB 5 ?
3 C 6 ?

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

FEB 19 2301Z 59

ROUTINE

IN 22378

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 3501

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: DIR 13127 (OUT 73385)*

1. DO NOT AGREE IMPUGNATION AEASPIC SINCERITY. HOWEVER AGREE WISDOM LCFLUTTER PARI PRIOR TAKING FINAL DECISION. BELIEVE SOONER, BETTER.

2. MEANWHILE REQUEST PARI AFTER HQS APPROVAL WRITE SUBJECT DOUBLE TALK LETTER EXPLAINING:

A. DELAY UNAVOIDABLE, NOT HIS FAULT, WILL EXPLAIN NEXT MEETING.

B. OWING COMPLICATIONS NOT INVOLVING SUBJECT CANNOT PREDICT DATE NEXT MEETING.

C. WILL NOTIFY IN GOOD TIME BY LETTER.

D. WARM REGARDS.

3. SUGGEST SAME PERSON WHO WROTE LETTER MENTIONED PARA 7 EGFA 24867 DO THIS ONE.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: *HQS REQUESTED CONSIDER FRENCH-SPEAKING HQS LCFLUTTER OPERATOR TDY PARI:TO INTERVIEW AEASPIC PRIOR TO FINAL DECISION.

S-E-C-R-E-T

FEB 1959

201-233477

14-00000

055 NM/WS

DATE 17 FEB 59

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

ROUTING

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT (FROB)

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTINE

IN 20979

TO DIR INFO PARI FRAN CITE FROB 3477

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF: A EGFA 24867 (IN)

B FROB 3376 (IN 16580)

C FROB 3425 (IN 19285)

IN ORDER AVOID ALIENATING AEASPIC BY APPARENT FORGETFULNESS ASSURANCES GIVEN DURING LAST MEETING REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS SOONEST ON WHAT WE SHOULD WRITE HIM.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: *REQUESTED HQS ADVISE PARI ON WHAT TO WRITE AEASPIC AS EARLY LETTER REQUIRED.

FEB 20 1959

SR DOB 18 FEB 1959

201-233477

SECRET

14-00000

189 KM/BM

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE 9

DATE: 12 FEB 59

SECRET

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	2
3		6	3

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM: FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO: EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2, FI, FI/OPS

FEB 12 14 55 59

REC'D CABLE SECT.

ROUTINE

IN 19285

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 3425

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF FROB 3376 (IN 16580)*

PLS REPLY.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT:* FROB STATED NOTICE TO AEASPIC OVERDUE. REQUESTED HQS ADVISE PARI ON WHAT TO WRITE AEASPIC AS EARLY LETTER REQUIRED.

15 FEB 1959

201-233477

SECRET

14-00000

• 531/ERB/BV/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ORIG: George A. Fill
UNIT: SR/DOB
EXT: 8331
DATE: ~~10 February~~

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	
2		5	
3		6	

TO: FRANKFURT PARIS
FROM: DIRECTOR
CONF: SR 6
INFO: CI, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, OS 2, S/C 2

DEFERRED FEB 17 17 17 59
X ROUTINE REC'D CABLE SECT.
PRIORITY
OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

OUT 73385

TO FROB INFO FRAN PARI CITE DIR 13127

13127

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF FROB 3376 (IN 16580)

1. ALTHOUGH SUBJ APPEARS QUALIFIED FOR PROPOSED MISSION ASSESSMENT REVEALS AEASPIC NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE AND MAY BE HANDLING PROBLEM.

2. TO MOVE SUBJ FRAN PREMATURELY MAY CREATE DISPOSAL OR RESETTLEMENT PROBLEM. HQS WILLING RISK LOSS HIS SERVICES IN ORDER PREVENT UNNECESSARY EMBARRASSMENT.

3. REQUEST CONSIDER FRENCH SPEAKING HQS ~~OR BRLN~~ LCFLUTTER OPERATOR TDY PARI TO INTERVIEW SUBJ PRIOR TO FINAL DECISION. PARI AND FROB COMMENTS REQUESTED.

END OF MESSAGE

Comment: Request advice on what to write AEASPIC.

17 FEB 1959

SR/2
SR/3
SR/COP/
WE/3

COORDINATING OFFICERS

EE/COP

RELEASING OFFICER

C/SR/DOB
MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

S-E-C-R-E-T

Copy No.
41-233477

14-00000

191 ERL SW

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

DATE 5 FEB 59

TO DIRECTOR

FROM FRANKFURT

ACTION SR 6

INFO EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTING

1		4	
2		5	3
3		6	2

FEB 6 1833Z 59

ACTION CHANGE

ROUTINE

FROB 3376 IN 16580 A

TO INFO CITE

C/S COMMENT: ACTION RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS CABLE HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM EE TO SR BY ALICE ANDERSON, EE, EXT 3303, IN COORDINATION WITH ESTER FOGWELL, SR, EXT 3093.

9 FEB 1959

S-E-C-R-E-T

1917 6 FEB 59 / EE

011 JW/JG /

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 05 FEBRUARY 1959

S E C R E T

ROUTING

1	3/2	4	
2	DCB	5	DOB
3		6	2

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: ~~EE 6~~ S/2

INFO : SR 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ADDED: SR 2 (ACTION)

FEB 6 06 20 59

REC'D CABLE SECT.

ROUTINE

IN16580

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 3376

REF: EGFA 24867

1. PER PARA 7 REF NOTICE/TO AEASPIC OVER DUE. REQUEST ADVICE ON WHAT WE SHOULD WRITE HIM AS EARLY LETTER OBLIGATORY.

2. PLS INFO PARI WHICH CAN WRITE LETTER.

END OF MESSAGE

9 FEB 1959

201-233477

S E C R E T

TO: Chief, SR INFO: EE; MS; Paris; COS, Germany	201 (not assigned)
FROM: Chief of Base, Frankfurt	
SUBJECT: REDWOOD/REDSOX/AEACRE AEASPIC	
ACTION REQUIRED: For your information. N.B. Para 9	

REFERENCES:
A. DIR 04094, 11 December 1958
B. PARI 4625, 9 January 1959
C. DIR 07455, 9 January 1959

1. Identity of DIR 04861, dated 17 December 1958 (hereafter referred to as Identity) and the undersigned arrived in Paris on 7 January. Our plans to meet and assess Subject were discussed with the Paris Station and arrangements were made to put an interpreter at our disposal. We are most grateful to the Paris Station for the help and support we were given.

2. The undersigned took a small suite of rooms at the Hotel Celtic, rue Balzac, Etoile in the name of Major Michael C. Long under which he was travelling. It was here that the interviews with Subject took place. Identity stayed in another hotel.

3. Meetings with Subject: Per the telegram sent from Paris on 19 December (see FROB 2977) Subject appeared at the rendezvous promptly. He had understandably imagined that final arrangements for his departure to Germany would be made and he was a little confused and irked, also understandable from his point of view, to learn that that was not the case. We explained that there were several steps still to be completed and that he would be introduced to a colleague who wanted to talk with him. After this we would discuss the question of his move to Germany. Subject objected that his travels and the time he has given cost money. We reminded Subject that more than once before we had offered to pay him for his travel expenses and in effect pay him a retainer which he had refused. We then paid him Frs. 50,000; he was not overly reluctant about taking the money, whereas earlier he had refused except for a token payment of Frs. 5,000 to cover the cost of the medical examination we asked him to have made.

4. Subject was then introduced to Identity (known as "John") and the interpreter (known as "Paul"). Subject, in keeping with the wishes of Identity, was not told that Identity is a psychologist. After a leisurely and good, but not luxurious, lunch during which the ice was broken, the company repaired to the Hotel Celtic where Identity through the interpreter began his clinical exami[illegible] [illegible]res and tests. After this four hour introductory affair, Subject was given some homework and dismissed.

5. The second formal meeting on 8 January began at 1200 hours. Subject appeared promptly at the hotel room and produced some of the homework he had been given. He had not finished because he had had no sleep on the night of 6/7 January and, in any case, it was not expected that he would complete the task. This second meeting with Identity was devoted to clinical examination and lasted until about 1800 hours.

6. Subject appeared as requested promptly at 0900 hours on 9 January for the third session with Identity. He delivered a sizeable bundle of completed homework which was given to the contract agent to translate. Platipodis (known as "Tom") thereupon acted as interpreter for Identity. Subject reported that during the night of 8/9 January the French police concerned with watching foreigners had paid him a visit. It was a routine matter and had been triggered because Subject had registered at a hotel where his carte d'identitie, a special one for foreigners with special markings because he has a criminal record and is restricted to his home department, was noted by the hotelier and the police who make regular checks.

FORM 53	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS ... WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION: SECRET	CONTINUED

CS COPY

Nothing came of the check and there is no reason to believe that Subject will be troubled further. But the prospect of complications with the French authorities, who are now-a-days frequently in an angry mood anyway, disturbed Subject so that he was not as pliable or as intent on the exercise with Identity as he had been. Also, not knowing what could have been behind the police check and not wishing to take unnecessary risks in the circumstances, we did not dally with the remaining things which needed to be done. Identity was finished with Subject shortly before noon, whereupon we instructed him to check out of his hotel and have his picture taken, the latter because we had not received by post the negatives he claims to have sent. We met Subject at the Gare Montparnasse at 1500 hours to discover that he had not been able to get the negatives owing to the reluctance of the photographers to part with them, or so he claimed. Instead, we got several "Photomat" shots and accompanied Subject to the vicinity of his hotel near the Gare St. Lazard where we waited until we saw Subject depart from the hotel after checking out with his things. Thus we knew that he had departed safely and that he had not got involved in a police follow up.

7. Arrangements with Subject: By the time we left Subject on 9 January we promised him that we would write him within five days to inform him of the approximate date of the next meeting and/or give him a general answer whether he will be transferred to Germany; i.e., whether he has been hired. DIR 07455 had not yet been received by us in Paris. Before leaving Paris on 10 January we received DIR 07455 which requested that no definite commitment be made to Subject and we accordingly left a draft letter with the Paris Station which will be sent to Subject on or about 15 January. In double talk this letter will tell Subject that we (the undersigned) have not received a definite answer, that we shall try to get one for his sake, and that we expect to have one by about 1 February. In the meantime Subject should make no definite plans one way or the other. We trust that it will be possible and convenient to reach a decision concerning Subject by about 1 February. In the course of the Paris meeting we also informed Subject that we (the undersigned) would probably come to fetch him, which tentative assurance was given in order that Subject would not have reason to think that he would lose contact with someone he knows and thereby to help buck up his morale. Subject was also told that he would probably go to PEPRIMS if hired. Otherwise, no plans or tentative arrangements were discussed with Subject.

8. Identity's Assessment of Subject: Case officer discussed Subject at length with Identity and we believe it is safe and fair to state that there is complete general agreement concerning Subject. We may disagree on the point of pairing Subject with ASSATCHEL, but so far this would seem to be a minor matter. Identity struck the phrase that Subject "satisfies all selection criteria" used in PARI 4695 and will have concurred in this dispatch. Identity would prefer not to write a precis of his assessment at this time; he would rather submit his full assessment which will cover his views concerning pairings with other possible candidates after his return to Headquarters. There is little we can add and trust that this will suffice for the time being. In general we believe that our assessment submitted as Attachment C to EGPA-24034 is not wide of the mark. We do not, however, wish this to be construed as an indirect report on Identity's assessment of Subject; he will submit his own report. Incidentally, we have enjoyed working with Identity on this case and have learned from his techniques and approach. His trip has so far been most salutary.

9. Comment concerning a decision on Subject: The decision on Subject's candidature for REDSOX ought not be delayed any longer than is necessary. Identity agreed that Subject will keep for a time and that he very much wants the job with us. There are several reasons why we think that it would be unwise to delay the decision to remove Subject from France to Germany where he can be held without undue difficulty. First, he is naturally impatient and will not be happy if he is obliged to wait

for an extended period. While we recognize that Subject's hapiness is not a matter of much immediate concern, we believe that it could be an important factor in his future handling. Second, Subject reports that his business is doing well and that he hopes eventually to acquire a French passport which in effect would remove the restrictions under which he now lives. The acquisition of a passport and financial success would, we believe, considerably change Subject's frame of mind and possibly his willingness for REDSOX. Third, Subject might at any time have a run-in with the French authorities. It might not be his fault but it might make it impractical or impossible to reach him when and if he is wanted. Fourth, Subject points out that he cannot simply disappear from Gap without straightening out his affairs, particularly his business affairs with his business partners. "Cannot" may be an exaggeration, but the fact is that Subject is the sort of person who would leave his friends in the lurch, as he sees it, only under extreme circumstances. He would not be happy about having done so. He would like to have about three weeks warning before his departure and as far as we can judge this is not an unreasonable request. In short, we believe that Subject is an excellent, albeit not ideal, candidate and that it would be wise to insure his availability insofar as we can by bringing him at the earliest possible date to Germany where we shall have better control.

Anthony H. Friganza

Approved: Francis M. Bankshire
Francis M. Bankshire

Distribution:
3 - SR
1 - EE
2 - MB
2 - Paris
2 - COS

14-00000

414 GHS/DLD/SWS/EK
13 JAN 59

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

JAN 13 2243Z 59

ROUTINE

IN 47184

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 3160

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF OFPA 36605

1. DISCUSSED CANDIDACY SUBJECT DURING TDY PARIS. FACT HE APPARENTLY WIDELY BLOWN RELATIVELY UNIMPORTANT IF HE USED FOR WHOLLY BLACK MISSION. APPEARS ABLE AND FROM FILE SUITABLE. PARI BELIEVES HE STILL WILLING.

2. IN VIEW IMMEDIATE AND PRESSING NEED CANDIDATES, SUGGEST WE WITH IDEN DIR 04861 (OUT 54659) RUN ASSESSMENT SUBJECT BEFORE FORMER RETURNS HQS. IF YOU CONCUR, WILL ADVISE PARI OUR ETA THERE AS SOON AS WE CAN ARRANGE SCHEDULE.

END OF MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

201-233477

14-00000

528 DMCL/LSB/LSB/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

UNIT: George Fill
SR/DOB
EXT: 8331
DATE: 9 January 1959

529

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	B
2	DOB	5	3
3		6	2

JAN 9 10 54 '59

REC'D CABLE SEC

TO : ~~XXXXXX~~ FRANKFURT PARIS

FROM : DIRECTOR

CONF : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

DEFERRED	X	PRIORITY	INITIALS
ROUTINE		OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE	INITIALS

OUT 60652

TO PARI INFO FRAN FROB CITE DIR 07455

REDWOOD REDSOX AEASPIC

REF PARI 4625 (IN 45386)*

1. PREFER STUDY ASSESSMENTS ALL CANDIDATES PRIOR TO ANY COMMITMENTS INCLUDING TWO UNDER CONSIDERATION SALZ. ~~XX~~ HQS CABLING.

2. SUBJ CAN BE TOLD WE HAVE DEFINITE INTEREST AND WILL NEGOTIATE FURTHER EARLY FEBRUARY.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: * REQUESTED AUTHORITY MAKE DEFINITE ARRANGEMENTS TRANSFER (AEASPIC) FRAN ABOUT 1 FEBRUARY.

C/EE
EE/G
WE/3
SR/2
SR/COP/FI

1959

C/WE

ERIC W. TIMM
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

S-E-C-R-E-T

C/SR/DOB
MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

 Copy No.

201-233477

14-00000

233477

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 8 JAN 59 277 ES/BJ

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : PARIS

ACTION : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

JAN 8 1950Z 59

PRIORITY

IN 45386

TO DIR INFO FRAN FROB CITE PARI 4625

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE AEASPIC

RE DIR 04860 (OUT 54658)*

1. IDEN REF AFTER COMPLETING FULL ALBEIT COMPRESSED ASSESSMENT BELIEVES AEASPIC SATISFIES ALL SELECTION CRITERIA.

2. REQUEST AUTHORITY MAKE DEFINITE ARRANGEMENTS TRANSFER SUBJECT FRAN ABOUT 1 FEBRUARY. ANNENT HANDLING AND BELIEVE IT WOULD BE WISE GIVE SUBJECT DEFINITE ANSWER BEFORE HE RETURNS GAP MORNING 10 JANUARY, WHICH DATE HIS PERMIT BE AWAY FROM GAP EXPIRES.

3. PLEASE REPLY PRIORITY.

4. FURTHER: CONSIDER TRANSFER AEACRE AFTER REMOVAL FRAN OUGHT NOT BE PROTRACTED. WILL FOLLOW WITH VIP POUCH FROM FOB.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: *REQUESTED ASSESSMENT OF AEASPIC, AESATCHEL AND JOSEPH MCGOURN (IDEN).

9 JAN 1959

201-233477

S-E-C-R-E-T

 Copy No.

SECRET

REPORT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

SUBJECT: AEASPIC

Dates of Examination:
8, 9, 10 January 1959

Subject was met in Paris on the above dates by the case officer and the examiner and was submitted to a preliminary psychological examination via a French interpreter.

Subject was born 12 July 1918 in Georgia which, at this time, was not yet part of the USSR. The Subject classifies his parents as "Nobles" and is of the opinion that their status had a great influence upon his behavior and development particularly in the period which followed the family's flight from Georgia to France in 1921. In other words, the Subject abides by the prejudicial class attitude of Noblesse Oblige and feels an obligation of honorable and generous behavior attributable to his birth rank. He is also of the opinion that this concept of nobility has evolved into a system of dignity and pride in his endeavors. This concept is also extended to include the attitude: "If you pretend to be something, you have to act accordingly."

The family fled Georgia before the Soviet invasion. The Subject feels that he was too young to understand but recalls having revolted against the flight because it was a humilitating defeat rather than a tactical retreat. He operates by the attitude that one must fight, surpass or conquer rather than to submit or accept defeat. He is, according to his own self-concept, a person who can not tolerate being beaten in competition. As a child the Subject felt that he was much stronger than several million men. He presents the analogy that he is like a man who wants to kill an elephant. All he needs provided for him is a high powered rifle and then he would feel equal to the elephant. The Subject admits of physical inferiority feelings by virtue of his size and strength but he denies any feelings of intellectual inferiority and admits that he depends more upon his mental faculties than upon his physical abilities. Apparently the Subject has never been able to accept what he considers the original defeat and flight from Georgia.

SECRET

201-233477

14-00000

SECRET

In addition, his father later returned to Georgia because he wanted to die in Georgia. Although the Subject can appreciate his father's point of view he is unable to forgive his father for having admitted his defeat by returning to Georgia to die. Although the Subject does not regard himself as a Georgian and is certainly not regarded as a nationalist, he is a competitive individual and may be motivated for affiliation in order to compensate for having experienced what he regards as defeat at the hands of the Soviets. To substantiate the validity of the presence of this attitude in his personality he offers the example that when he was committed to prison he was not bothered by the prison life but by the fact that he had made a wrong calculation in associating himself with an unreliable partner and consequently was arrested and imprisoned. For him, therefore, the first day in prison was worse than all the others. According to the Subject, the sense of defeat together with the competitive urge to balance the score is the primary factor in his personality and life experience. In addition to these, as was mentioned above, he is highly self-confident and optimistic that he will succeed despite the hazards and odds. It would appear that these attitudes are important as regards his motivation for affiliation, management and utilization of his services.

Because the father had provided himself with certain monies the family was able to live comfortably and even opulently upon its arrival in France. However, the vicissitudes of life brought the family progressively to financial difficulties and later to material degradation. The Subject is of the opinion that this loss of economic status had a marked influence upon his way of thinking thereafter. Whereas the family had previously lived in an artificial, feudalistic way it was not brought into more direct contact with reality and, although this was not necessarily a bad influence, life was tough. The Subject's early loss of economic status is important as regards his selection for affiliation because it also constituted a loss of social status which is more primary in Subject's personality. A review of the Subject's life history reveals that he has always responded to material incentives in his various schemes and ventures. However, in terms of his personality, material success is a short-cut method of attaining social status. Consequently, it may be concluded that his drive for social status is more basic than his need for financial status.

From an early age the Subject was non-disciplined and in the school situation he constantly rebelled against the authority of those teachers whom he felt did not regard him as intelligent or pay him

sufficient individual attention. Because he felt his teachers were too distant they were automatically unpleasant and apparently his rebellious behavior was his way of obtaining attention and getting closer to the teachers. He consistently refused to abide by the conformist attitude of his peers and felt compelled to do those things not normally done by others. He engaged in practical jokes and was generally regarded as an activist in the school situation. As a result he was expelled from one shcool after another and accumulated a record of having attended 18 different schools. It is also of interest that he never obtained a degree from the institutions of higher education which he attended.

This early delinquent behavior in the school situation is of interest to us because it reflects the Subject's motivational attitudes which are present to date. As far as he is concerned, his scholastic experience, generally speaking, represents frustration, the seeking of a goal to puruse and his hope that his ideals would crystalize and materialize. The Subject is rather abstract in this interpretation. It would seem that his ideal involves not only material but moral comfort and security. However, he has always regarded society, through his disappointments and mortifying experiences, as wicked and selfish in its majority. Consequently, he expressed his dissatisfaction in the form of aggressive and anti-social behavior typical of the malcontent.

One might ask why this individual reacted to his life experience in this manner. He was apparently a precocious child who experienced disillusionment both in his family and in his society. At first he felt this disillusionment was unique and peculiar to himself, but he soon discovered that his discontent was also shared by others. This reinforced his combative attitude and he reflected this attitude in his non-conforming behavior. It was as if he suddenly had discovered to his great disappointment that there was no Santa Claus.

Another aspect of his system of ideals proceeds from his concept of chivalry which he feels his parents inculcated. This is a sort of Robin Hood concept by which the Subject visualizes himself as a hero who rushes to the rescue of the weak and oppressed. This concept is somewhat afantastic and has misguided his behavior in various situations throughout his life. For example, the school situation, he would typically assume blame for the wrong-doings of others. Later in life he responded to the plight of others for the conscious reason of wanting to help them. The Subject feels that many people need help and that he has always wanted

to help others in order to help himself. In other words, he is motivated to help others in order to obtain love and affection. His need for love and affection is probably one of his most basic needs and this need is expressed in all of his interpresonal contacts.

The Subject's mother reacted to his neurotic behavior with over-affectionate solicitousness. Although she wasn't actually able to determine the reasons for his internal distress she was aware that something was taking place within him and finally took him to a psychiatrist because of his behavior problems. However, she didn't feel able to advise him of what she was doing and took him to the psychiatrist on a subterfuge. In addition, because the Subject disagreed with the psychiatrist's conclusions, he did not benefit by this experience. This would indicate that in handling the Subject it would be wise not to approach him covertly or as if he were a problem but to permit him to maintain his posture that he is right and that society is wrong. The mother was a marked conformist who insisted that the Subject should abide by the social order. To be successful, the Subject's handlers should avoid trying to make him conform in his attitudes toward society by well-meaning gestures or contrivances.

The Subject continually deceived and disappointed his mother because each time she started him in a new school he made promises that he would behave but each time he managed to be expelled because of his behavior. The Subject is consciously convinced that his mother acted in his good interest and not for her satisfaction of wanting a perfect child. However, it is the opinion of this examiner, that the mother's over-solicitousness was compensatory for her basic rejection of the Subject. It is not likely that she did things for him but that she did things to and with him for herself. In other words, she wanted the Subject to be perfect so that he could be worthy of her love. This may also have been her attitude toward her husband. The Subject did not behave perfectly, did not merit her love and consequently appears to be continually seeking the love and affection of other persons. However, the Subject cannot accept this opinion as regards his mother and denies that she acted in her own interest. One of his principal defenses is denial - simply assuming the attitude that such and such is not true when he probably believes it is true.

The Subject regards his mother as having been the axle of the family. He also regards her as having been the major influence in his life. He is particularly disappointed in his mother because he feels, although he loved her, she deserted him through suicide. He feels she had sacrificed herself completely for her children and that she killed herself because she no longer knew how to distribute love and care. Concomitantly, the Subject began to lose regard for his father when he realized that his father was not providing his mother with affection. However, the Subject identifies with his father in the respect that both have never achieved anything significant and consequently may have disappointed his mother. The Subject misses his mother's love and feels that his life was broken when she died. He found himself completely alone and all his illusions apparently disappeared. He has tried to obtain affection through others and realizes that his need for affection is a big part of himself. On the other hand "I am a complicated person, however, I am not difficult to understand."

The Subject reflects disappointment in his father as a personal ideal. He regards his father as an independent person who was kind but profoundly selfish. Nevertheless, the father would give the shirt off his back. The father was fond of children, loved the whole family and was happy when he saw others happy. However, the Subject is disappointed in his father in that his father never succeeded. He feels that if his father had succeeded none of the things that happened in his life would have come to pass. He feels that his mother's suicide is a direct consequence of his father's failure to succeed.

When the father returned to Georgia the Subject was driven to dispair over the dissolution of the family. The Subject was the baby of the family and the death of his mother and the departure of his father and sister were a threat to his emotional security. He tried to restrain his father by threatening him that because of a French law requiring foreigners to pay taxes before leaving the country, the father ran the risk of being arrested. When the Subject told his sister this, she became angry and replied that the father was mature enough to act as well as possible. The Subject's attempt to prevent his father from leaving was conflictual for him because, although he didn't want his father to leave, he also did not want to interfere with his father's desire. This experience taught the Subject that when his father made a decision he would carry it through at any cost. As it happened, the result was the disintegration of the family. However, this incident is of interest

to the Subject's handlers because he indicates that he will abide by firm authoritative decisions even though he objects to them.

Whereas the Subject was attached to his mother, his sister was identified with his father. The sister followed her father to Georgia out of a spirit of affection and sacrifice because she felt that her father needed her. The Subject regards his sister as a tributary of his father's mistakes. The sister taught languages in school and at the time of Stalin the children would say: "We have done this and that thanks to Stalin!" She would reply: "You are individuals and you have done this or that without Stalin!" As a result she was arrested and sent to a concentration camp but with the change of regimes she obtained her freedom and returned to her job. It would appear that the sister is an individualist like the Subject.

The sister's husband had emigrated to France at a much later date and apparently could not adapt himself and regretted having left Russia. He returned to Russia and the Subject believes that because he had served in the German Army he was sent to a concentration camp in Russia. Subject regards the intellectual level of his brother-in-law as that of his sister. The brother-in-law also had an inferiority complex and this may have been an additional reason why the Subject had rejected him as a brother-in-law.

The Subject's brother, who is an architect in Gap, appears to be an independent person who is conservative and more conforming than the Subject. The Subject does not regard his brother as having distinguished himself in extraordinary fashion. It is of interest that the Subject may be jealous of his brother and states that his brother has been more successful but in his own sphere and in terms of his own standards. It is of interest also that the Subject's philosophy is not to accept the fact that he has been unsuccessful but to try to explain his lack of success by the process of introspection and self-analysis. This emphasis and orientation as regards obtaining a greater knowledge of himself is typically a neurotic and represents his need to love himself and to rationalize his failures. The Subject feels that his brother is jealous of him whereas it is likely that both are jealous of each other. It is also likely that the Subject and his brother were rivals for the mother's affection. For example, the Subject feels both he and his brother loved the same type of women.

The Subject was more expressive of his affection toward his mother whereas his brother was more timid, shy and independent. When the brother saw the Subject getting his mother's attention he tried to replace the Subject. When the brother learned that the Subject was seeing a girl whom he knew too he advised the girl to leave the Subject alone. This sibling rivalry may continue to date. However, the fraternal relationship is likely to be more complex. It may be that the brother regards the Subject as "Peck's Bad Boy" or the balck sheep of the family and is interested in fostering the Subject as regards opportunities for accomplishment or success. For some reason or other this examiner has the feeling that the brother may have been indirectly instrumental in the spotting of the Subject. The Subject tends to steer away from a discussion of his brother and chiefly presents the data that this brother is kind, somewhat vain and that he is fond of his brother. By a comparative analysis of the Subject and his relationship to his brother it is apparent that the Subject has a very strong desire to succeed and to accomplish. He was also influenced by others in this regard. For example, at a certain time in his childhood the Subject lived near some former Georgian resistance who had fought against the Soviets. The Subject regarded these persons as uneducated but admirable and affectionate. He feels that he certainly must have been influenced by their exciting stories of their many exploits. He feels he must also have been impressed by their patriotism. The Subject is a sentimental person and he was exalted and deeply impressed by the warm and enthralling manner in which they expressed themselves. He feels that this particular association may have contributed to his passion which he always puts into the execution of whatever he undertakes.

The Subject claims that he has learned from his personal experiences in life rather than from school or from the experiences of others. He feels that he has educated himself in the school of life and has derived little from formal education or from the experiences of others. For example, he claims that his mother's opinion had importance for him only at the time she rendered her opinions. As far as he was concerned they did not have a lasting influence. This item is important as far as the Subject's reaction to future training is concerned. It is likely that he would be impatient to proceed with the task in actuality rather than to learn about performing a task through the experiences of others.

The Subject feels that throughout his school experience, perhaps only one or two teachers really understood him. He regarded the others as apparently idiotic or worthless. These particular teachers taught him that it was often necessary in life "to swallow a great deal of useless "stuff" so that thereafter, certain essentials could be more easily digested." This is another helpful hint as regards the trainers who may be assigned to the Subject.

Subject feels that, apart from his academic progress at school, he learned that it was necessary to communicate, to express himself, to understand and explain. He feels that these are necessary skills in society and he relies quite heavily upon his verbal intelligence. It is likely that he would relate well with a person who exhibited superior wisdom and expressive ability. It is also likely that he would relate poorly to an inarticulate person of lower intelligence.

The Subject was also influenced in his mental development by "heroes" such as Lindberg, Edison, Hitler, Einstein, et alia. The Subject reasoned that if such people existed, the possibility to act either for good or evil also existed. The Subject never identified with one hero in particular but with heroes in general. As a result he wished to be a hero himself. The Subject also remembers certain outstanding men of history from whom he learned a certain order was necessary for the good of the people. However, it developed that the Subject was disillusioned in these men and his ideals were shaken when he later learned to his dismay that they could commit abject or monstrous acts. Related to these experiences, is the fact that the Subject puts no stock in emblems or signs of rank or reputation. He regards such signs as identifying labels only and is not impressed. The fact that he tends to be a skeptic or a cynic should be important to his handlers.

As a foreigner in France the Subject was liable to mobilization into the French Army at the beginning of World War II. However, he felt the authorities took an awful long time to make a decision in connection with him and because of this he joined the French Foreign Legion and left immediately for North Africa feeling that at last he was becoming a member of a famous unit and at the same time fulfilling his obligations towards the French military service. This is an example of his impulsivity, sentimentalism and need for prestige. However, it was not long before he became dissatisfied and expressed his discontent in opinions that

France was an incoherent mess. He bitterly regretted that he had become acquainted with people who obviously did not know what they wanted. The Subject feels that his experience in the Foreign Legion did not have any great influence on his character except that it mobilized a profound dislike for irresolute persons. This point was dealt with before. However, it is worthwhile to repeat that the Subject is an impatient fellow who desires immediate action as regards his interests. He is impatient with postponement and with what he regards as a lack of resolve on the part of others. Unless he is dealt with promptly he develops critical opinions and complaints. As regards his affiliation, it is important to note that he has no real alternative at this time and is therefore required to accept normal procedures. Although he may regard these procedures as a waste of time he will accept them because he lacks control at this point in his processing.

The Subject married for the principal reason of creating a family and a home. Even though he understands the values of the principles involved, principles which were inculcated by his father, he now feels that it was a ridiculous mistake to marry just for the sake of principles without the necessary sentiments. He rationalizes that, at that time, he was merely abiding by the precepts of his father without thinking of the possible consequences. However, he soon discovered that creating a family did not represent anything at all except "aggravating the incoherence and the division of society". In other words, for the Subject, marriage meant building a wall around himself. He also found that, instead of finding a partner who complemented him, all he obtained from the partnership was a reflection of himself. (In selecting a partner for this Subject it would be wise to consider this aspect.) The Subject feels that so-called spiritual intimacy is a fallacy. He is of the opinion that women are necessary for sexual purposes but he fails to understand why it is necessary to "build a separate world" with them. It would appear, in terms of the Subject's anti-social attitudes, that he had hoped to create his own world through marriage, but found that this was an illusion. He does not assume the responsibilities for this attempt.

The Subject does not regard his marriage as a complete fiasco. He feels that both he and his wife were fairly nice and that they got along fairly well with one another but did not live very comfortably. Furthermore, he did not feel that there was any evolution in the marriage. He admits to his debit that he married because he wanted to experience what it meant

to have children. Actually, however, he did not want children as individuals in themselves but he assumed that the marriage would produce a child whom he exactly imagined and which would be a reproduction of himself. However, the first child turned out to be a female and this may have shaken the Subject. The Subject voluntarily submits that he has learned the difference between the male and the female. This would seem to be a peculiar statement but it has a good deal of meaning for him, particularly as regards his relationship with his mother, sister, wife and first child. He regards women as alogical and as being determined by a psycho-feminine education. He developed this attitude originally as a defense against his mother's conforming tendencies and it is probable that he crystalized the attitude when his wife rejected him after ~~he had~~ attacked the bank and was arrested. His wife had a second child while the Subject was in jail and the Subject knows nothing about either of his children.

(This is enough to tip us off that the Subject should not be exposed to females who would be important in his handling.)

During World War II the Subject became involved in black marketeering and in the false documents business and claimed to have created a gang which he led and to have traveled throughout Central Europe. He also claims that the primary purpose of the gang was to repatriate or liberate war prisoners and that technically speaking, the black market business was a worthless branch, the only purpose of which was to solve financial problems. However, he admits, that it is true that the black market business reached such a magnitude that a person that was interested only in making money could have found it a quite satisfying and sufficient activity in itself.

As was mentioned above the primary purpose of the gang, according to the Subject was to liberate prisoners. He was successful in releasing 13 French prisoners from Germany. He claims not to have done this for patriotic motives but for humanitarian reasons. He helped the prisoners because they needed help and because he felt it was the right thing to do. He was paid for this but claims to have given his money to help the prisoners. He helped the French prisoners despite the fact that he had sympathy for the Germans. He claims that his sympathy for the Germans was based on the fact that some Georgians had relatives in Germany and he hoped that the Germans would defeat the Russians. If the prisoners had been Germans he would have done the same thing.

Even though he admired and sympathized with the Germans he claims he would not have harmed France. All this is rather complex. However, it is possible to understand his motivations according to his basic principle, which involves a vague desire to help others who need it. He also expresses pride in doing the outstanding and the difficult. The material reward is not an ultimate end in itself. His emotionalized ideals are not expressed clearly in terms of politics or nationality. This comples of motivations will also be discussed in terms of the Subject's participation in the bank robbery.

Although the Subject was satisfied, on the whole, with the technical efficiency of his gang and although he was pleased with the freedom of movement and his apparent security, he was arrested because of carelessness or a slip, not in the actual functioning of the gang, but rather on the part of one of the men whom the gang was helping. Consequently the Subject was arrested by the Gestapo. Because he was considered by the Germans to be a very important prey he played along and led the Germans to believe that he was more important than they had figured. He hoped that such a tactic and belief on their part would bring him certain advantages. Although he realized that he could have been shot for only half what he had done and that it may have been disadvantageous for him to exaggerate his part he claims to have done so in order to mix the tracks, to confuse the German authorities and to avoid "fingering" certain partners whom he made appear as negligible in the scheme. He played this game as long as he could but his end gradually drew near. However, he is an imaginative fellow and he hit upon the idea of claiming that he had invented a very interesting motor that would run without gas. The Germans, of course, could have profitably used such a machine at this point in the war and consequently took his offer into consideration and postponed his execution. As a result he bought time and shortly thereafter was liberated by the Americans. Through this experience the Subject has learned that it is very important to know the weaknesses of authorities and to play these weaknesses against one another. His emotions correspond to a joy of being the sole master of the situation. He feels that if he were to find himself in this sort of a situation again that he would act in exactly the same way. This behavior is typical of that of a con man. He has the capacity to control a situation in his own interest and to imaginatively talk himself out of rather tight situations. This is an outstanding characteristic of his personality and consequently should be noted by his handlers.

However, it would appear to the examiner that there is more to this story than meets the eye. One would suspect that, since he obtained mild treatment at the hands of the Gestapo, he probably collaborated more than he is willing to admit at this time. It may be that he did not fool the Gestapo at all. It is quite possible that the Gestapo used him to pass on the authenticity of documents of other prisoners or in some other nefarious activity. If this can be established as true by other techniques then we are dealing with a person who places self-preservation above all else.

The Subject also has a history of criminal activity in France after the war. At that time he was married and was the father of one child. He was working at a model-maker's shop and although he was not well off he was earning a decent living. According to the Subject a close young friend solicited his assistance in attacking a bank in order to finance an intended marriage. At first the Subject felt that the other fellow was crazy to dare to attack a bank. He also claims to have had a twinge of conscience and asked himself the question, "Is it right to do harm by robbing a bank?" Furthermore, he never felt that a team of two partners would be able to attack a bank successfully. Apparently the other fellow was a fairly good recruiter. He reminded the Subject of his war activities and he was of the opinion that since the Subject had been able to accomplish certain illegal activities in the past that he would be quite capable of attacking a bank. He also displayed a confidence in the Subject's capability to invent a plan of attack and played on the Subject's love of risk, of his need for friendship. At each point in the developments the Subject tried to withdraw by saying: "If my mother learned of this, she would die." He postponed the job indefinitely. However, in the meantime his mother died and his friend felt that he no longer had an excuse. The Subject compromised by agreeing to knock over a jewelry store. Apparently they knocked over two jewelry stores for the sake of good measure. However, after having spent his money, the friend again returned with a proposition to attack the bank. The Subject told him: "You got your money for your marriage; now leave me alone." However, the friend had not married his intended, was broke, and was interested in financing another marriage. They agreed that this would be the last job. Afterwards, however, the other fellow apparently threw his money around and was arrested and squealed.

The Subject claims that after he casually learned of his friend's arrest he fled to the Spanish border. He had not foreseen or had prepared himself for such a consequence and he implies a conflict as regards leaving France. In any event, he was captured and imprisoned. The question arises in this examiner's mind as to why the Subject either panicked or was awkward in his flight, if he did have a chance to flee. It may have been that he unconsciously wished to be caught and to be punished in order to relieve his guilt. He was ambivalent about attacking the bank. If this is true, then important consideration should be given to the Subject's personality as regards plans for the eventuality of his being captured in the course of the project.

Subject feels that he failed badly when he was arrested both by the Germans and by the French. He feels that these two incidents were a consequence of poor selection of friends and poor planning. If he were to find himself in the same type of situation again he would take all the necessary precautions in order to avoid the same pitfalls. When he was jailed by the French Police he was somewhat depressed by the facts that he had failed in his planning and also that he had greatly disappointed his relatives who looked down upon him as a noisy and rash fellow rather than as a man who was capable of carrying out a crime. This was quite a blow to his ego. However, his ego didn't collapse. There have been times in his life when he felt the entire world seemd to conspire against him and when everything seemed destroyed. He has always felt that there are ways to get out of a mess, principally by exerting his ego. He continues to believe that, unless a man is so weak, both physically and morally, it is always possible for him to get back on his feet or at least to hope that this is possible. Consequently, the Subject continues to believe that there is always a possibility to fight and to conquer. This optimism may be motivational in his present desire to affiliate with us.

In the two projects which involved liberating French prisoners and attacking the bank, Subject claims that he was betrayed by another. However, he felt his betrayal was unimportant and consequently was not angry at these persons. Because he feels that there are certain moral values which he holds dearly, namely friendship, these persons lost his esteem but he was more in contempt of himself for having miscalculated. Although his mistakes have seriously reduced his circumstances of life, regardless of their size and consequences, he does not feel that they have discouraged him about future possibilities. "If there is a future, there is hope!" The Subject feels that he has never completely lost self-control

and that he has always been a master of his actions at all times and that these actions could have been changed by his own volition. This attitude reveals his tremendous narcissistic investment in his own powers. As far as this project is concerned, it would be unwise to rely upon the Subject's judgements and it would be more prudent to plan every detail for him and require him to abide by directions. He is an imaginative executor but he is a very poor planner according to the testimony of his record. His actions are often impulsive and unreasoned. Such irrational behavior may take place in the context of a relationship with another person despite the fact that the Subject may actually have no particular responsibility to the other person except a tie of affection. The Subject is unable to explain this peculiar aspect of his personality and he is aware that any explanations which he might offer are not rational or sufficient. Consequently, this examiner concludes, that his impulsivity and tendency to behave irrationally, and to his own disadvantage amounts to an unconscious determinent of his behavior and is not available to a rational analysis. His propulsion by an uncontrollable internal force is an important point of consideration as regards his utilization by this project.

In summary the Subject's chief motivations for illegal activity appear to be the following:

a. The Subject will apparently do anything for a friend or for a person in need.

b. Subject's relish of novelty, excitement, derring-do and action. He is a Jesse James type.

c. Emotional outlet as regards the Subject's desires to invent and to realize a success of an invention. The Subject is an inventor by virtue of his temperament and mentality and indulges himself in problem-solving behavior and apparently obtains a compulsive satisfaction if his ideas work out. In other words he is activated to carry out an action because of his displaced interest in successfully engineering and accomplishing a challenging task.

d. Material reward. As was mentioned before, material reward appears to be a means to social status. In the case of the bank robbery the friend obtained three-fourths of the take and the Subject was content not to spend his money freely in order not to arouse his wife's suspicions.

e. Competition with authority. Apparently the Subject regarded the Paris bank as a symbol of authority and was motivated to compete with what this symbol represented. It may be that he did not actually wish to defeat the authority but that he wanted to obtain the attention of authority as he did in the school situation. He certainly accomplished this. The authorities are still paying attention to him. In fact they treat him as a "headstrong little boy", and are continually interested in what he has on his mind. Their interest, of course, is not therapeutic but one of prevention.

f. Unrealistic optimism together with an exaggeration of his own powers may also motivate theSubject to engage in such activities. Unconsciously he may feel like David who was able to defeat Goliath by virtue of possessing a sling-shot. One can just see him standing on a counter of a bank with drawn pistols and with the clientele being immobilized in a state of fear and surprise. The situation is so fantastic that it is corny. However, it is meaningful for the Subject and may partially explain his interest in writing detective novels. If one would read his novel he would obtain a very good picture of the Subject.

g. The bank robbery may have represented the Subject's expression of his feelings of freedom upon release from his mother through her death. She had always attempted to try to make him conform. His wife is apparently the same type of person as his mother and she proved it by rejecting him when she learned about his anti-social behavior.

h. The Robin Hood concept, which was mentioned before may instigate the Subject to take from those in power and provide for those in need.

There is an indeterminancy in the examiner's mind as to whether the Subject is a leader or a follower. He claims to have been a leader of the gang during World War II but in a careful analysis his relationship with his bank partner it would appear that the other person was the leader. The Subject, in this instance, was more of an "idea man" and executor whereas the other chap was the instigator. There is no question that the Subject regards himself as a leader. However an inspection of the record indicates that he is a poor leader because he is impulsive, rash and tends to fail. Furthermore, he is like the cat in the Walt Disney film who, while chasing the mouse and having his entire attention directed to catching and incorporating the mouse, inadvertantly gets himself run over by a truck. His first reaction to being splattered by the truck is one of disappointment as regards not having arrived at the goal, i.e., catching the mouse. Despite this defeat he is able to pick himself up again, figure things out and continue his pursuits. However, he does not learn by experience and he repeatedly is defeated because he keeps his eye too much upon the goal and not enough upon the hazards involved in reaching the goal. In addition to his lack of attention to necessary details the Subject is also as was stated above, emotionally "stupid", impulsive, rash, immature, inexperienced, misguided and unplanful. Consequently it is no surprise that he has failed repeatedly throughout his life.

In regards to the above, another incident of this man's life is relevant. His left index fingertip and thumb tip are missing. He claims to have lost these in an explosion which occurred in Germany when he was involved in the repatriation deal. According to him, after the bombing of Berlin, he picked up among the ruins an unexploded bomb of British origin and took it home to salvage the explosive which he might have used in various ways. While he was removing the detonator which was probably stuck in its receptacle, it exploded in his hands. The explosion occurred so quickly that there was no immediate pain but those around him became panicky. He remained cold-blooded and gave the order to remove all things which were there because the explosion undoubtedly alerted the police. When the police came they explained that he had played with a fountain pen which had burst. They took cognizance of his decorations and advised him never to pick up objects dropped by the enemy. The Red Cross cared for his wound. The Subject does not regret the fact that he tried to dismantle the bomb because he feels he had definitely learned that one should keep

his hands away from certain things. This bomb incident may be indicative of the things which were discussed in the preceeding paragraphs. There may be more to the bomb incident than the Subject offers but this could not be established by the clinical method.

Subject feels that a critic would say of him that the majority of his undertakings have brought results which were considerably less than hoped for. Consequently, he feels that a critic would regard him either an optimist or a joker or else that his views on the possibilities of accomplishing are not very sound.

In his own defense the Subject feels that he has made many mistakes in his life but however big these mistakes were, he does not feel that they have basically influenced his character. He feels that his character basically involves the desire to become a worthwhile individual even at the cost of behaving wrongly. He feels that if the only criteria for judging a man are his achievements, that he may be regarded as a failure. He emphasizes that the value of an achievement does not consist in its spectacular magnitude or in its multiplicity. For example, he feels that it is not necessary to mass-produce a machine or an object in order to fully understand its function. He realizes that, commercially speaking, it is more rational to mass-produce the item but this repetition does not interest him. In his opinion, the creative idea and the prototype have a much greater value and importance than the establishment of a factory which would popularize the prototype. To illustrate this attitude further, the Subject is of the opinion that one single thought from a great figure is more valuable than millions of books which are published annually. This attitude, of course, may be interpreted as the defensive orientation of a romantic idealist who has not achieved in practical affairs. However, there is more to this. In the Subject's value system, it is more important to act intelligently, sensibly and efficiently. For example, if he were asked to open a window he feels that it would be up to him to decide as regards the appropriate method. He likes to carry out an intellectual effort which results in efficiency. Consequently, he may be regarded more as an "idea man" than an implementer. Of additional interest to his future handlers is his desire to independently figure out the way that something should be done. This tendency is likely to appear in his training and preparation. It would be well to keep this in mind. The Subject feels that he has a tremendous vitality which, in the past, has expressed itself through false attempts such as the bank robbery etc.

He feels that he is not being used properly and that there is a power within himself, a creative urge for movement and realization which is highly emotionally charged and which permits him to feel that he is capable of doing much more than he has in the past. However, this energy will have to be rationally harnessed if it is to be productive.

Another aspect in regard to the above is that one of the Subject's chief motives is curiosity. He continually seeks the unknown. However, when he approaches the unknown he experiences emotional insecurity and is ambivalent about proceeding or withdrawing. This may have been a factor which influenced his unsuccessful escape through the Pyrenees. The Subject has a fear about which he doesn't know or understand and is afraid of getting himself into something which he cannot get himself out of. His immediate action is to withdraw without solving the problem. Consequently, it would appear that the Subject needs the direction of superior intelligence in order to help him evaluate the realities of a situation or predicament. He needs firm support, guidance, and direction as regards the consideration of all possible eventualities and alternatives. As was said before, it must be kept in mind that his urge for self-preservation is stronger than his desire to solve problems or to carry through on a venture. On the other hand, the Subject claims that he always tries his hardest to keep his promises. Thus, if he agrees to do something he will attempt to carry it through despite the dangers involved. He may be rash in this regard but feels his rashness is due to the fact that he thinks quickly and is able to rapidly apprehend and synthesize the components and essence of a problem in its various perspectives. In other words, he is alert and quick and gets the point immediately even before you finish your sentence. However, it would be wise not to interpret his intellectual alacrity as a commitment or as an index that he will complete a task. It means merely that he gets your point; it doesn't mean that he will cooperate, especially when the going gets rough.

Another factor of interest to the project is that this person is not likely to change as regards his basic characteristics. He has marked difficulty projecting himself in terms of what he would like to be. It is his opinion that in order to change himself, it would be necessary that he would become an entirely different person. However, he feels that everything is relative and perhaps he should show more strength of character, be little more compromising, more realistic and less sentimental. However, according to him, this would imply that the environment would be such that there was no room for sentiment. In this case, his personality would be

definitely out of place. Consequently, the Subject does not think he will be a very different person in the future from what he is now. However, he hopes that his material situation will have improved not necessarily financially, but socially. He also naively hopes that the world will change and that there will be no discrimination and that there will be a general improvement of living conditions for everyone. He is a humanitarian in his outlook but he is not an activistic social reformer, except in the sense that he is an individualistic rebel. Generally he strives to improve his own standard of living and he feels that his own inclination to better things is the disease of all inventors. The Subject also hopes to acquire, if necessary, a nationality by virtue of which he could feel that he actually belongs to a society. However he is ambivalent in this regard. He regards society as being anxious to stamp and label individuals and he would prefer to be an individualist outside of society than to be a member of society if he is required to conform. As was said before, his handlers would be wise not to label, to type or to try in any way to get the Subject to conform to society. He will run rings around them like he did his mother. As regards his future outlook, the Subject is not entirely optimistic. He feels that he will never be able to reach his ideals because his material ideal (social reform), is so distant and so difficult that he would need to lead many lives in order to attain it. It might be unfair to designate him as a pessimist. As was said before, he is more of a skeptic and perhaps a cynic. Consequently, one should be careful as regards inculcating ideological or social motives. One should be content to accept his motives in their present state. Tampering with them would only result in disturbing the Subject and the relationship.

The Subject denies that he has any emotional interest in or emotional attachment to France at this time. Although it was likely that he was sentimentally patriotic before the war it is a fact that he has been branded as a criminal and has been deprived of certain civil rights and privileges. He now perhaps feels rejected and in turn is rejecting France. Nevertheless he strikes the examiner as a Frenchman in terms of the way he thinks, feels and acts. He is certainly not a Russian in his personality. He expresses himself freely, is discursive, voluble, and is able to carry on a conversation intensely and indefinitely on his topics of interest. This is typically French, but, in terms of his personality, it represents an oral characteristic. In other words he is inclined to express himself orally and is motivated to obtain love and affection together with other supplies by oral incorporation. What this means to you is that

14-00000

SECRET

he is "mouthy" and he will eat you up if you are not careful or if you get too close. Incorporation is the epitomy of control. Consequently, if you allow him to incorporate you he's got control, not you. Be wary and detached in this regard. He has already eaten up the case officer.

The Subject is currently involved in his avocation of inventions and is the owner and exploiter of several patents. He has engaged the financial and technical interests of several others in his inventions. He currently presents the problem of being divided between the invention business and continuing in the preliminary phases with us. He claims that if he were to join us he would have to relinquish everything to his business partners. This is probably true, and it is also probably true that he would relinquish his invention interest but at the same time, he presents this item in the fashion of a con man. It would be wise to ignore this gambit.

Additional description of the personality includes the fact that he reflects a superior intelligence, is capable of abstract conceptualizations and is easily able to deal in symbols. He would have no difficulty as regards the intelleetual aspects of training. However, in his training, other things will have to be kept in mind. He is an narcissistic character who feels that because he lacks the love of others he needs to love himself. In other words, he is quite in love with himself and thereby acts accordingly. He also tends to rationalize and to offer socially acceptable reasons for his individualistic behavior and for his past failures. He acts because he thinks he is right and because he will succeed. He is quite egotistical in this regard. He strives chiefly for emotional satisfaction. It would be wise to remember also that he appears to be altruistic but at the same time he is selfish. He will do things for others and for the community but will hope to get something out of it for himself. The altruistic and selfish drives merge and he is motivated by both at the same time. He needs to prove to others that he is capable of success and he is self-confident in his power but is insecure that others may not regard him as adequate. Basically he is an exhibitionist, but is too sophisticated to brag or to display himself in a transparent manner. However, he needs attention and is likely to do things in order to get attention. This is what he has done in the past. He particularly strives not to be regarded as bad or evil. He wants to be regarded as a person who has differences of

SECRET

opinions and conflicts with the social order. It would be fair to say that he is not a true criminal but is more of a neurotic character who expresses his conflicts and difficulties by non-conforming behavior. He is a stubborn fellow who does not like to be defeated and strives to conquer. Despite his tendencies to magical thinking he strives to be rational and realistic, but his strong emotional pressures get in his way. He experiences melancholy and depressive mood swings but maintains a light side and attempts to relieve his dysphoric feelings with lightness and humor. He relies heavily on the technique of humor to relieve tension and to avoid the demobilization of anxiety in interpersonal relationship. His humor may be regarded as a good index of his anxiety.

In light of the above the Subject's motivation for affiliation at this time is rather complex. However, on the surface it is positive, spontaneous, voluntary and tense. He is really on the shelf in Gap, is isolated, lonely, controlled in his movements, restricted in his aspirations and unable to realize freedom and to develop himself as an individual. Consequently, the assignment represents a unique opportunity to escape from his present level of existence and it is no wonder that he is pushing for a quick release from Gap. However, if he were released without the sufficient establishment of controls and the application of selection techniques he may prove to be a difficult handling problem in the future. It is recommended that his processing be slowed down to a hault and that security and other operational requirements be satisfied prior to lifting him out of Gap. Once he is taken out of Gap he, like the con man, is on first base, and, no matter how you slice it, he will have established himself in a bargaining position.

The Subject likes Americans for sentimental reasons. Although he realizes that the United States may have selfish political interests he is willing to go along out of admiration for the sincerity of the Americans. He likes the liberty which exists in America and the fact that people are treated like individuals rather than as numbers. General ly his attitude toward the Americans is typically emotional and is understandable in terms of what has been said about his personality.

Although the Subject is a rank individualist and a non-conformist he realizes that he must cope with the community and must sacrifice for the good of the community. In particular, he would not like to harm

others. In this regard it is unlikely that the Subject has even committed physical assault nor is it likely that he would in the future. No matter what the other person would represent to the Subject he would still be regarded as a human being whom the Subject would respect and would not harm. The Subject is not necessarily a hostile person. He will act out of exigency but will deliberately avoid being sadistic or wontonly cruel. This is of importance as far as the project is concerned. If the Subject were to find himself in a tight spot which required killing another person it is not likely that he would do so. It is more likely that he would attempt to resolve the situation by verbal machinations.

The Subject prefers to work alone as regards technical research but as regards the execution of an operation, he prefers to be part of an organization. He knows he can rely upon himself when he is alone and that others have been unreliable in the past. However, if it is necessary for him to work with others he will accept it. It must be kept in mind, however, that he would prefer to work alone. The Subject is likely to be loyal to a person whom he regards as superior and in the relationship he may even be critical of himself. In order to express his loyalty he would like to prove his usefulness to the superior but, at the same time, he would feel inadequate in that he would require the protection of a superior. In his initial contacts with a partner, the Subject would attempt to assume leadership on the team but if the partner were superior by virtue of intelligence and/or experience, the Subject would tend to follow. It is likely that he would be a better follower of an adequate leader than a leader of a less adequate person. The reason for this is that he is more of a dependent than an independent person in terms of his interpersonal relationships. Furthermore, he is inclined to act on impulse and sentiment and consequently his judgement is questionable. In terms of ideal pairing, the Subject would work better with a more sober-minded, calculating and mature individual whom he would respect and with whom he would enjoy an informal but authoritative relationship.

Prior to the last session of the preliminary examination on the 10th of January 1959 the Subject was routinely contacted by the French Police who were investigating his reasons for being in Paris at that time. As a result of this contact, the Subject was markedly apprehensive and preoccupied and was not able to freely devote himself to the psychological analysis as theretofore. The Subject's primary motive is, of course, self-preservation and since he is an extremely alert and vigilant individual he is likely to over-react and to become emotionally upset

14-00000

with such a provocation. Because of this it appears that the Subject needs the guiding influence of a more mature experienced person who is in more control of the situation. An additional point of interest is that the Subject is capable of using such an experience as the visit by the police as a device to control. For example, because of the visit by the police, the Subject aborted the psychological analysis. He did the same thing, in another way, on the preceeding contact with the case officer. It is as if the Subject does not want us to get too close and to learn too much about him. The third aspect of this behavior is that the Subject for some reason or other consciously or unconsciously feels he has "arrived" and is acceptable to our service. In other words, he may act according to the opinion that a decision has been made in his favor and consequently he sees no need for enduring a psychological analysis. It is likely that he would have the same attitude toward a security interrogation and as regards training.

As regards the general selection criteria for the project it would appear that the Subject satisfies the requirements of intelligence, resourcefulness, mechanical talent, team-ability, infiltration-exfiltration capability, good health, physical stamina, motivation, etc. However, there are other factors which may or may not vitiate the positive features. These factors are pertinent to areas such as security and handling. It is recommended that before the Subject is removed from Gap that he be administered a security examination in a controlled situation. Such an examination should be conducted by a skilled and experienced individual who is fluent in French, who is unbiased and objective and who is not overly rigid as regards his conventional standards. With such an examiner, there is a fifty-fifty chance that the Subject would satisfy security standards. The other problem area which remains is that of handling, and unless this is thought out carefully and appropriate personnel assigned, the hand will be quicker than the eye.

JOSEPH D. MC GOVERN, Ph. D.
Chief, Research and Psychiatric Support Br.
Psychiatric Staff/Medical Staff

201-233477

017 HM/JG/JG/[illegible]

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE 10

SECRET

DATE : 17 DEC 58

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT (FROB)

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	B
2	DOD	5	3
3	C	6	

DEC 18 06 27 58

REC'D CABLE SECT.

ROUTINE

IN 38946

TO DIR INFO PARI FRAN CITE FROB 2977

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: A. DIR Ø4860 (OUT 54658)

B. FROB 2978 (IN 39009)

1. FRIGANZA WILL MEET IDEN A REF.

2. REQUEST PARI SEND FOLLOWING TELEGRAM TO IDEN REF B FROM PARI SOONEST: "AIMERAI TE VOIR LE SEPTIEME JANVIER POUR AFFAIRES AVEC MAZDA. ANNICK."

END OF MESSAGE

18 DEC 1958

mR

201-233477

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. Copy No.

14-00000

018 ~~104~~/JG/JG
DATE 17 DEC 58

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE 10

SECRET

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	C
2	DOB	5	3
3	C	6	

TO: DIRECTOR

FROM: FRANKFURT (FROB)

ACTION: SR 6

INFO: CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

DEC 18 06 27:50

REC'D CABLE SECT.

ROUTINE

IN 39009

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 2978

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: FROB 2977 (IN 38946)

REF IDEN M. DAVID DE PANASKHET, LE PRIEUR, ROUTE DE VENNES, GAP.

END OF MESSAGE

18 DEC 1958

201-233477

SECRET

Copy No.

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

DATE : 087 MM/BM
15 DEC 58

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTING			
1	DDB	4	B
2	DDB	5	3
3	C	6	

DEC 16 1133Z 58

ROUTINE

IN 38184

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 2958

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE FROB 2928 (IN IN 36854)

1. MET AEASPIC IN GAP 13 DECEMBER. WITH RENEWALS WILL KEEP PERMIT ALLOWING HIM GO PARIS CURRENT UNTIL 15 JANUARY. COMMO FIXED SO COULD MEET SHORT NOTICE.

2. AEASPIC FINE FETTLE, ANXIOUS GET GOING. IN GUARDED TERMS PROBED FOR REACTION TO REDSOX JOB WITH COMPANION. HE WILLING. REVIEWED HIS ABILITY RUSSIAN. IT RUSTY SINCE HARDLY USED SINCE WW II BUT ADEQUATE AND IN COURSE TRAINING WITH AESATCHEL WOULD IMPROVE.

3. SUITABILITY: HE IS, IF MENTALLY STABLE. ALTHOUGH HE SEEMS BASICALLY NORMAL, FEEL UNQUALIFIED HAZARD OPINION PROBABILITIES BEHAVIOUR UNDER STRESS. WELCOME PSYCHIATRIST.

4. RE PAIRING AESATCHEL: BELIEVE IT WOULD BE HAPPY MATCH, AEASPIC'S SUPERIOR BRAINS NICELY COMPLEMENTING AESATCHEL'S SUPERIOR BRAWN.

5. OWING AWKWARD CONNECTIONS, FRIGANZA RETURNED FROM GAP DIRECTLY FRAN VIA GENEVA.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT:* SUGGESTED PSYCHIATRIST VISIT FRAN TO INTERVIEW AEASPIC.

S-E-C-R-E-T

201-233477

16 DEC 1958

Copy No.

14-00000

SECRET

DRAFT
11 December 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE RECORD

SUBJECT : David TZITZICHVILI - Red Sox Candidate

1. This is an indirect assessment of the above named individual based on a W-B test administered by a case officer in the field and on a PRQI. Subject apparently is being considered for a two-man mission of relatively short duration. The main questions about him seem to be: Can he learn to do what will be required? What would be his motivation? With what sort of person would he get on best in a two-man team? What special problems can be anticipated and avoided in his handling?

2. A brief telephone report was supplied to the headquarters case officer on 10 December 1958. It was stated that Subject possesses adequate intelligence, unusual social skills, and a propensity for getting what he wants without conscience. He is impulsive and surprisingly irrational in satisfying his personal desires. He will appear at first to have leadership skill and interest -- hence will probably just take over leadership of the team. In the long run, however, most persons who would be team-mates would become disenchanted and either reject him or take over themselves. Because of this man's social versatility, impulsiveness and freedom from conscience, he would be particularly difficult to control. That is, it would be difficult to ascertain that he

SECRET

201-233477

14-00000

SECRET

actually did what he claimed to have done. His case officer would have to be especially alert for double-dealing, careful to build in checks and counterbalances, and tough and firm in handling style. Despite the limitations noted it was felt that this man is worth the risks involved.

3. In comparison with agent candidates of the same nationality which we have assessed, Subject's intelligence is above average. No intellectual; he is nevertheless unusually well informed and unusually observant of what is going on around him. He is likely to be quite pedantic, but his thinking is not rigorous. He makes decisions impulsively and on analogy. He is therefore likely to appear more reasonable and better organized than he is in fact, and one is less likely to be prepared for his impulsive acts of bad judgment. To summarize the intellectual factor, he is intelligent, but he looks brighter, better educated and more capable of good judgment than he is in fact. However, these are not intellectual limitations to his usefulness in the type of mission considered.

4. Perhaps this man's strongest skill is his versatility in playing social roles. If he so wants, he can make himself appear acceptable to a wide variety of people. He is accustomed to getting out of tight spots by exercising charm or "personality." At the same time, however, he can be very temperamental when his desires are frustrated

SECRET

SECRET

and when he feels he is crossed. He needs a lot of sensual experience and his sensuality and desire for emotional experience is not too well controlled. He especially likes new and novel experiences, which he will go after with little attention to the probable consequences.

5. This man is quite dependent on social activity and social relationships for amusement, support, and incentive. He may at times try to play the role of the independent, self-sufficient, lone-wolf, but he could not sustain such a role for long. He must participate with people in a relatively emotional way. At the same time he is likely to be exceptionally suspicious of all the people he works with. He is not very realistic in his suspiciousness, and he is inclined to exaggerate clues and signs. With reference to the proposed mission, he is likely to express distrust of anyone who is introduced as a partner. Likewise it may be assumed he will try to take over the role of leader even though he has no particular leadership skills. This will present problems, because in our opinion over the long haul he would make a poor leader, for the following reasons: (a) he is not accustomed to playing any role consistently for a long period of time, (b) he is more dependent socially than he likes to admit, (c) his judgement is not consistent; he operates too much on impulse or sentiment and hence when the chips are down he cannot backstop his decisions with reasoned judgement.

SECRET

6. It is our opinion that this man is unusually unencumbered by conscience. When he wants something, he takes it. When it requires him to cross a friend, he does so without guilt. If he looks ahead at consequences he does so in terms of "getting caught and being punished" rather than losing moral stature. He certainly feels little genuine loyalty to codes, institutions, or causes other than his own. He is more likely to remain loyal to a specific individual whom he respects or fears. The principal implications of these characteristics are: (a) he is not regarded as a promising candidate for polygraph testing -- the record is more likely to be misleading than in most cases (b)he will require a particularly firm straight forward, alert case officer whom Subject either respects or fears. A warm, friendly relationship here would likely result in Subject becoming exceedingly suspicious of his case officer, in efforts to manipulate the case officer, and disruption of relations owing to temperamental outbursts.

7. The best single characterization we can make of his motivation for the assignment is that if his personal desires happen to be congruent with the purposes of the mission, then his motivation is appropriate. His interests might include desire for an exciting clandestine expereince and for social prestige, as well as for money. They certainly do not include any abstract political or ideological motives. The important

thing is that this man is unusually intent on satisfying his own whims and caprices whenever they are set-off, which makes him more inconsistent than one expects and hence more difficult to control.

8. Conclusion: Even more than the normal run of agent candidates, this man is solely out for himself. Coupled with this characteristic is his social skill and his ability to get away with gratifying his own desires irrespective of the feelings or interests of others. This indicates peculiarly difficult control problems, which in our opinion require an unusually competent, alert, strict, even authoritarian case officer. Despite the number of negative characteristics discussed in this report, it is nevertheless our opinion that this man is still worth considering providing he has the minimum requirements of language, access to target, and cover. He has the requisite intelligence and very useful social skills. He can get away with activities which would be disturbing or upsetting to other people, and this would appear to be a major qualification.

ROBERT E. GOODNOW
Branch III
TSS/Chemical Division

14-00000

402/GHS/HES/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE 5

DATE : 11 DECEMBER 1958

SECRET

ROUTING

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT OPERATING BASE

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

DEC 11 23 46:58

REC'D CABLE SECT.

ROUTINE

IN 36854

TO DIR INFO FRAN PARI CITE FROB 2928

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: DIR Ø4Ø94 (OUT 52886)*

1. FRIGANZA WILL TRY SEE AEASPIC GAP 13 DEC CHECK IF SUBJ STILL WILLING, MAKE ELASTIC ARRANGEMENTS HAVE HIM READY FOR MEET WITH PSYCHIATRIST. WILL FLY GENEVA, TAKE TRAIN GAP, RETURN VIA PARIS.

2. SUGGEST PSYCHIATRIST VISIT FRAN TO INTERVIEW SUBJ FROB 2776 (IN 30291) AND SUBJ FROB 2887 (IN 35386) IF WE CAN HAVE LATTER READY.

END OF MESSAGE

CS COMMENT: *HQS ARRANGING FOR PSYCHIATRIST TO MEET WITH AEASPIC AND FRIGANZA IN PARIS SOONEST.

12 DEC 1958

201-233477

SECRET

14-00000

703 HM/WS/V

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ORIG George A. Fill
UNIT SR/DOB
EXT 8331
DATE 10 December 1958

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

Dec 10 23 14:58
REC'D CABLE SECT.

	DEFERRED		PRIORITY	INITIALS
X	ROUTINE		OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE	INITIALS

TO FRANKFURT
FROM : DIRECTOR
CONF SR 6
INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, S/C 2

OUT 52886

TO ~~FROB~~ INFO FRAN CITE DIR 04094

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF FROB 2891 (IN35499) *

1. INITIAL VERBAL RESULTS AEASPIC WB TESTS GENERALLY FAVORABLE. PREFER CONDUCT FURTHER PSYCH INTERVIEW IN PLACE PRIOR TO ANY MOVEMENT.

2. HQS NOW ATTEMPTING ARRANGE FOR PSYCHIATRIST TO MEET WITH SUBJ AND FRIGANZA IN PARIS SOONEST.

3. SUGGEST PUSH WORK COMPLETE ASSESSMENT ALL AVAILABLE CANDIDATES. BUT MOVEMENT TO AEACRE TO BE COMPARTMENTED AND ON HIGHLY SELECTIVE BASIS. (END OF MESSAGE)

C/S COMMENT: *STATED THAT AEASPIC SUITABLE FOR BLACK MISSION BUT NOT SURE THAT HE WOULD BE FIT PARTNER FOR AESATCHEL DUE TO LANGUAGE PROBLEM AND THAT TEMPERMENTS MARKEDLY DIFFERENT.

SR/3 [signature] 11 DEC 1958

SR/DOP/FI [signature]

EE/COP [signature]

AC/SR/DOB [signature]
George A. Fill

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

S-E-C-R-E-T

201-235017

312/GHS/JJW/ 13B/ p6

DATE : 8 DEC 58

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, S/C 2

DEC 8 2250Z 58

ROUTINE

IN 35499

TO DIR INFO FRAN CITE FROB 2891

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: EGFW 7965

1. WE HAVE REASSESSED AESATCHEL FOR REF MISSION AND BELIEVE HE SUITABLE. LENGTHY CROSS QUESTIONING INDICATES HE SINCERELY WILLING AND WILL BE EASILY HANDLED. MAINLY TO DEVELOP MORALE AND REMOVE HIM DISMAL FRAN WINTER ATMOSPHERE BUT ALSO GIVE HIM PHYSICAL TRAINING, PLAN SEND HIM MITTENWALD AREA TO SKI BEGINNING ABOUT 29 DECEMBER. AWARE SOME RISK HE MIGHT INJURE SELF BUT BECAUSE HE GOOD SHAPE AND CAN SKI THINK RISK JUSTIFIED IN ORDER COUNTERACT EFFECT PROTRACTED AND DEMORALIZING WAITING FRAN. WILL KEEP HIM THERE UNTIL JUST BEFORE TRANSFER AEACRE WHERE HE SHOULD ARRIVE TOP FORM.

2. AEASPIC SUITABLE BLACK MISSION BUT NOT SURE HE FIT PARTNER FOR AESATCHEL. LANGUAGE PROBLEM AWKWARD. TEMPERAMENTS MARKEDLY DIFFERENT. HOWEVER WOULD LIKE SECOND INTERVIEW WITH HIM BEFORE COMMITTING OURSELVES HIS SUITABILITY PAIR WITH AESATCHEL.

3. HAVE NOT HEARD FROM AEASPIC SINCE PARIS MEETING WHICH WINSHIP ATTENDED. REQUEST PERMISSION BY 11 DECEMBER HAVE FRIGANZA VISIT HIM IN GAP 13 DECEMBER MAKE ARRANGEMENTS COME FRAN EARLY JANUARY FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT AND LCFLUTTER.

S-E-C-R-E-T

8 DEC 1958

Copy No 201-239477

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING			
1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

DATE :

TO :

FROM :

ACTION:

INFO :

FROB 2891

IN 35499

TO INFO CITE

WILL CLEAR WITH PARIS EN ROUTE GAP.

4. SUBJECT FROB 2776 (IN 30291)* MAY BE WILLING AND SEEMS OUTSTANDING CANDIDATE FOR BLACK MISSION. WE PRESSING HIS ASSESSMENT WHICH HOPE FINISH BY 12 DECEMBER.

5. SUBJECT FROB 2887 (IN 35386)** ALSO APPEARS GOOD AND WE HURRYING ASSESSMENT BUT CANNOT COMPLETE BEFORE MID JANUARY.

6. BOTH SUBJECT PARA 4 AND 5 PHYSICALLY EXCEPTIONAL, SUFFICIENTLY INTELLIGENT, HAVE INTREPID COMMANDO MENTALITY NEEDED REDSOX. IF BOTH ASSAY WELL AFTER FULL PROCESSING, SUGGEST HDQS CONSIDERS TAKING THEM AEACRE WITH AESATCHEL AND AEASPIC HENCE HAVING ALTERNATES AEASPIC IN EVENT HE FOUND UNSUITABLE AS PARTNER AESATCHEL. WISH UNDERSCORE THIS TENTATIVE PROPOSAL ONLY. IF IT FEASIBLE AND ATTRACTIVE, PLEASE ADVISE SO WE CAN PUSH WORK TO HAVE ALL READY FOR TRANSFER SAME TIME.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: *REQUESTED POA TRACES ALEXEJ HALMAK.

**REQUESTED POA TRACES JOHANN SCHMIDT.

S-E-C-R-E-T

PERSONAL RECORD QUESTIONNAIRE

PART I — BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

——— /PRQ/ ———

Instructions:
1. Write clearly.
2. Answer all questions completely. If question does not apply, write "not applicable".
3. Attach blank pages if additional space is needed.

1. FULL NAME David (FIRST) ——— (MIDDLE) ——— TZITZICHVILI (LAST)

2. Name in native script See attached

3. Name at birth (if different from 1) Not applicable

4. Aliases, nicknames, legal changes (State briefly time, reason and place of use) Dathcuna

5. a. Date of birth 12 July 1918 b. Place of birth Tiflis (Gori)

6. Present citizenship, race if appropriate Stateless

7. Nationality at birth and any subsequent nationalities (if different from 6) Georgian

8. Present residence (Owner, tenant, sub-tenant) Avenue Ballerme 1, Gap (Hautes Alpes)

9. Permanent address (if different from 8) Not applicable

10. Present occupation (full title, salary, etc.) Directeur technical (self employed) agent for machine tools Generale Meca-technique (Gap)

11. Naturalization of subject or of close relatives in the United States. (Give date and name in which certificate was granted) Not applicable

12. Relatives, friends, correspondents in United States. Explain relationship Givi Zaldastani, Othar Zaldastani (friends)

SECRET

201-233-77

SECRET

—/PRQ/—

13. Father — Full name, occupation, present residence, year and place of birth, nationality at birth, and subsequent nationalities.

Simon Tsitsichvili, mining engineer
born Tiflis ca. 1887
Georgian
Returned to Tiflis, USSR 1948-49. Believed still living.

14. Mother — Same information as 13.

Marie Tsitsichvili (nee KANTCHELI)
Housewife
born Tiflis ca. 1894
Georgian
Suicide 1948

15. Wife (or husband) — Full name, maiden name, date and place of birth, date and place of marriage, nationality at birth and any subsequent nationalities, present residence, divorce, annulment. (Give data for all previous marriages.)

Renee PIRROD
Born Besancon August 1917
married in Paris 1949
lives rue Astride Briand, Place de la Revolution, Besancon
divorced 1953 in Paris

16. Children — Full names, sex, year and place of birth, nationality at birth and any subsequent nationalities, present residence.

1) daughter Elisabeth 9 yrs. old French Lives with mother
2) son Gabriel 7 yrs. old French Lives with mother

17. Brothers and sisters — Same information as 16.

See attached sheet.

SECRET

14-00000

SECRET

17. Artchil: Brother, born 1916 in Borzhomi near Tiflis, architect, served in the French Army from 1940 until 1945, became Lt. in a motorized infantry unit and fought in North Africa, France and Germany; twice married, first wife Nov, nee JORDANIA, divorced, second wife, Jacquline, nee [illegible], born 1935 in Gap; lives in Gap with second wife and two of the three children born of the first marriage.

Helena: Sister, born 1916 in Grosni, married Irakli LORDKIPANIDZE, born 1913, now believed divorced. Helena and her husband returned to the USSR in 1948, subsequent to which both were arrested, exiled to Siberia. She is now believed to be living in Moscow. He volunteered with the German Army in WW II.

SECRET

SECRET

—/PRQ/—

18. Relatives in service of any government, names and positions held

Sister is teacher of languages (French, English) in Moscow.

19. List all official identity papers held with dates, numbers, place of issue and duration of validity.

1) Carte de Sejour Temporaire (French) marked "S" AC28574
24 April 1958 - Gap - one year
2) Permis de Conduire (French) 21 March 1957 - Gap - unlimited

20. Religion — Degree practiced membership religious orders.

Orthodox (Georgian) non-believing
Was Christian Scientist 5 years before war.

21. Present and past political affiliations.

None

22. Travel outside country of present residence — Country, dates, purpose, people and firms visited.

Germany (Berlin, Poelitz) 1941 (several visits) work. Austria (Vienna)

Poland (Lodz, Posen, Warsaw, Cracow) 1943 work

23. Education — School, location, course, degree, dates.

1) Primary 1923-1930 Paris, Versailles, Montrouge
2) Lycee 1930-1935 Vanves, Paris, Versailles, Chalon s/Marne
3) Arts et Metiers Chalon s/Marne 1935-36-37
4) Ecole Cinematographique Paris 1937-38
5) Ecole Breguet Paris 1938-39 Electrical school

no degrees or diplomas

24. Languages and dialects (Indicate proficiency as good, fair, poor.)

Language	Speak	Read	Understand
French	well	well	well (native)
Georgian	native	fair	well
German	well	well	well
Spanish	fair	fair	fair
English	fair	fair	fair

SECRET

Military service — Dates, country, unit, rank, duty, where services performed, decorations, when discharged, why. Give details if ever prisoner of war.

Foreign Legion 1940-41 North Africa (Algeria, Tunisia)
Cavalry 1st Class (distinguished private)
No medals, not POW

26. Present and past membership in organizations (Professional, social, political, etc.)

No organisations

27. Special skills, abilities, hobbies (radio, photography, etc.)

Photography
Electricity
Mechanics
Forgery of documents
Weapons (small arms)

28. Financial status -- Earnings, bank deposits, securities, property.

100,000 fr. per month no property firm makes about 2 million

29. Employment history — Type of work, salary, dates, employers, addresses, reason for leaving. Include employment by any government.

1) Bicycle repairman age of 6 yrs. during summer 1926 Versailles, France.
2) Made movie projector as child for showing films.
3) Made electrical repairs for others throughout childhood from 11 yrs.
4) Repaired sewing machines.
5) Worked in garage washing cars, aiding mechanic.

29. (Continued)

6) Worked as night watchman.
7) Welder.
8) Lathe operator

Only really steady job was contract in Stettin, Berlin 1941. Earned 1.10 mark as electrician for [illegible] plant.

Now works on production of machines for advertising.

30. Has subject done any writing? Give details including titles of books, articles, publishers, dates.

Wrote a detective novel in 1957 On est mieux sur une chaise

31. List persons living at same address, close associates (individuals or groups), correspondents at home and abroad.

Givi ZALDASTANI: Boston, Mass.
Othar ZALDASTANI: Boston, Mass.
DUPUYT, the family: 30 rue Cabanis, Paris XIV
Yvonne (the grandmother) born about 1881
Colette (the mother), nee Dupuyt, KHOMERIKI born about 1915
and the four daughters, all single.

32. List five character references.

Dr. Andre VINARD: born about 1915, dentist, lives in Gap
Jack PARADIS: born about 1918, optician, lives in Gap
Jean AGIMOND: born about 1908, restaurantour, lives in Gap
The family EYGLENANT: the family of Subject present sister-in-law, jewelers, live in Gap

33. Court record — Court, date, arrests, charges, punishments, sentences, acquittals, denaturalization, etc.

Sentenced at Paris 1953 to 7 yrs. solitary confinement (theoretical). Arrested in July 1950 for bank robbery. Released December 1955. Sentence reduced by collective amnesty.

34. Description (Use American standards of measurement, if possible.)

Age 40

Apparent age

Posture

Height 5'10" (70in.)

Weight 56 kg.

Eyes Brown

Teeth Artificial

Face — shape Long oval

Hair Dark brown, balding

Complexion

Scars Wounds on index finger, thumb of left hand. Accident with grenade.

Sex Male

Build Tip of fore-finger and thumb of right hand missing.

General appearance

Prominent features

Other identifying features

35. Other miscellaneous biographical data and comments

201-233477

14-00000

TO Chief, SR INFO EE; WE; Paris; COS, Germany	201 (not assigned)
FROM Chiof of Base, Frankfurt	DATE 13 NOV 1958
SUBJECT REDWOOD/REDSOX/AEACRE Subject of EGFA-22041	X INDEXING
ACTION REQUIRED Please give us instructions on what is to be ~~done with this contact henceforth~~	

REFERENCES DIR 44873, 10 October 1958

1. Approval to contact and assess Subject was given in reference which also approved our proposal that Peter K. Winship accompany Newens and the undersigned on this job in order that he would be able to carry to Headquarters his personal impressions of Subject.

2. We arrived in Paris on 13 October where we discussed our plans with John P. Platipodis who informed us that the Paris Station had no objections to our proceeding as we proposed. The undersigned travelled as Maj. Michael C. Long; Newens and Winship travelled in true name.

3. We rented a car in Paris and drove to Gap, arriving before noon on 14 October. The remainder of the day was spent in familiarizing ourselves with the town and locating the house where Subject was reported to live. Because none of us spoke French without a noticeable Anglo-Saxon accent, we did not wish to visit the apartment of Subject's brother and risk meeting the brother or sister-in-law who would easily be able to detect that we were not Frenchmen. Therefore, the undersigned drove to Veynes about 40 km. away where he got an older woman working in a small inn to put through a telephone call for us. The woman had no idea what she was doing and was not suspicious. She spoke with Subject's sister-in-law who said that Subject was no longer sleeping there but was expected to visit the house at about 2100 hours. Consequently, the undersigned returned to Gap where Winship and Newens were on hand to surveil Subject's house. A second call to the house of Subject's brother was put through at about 2130 hours from a different town also about 40 km. from Gap. The man who made the call for us learned that Subject was planning to visit Paris, and that it was not known whether he had left.

4. Not wishing to risk missing Subject altogether, we decided to call at the apartment of Subject's brother on the morning of 15 October after 9:30 when it could be expected that the brother would have left home for his office. The undersigned made the call, spoke with the sister-in-law (who, we subsequently learned, took the undersigned for an Englishman). The conversation lasted perhaps 15 minutes. The sister-in-law gave us Subject's present address where he is not registered and told us where he eats lunch daily. While Newens and Winship counter-surveilled the site, the undersigned waited for Subject outside the restaurant where he takes his meals until Subject approached at about 1245 hours. Subject had already been alerted that a non-native French speaking person was looking for him and recognized the undersigned at once. An introduction was made, Subject was shown the photographs which AEPADDLE had taken and accepted these as proof of the undersigned's authenticity. Subject said he was planning to leave that night for a two day visit to Paris on business. It was suggested that Subject, in order to save fare and so that we should have an extended opportunity to discuss "certain matters" with him, might prefer to drive with us. Subject accepted this suggestion and arrangements were made to pick him up in front of a cafe on the outskirts of Gap where he is temporarily lodged.

5. Subject was met by the undersigned at 2000 hours and told that the undersigned was accompanied by two colleagues. Shortly thereafter

201-233477

FORM 53 | SECRET | X CONTINUED

CS COPY

Newens and Winship were picked up and we departed for Paris. During the trip we had an opportunity to converse with Subject about his early life, family problems, etc. As it turned out the long drive gave us an ideal opportunity to draw out Subject about himself and his present circumstances. We arrived in Paris on 16 October at 0700 hours. Subject was dropped near the house of Identity A. Arrangements were made to meet him at 1600 hours in the vicinity of the Gare Montparnasse. During this session with Subject, PRQ I biographic information was obtained. After the four hour meeting, we took Subject to dinner which gave us further opportunity to talk to him. Between our arrival in Paris and our meeting with Subject at 1600 hours, he had been occupied with business affairs so that he had not slept at all, was tired, and wanted to break up early.

6. We next met Subject on 17 October at 1230 hours. Subject had spent the morning winding up his business affairs. After a quick lunch, we took another room at the California Hotel where we talked with Subject until after 1700 hours. During this meeting Subject was given the Wechsler Bellevue test. We also discussed future contact and instructed him to have a full medical examination done, including a chest x-ray, and sent to us as soon as convenient. Likewise Subject was instructed to write us a detailed autobiography running not less than 200 pages or so from about 1939. Subject paled at the detail we requested but said he would make an effort.

7. Subject still carries a special identity card which means he is restricted to the Department of Hautes Alpes. However, he is able within 48 hours to get a special pass from the police which permits him to visit any city in France up to seven days and if he had demonstrable good reasons for a longer visit, he believes arrangements could be made. Subject believes that for about French francs 50,000 he could acquire a French identity document bearing no restriction. This would take time; the money would be needed for legal counsel and bribes. We discussed with Subject the possibility of visiting Germany for 7 days including travelling time on a false German identity document. Being an old hand at forgery, Subject was enchanted with the idea and quite confident he would be able to pull it off. This was discussed because we thought it might be better and more convenient to bring Subject to Frankfurt for an LCFLUTTER examination and assessment rather than to do this in Paris. Subject cannot get legal permission to go outside of France.

8. Contact Arrangements:

(a) Subject will be notified by letter that we wish to meet him. The letter should be addressed to Identity B. The letter should be written in German and should give (1) time and date of meeting (the site will NOT be mentioned; (2) an English phrase must be included at the end of the letter, e.g. "Good Luck." The signature is immaterial. N.B.: Not less than five days and preferably seven should be allowed from the time the letter is posted until the day Subject is to be met.

(b) Meeting place in Paris is the Cafe Georges VI, rue Faubourge St. Honore, just off the rue Royal, Place de la Concorde.

(c) Alternate times for the meeting are three hours after the original meeting time, and then two hours later, i.e. five hours after the original meeting time.

(d) If Subject is unable to come to Paris, he will:

(1) Write or telegraph Identity A stating he is unable to come. The person meeting Subject will go to this address and inquire about M. David; he should be told M. David was unable to come.

(2) Thereupon a telegram will be sent to Subject in Gap in which a telephone number will be included. Sign the message Michel.

(3) Upon receipt of the telegram, Subject will telephone and ask to speak with Michel Lang, whereupon he will receive further instructions.

(4) In the event no message is received at Identity A, a telephone call to Identity C should be put through by a native French speaker who will ask for M. David, pretending he is one of David's friends.

(e) Subject will write to Identity D. He will post his letter in a plain envelope to Identity A who will post the inner addressed envelope from Paris.

9. Re DIR 41763, dated 20 September 1958: Subject is completely willing to repatriate to the Soviet Union at our behest. We believe he is sincere in this contention. He could be moved to Germany using a false document to get him across the border for a few days, but if we were to do this, we would incur the risk that Subject might be picked up or might invent some incident in order to claim that it would be impossible for him to return to France. Subject does not like Gap and very much wants to leave. Although we did not go into the matter deeply, we may assume that there is no possibility that Subject on a REDSOX mission could recruit his relatives because no one in the family seems to know where they live. The sister who repatriated is supposed to be living in Moscow but the last letter from her was received several years ago.

10. As attachments please find the following:

(a) PRQ I sent under separate cover
(b) Newcms assessment of Subject sent under ~~separate cover~~ H/W
(c) Friganza's assessment of Subject sent ~~under separate cover~~ H/W
(d) The Wechsler-Bellevue results sent herewith in one copy to AEACRE only
(e) Identity list

11. Peter K. Winship will be able to give AEACRE his view of Subject in person. We have told Subject that he cannot expect an early decision on his employment with us. We told him that within about 30 days we would drop him a letter acknowledging the receipt of the medical report which we asked him to send us and informing him of a tentative date when we might wish to meet him in Paris again. Before undertaking further to assess, LCFLUTTER, and interrogate Subject, we would like a tentative expression of Headquarters interest in the case. It would seem that there is sufficient information on which to make such a decision.

Anthony H. Friganza

Approved: Francis M. Bankshire
Francis M. Bankshire

Attachments - A thru E as stated above

Distribution:
3 - SR w/Att A, B, C, D, E   A & E USC   BCD H/W
2 - EE w/Att A, B, C, E
2 - WE w/Att A, B, C, E
2 - Paris w/Att A, B, C, E
2 - COS w/Att A, B, C, E

FORM 1-57 53c   USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.   CLASSIFICATION   SECRET   CONTINUED

14-00000

SECRET

To: Chief, SR
Fm: Chief of Base, Frankfurt
s/c att A to EGFA-24034

SECRET

General Debriefing and Assessment of Subject

1. Introduction:

The Subject was contacted in Gap by Friganza. General familiarization with him was undertaken en route to Paris (by car) on the night of 15/16 October 1958. Subsequently he was met in Paris on 16 October (afternoon) and on 17 October. On the last day the Wechsler Bellevue was given also further meeting- and communications' arrangements made.

Because of the time-limitation (he was unable to stay in Paris also he had some business of his own to transact), the debriefing and assessment had to be necessarily held to general terms, the main consideration given to Subject's over-all life-run the main stress put on the assessment for REDSOX suitability.

There were no personal or security problems. From the beginning Subject was willing, most eager, and co-operative. He is also willing to co-operate in the future and would not hesitate to take a long trip in order to meet us again.

2. General Biography:

a. Pre WWII

Subject is a Georgian national. Both his parents were Georgians. He was brought to France by his parents, who fled from the Soviet Union. Until WWII they lived in Paris or surrounding areas. For one or the other reason they moved frequently. This might have been due to the profession of his father, who was a graduate mining-engineer and who probably held an administrative or engineering job in France.

Owing to the above, Subject changed schools accordingly. He said that he never had trouble in his studies because of a good memory and fast retention. Consequently, he was usually bored because of frequent repetitions. Owing to boredom and possibly to some sense of opposition, he became usually a nuisance to the teachers. Subject told us that once he had to be transferred to another school, because he got into a violent argument with a teacher. His mother was quite concerned about his behaviour and took him to a psychiatrist (from Subject's narrative it is not clear what the diagnosis was).

In his free time he liked to perform odd jobs, such as, for example, fixing bicycles or helping out in electrical repair jobs or installation work. He also loved to invent gadgets and odd machines.

Undoubtedly Subject had an inclination towards technical matters. On the other hand, he had a tremendous drive to be financially independent, to make money, and to get ahead in life. It also seems that he did not like to be employed by a person and that he always strived for self-employment.

After graduating from school he attended several engineering colleges. At Breguet he studied electro-engineering. Subsequently he enrolled in the technicum for cinematography. It is a fact that Subject had studied a lot; on the other hand, it appears that he did not graduate from any of these technical institutions.

Approximately in 1940, Subject enlisted in the Foreign Legion. He was accepted in Paris and sent via Lyon-Marseille-Port St. Jean to Sidi-bel-Abbes. February-August 1940 he was stationed in Tunesia (Susa).

From Subject's narrative it may be assumed that he was not a bad soldier and that he actually liked to serve. He said that he had been suggested for officers' training but that this did not materialize (possibly because France was occupied in the meantime). Subject's highest rank was that of a Pfc (or equivalent thereof). According to him this rank has some prestige in the Legion.

In the same unit with Subject there were about 12 Georgians, a number of Armenians, some Spaniards, and Jews. Subject knew of a so-called Georgian Company which subsequently was encircled by the Germans; however, he did not belong to this company. The occupation of France in April/May 1941 ended his military career. He was demobilized and returned to Paris.

b. During WWII

After the discharge from the Legion Subject felt a great desire to fight for France. He told us that he loved France and that he wanted to do something for his chosen homeland. However, it seems that he never got around to doing anything about this ambition. He said jokingly that members of the resistence were not listed in telephone directories, and therefore he did not know how to make contact with them. On the other hand it appears that he did not try very hard and that his love for independence (personal independence) was greater than his wish to join any collective resistance activity. Thus he decided to act on his own.

He told us that at this time there was some recruitment of labour-forces in France for Germany. He decided to enroll as labourer. He went to a certain office in Paris and was sent in due course to Germany. For a while he worked in a synthetic gasoline plant in Boelitz near Berlin. Subject said that this was the only time that he was actually steadily employed. Because the Georgians were rather esteemed by the Germans, he had certain freedoms. For a while he lived with a married German woman and generally speaking, it appears that he had a couple of other girl-friends on the side. For some reason or other he also worked in a munitions plant. When playing (as he told us) with a small hand grenade, one of his fingers was crippled.

It is obscure how he obtained another job in Germany, but it is probably a fact that he worked as a driver for the NSKK (National Socialist Party Motorized Corps).

It is felt that Subject actually went early into the black marketing racket. He told us that he had traveled extensively and had been in occupied Poland (Lodz for example). He termed these travels as "fun" because he had enough money to spend (ergo black market). It might also be possible that he traveled in conjunction with the <u>forged documents business</u> he had begun.

It is not quite clear what prompted him to start forging passes into and out of Germany. During the interview he claimed that his aim was to help imprisoned French officers to get back to France. Nevertheless, although a plausible reason (it was mentioned that he wanted to help France), it is felt that the ulterior motives might still have been love of excitement and wish to make money. Technically speaking Subject must have been an accomplished forger. He told us that his past studies at the cinematographic technicum had given him valuable techniques to be applied to photo-mechanical forging of documents, stamps, and cachets.

It is felt that he must have had accomplices. Nevertheless he claims that he did not work with the French Resistance although it might be possible and probable that they gave him one or the other order to fill. At a certain point Subject spoke about working through intermediaries and cut-outs. Generally speaking it still might be possible that he actually worked with the French Resistance but does not wish to tell us.

Prior to a trip to Germany (Subject was at the time in Paris on business) he was arrested by the German regular police. He explained to us that he had worked with a girl who acted as intermediary in certain documentation affairs. It appears that the girl was unwittingly involved with German police (It is not quite clear from Subject's story whether she worked for the Germans wittingly or unwittingly) and had passed on information concerning Subject's activities.

Be it as it may, Subject was arrested on the railroad station which had been closed-off by German police especially for this operation. He was apprehended in the presence of the girl. He had a pistol with him which complicated matters slightly.

Because the case was political, he was not held unduly by the criminal investigation branch but passed on to the Gestapo. Subject was brought to Berlin, where he was interrogated for several months at Gestapo HQ. He told us that he had given them the information desired and that he had never been beaten or maltreated. He mentioned that his interrogator or interrogators changed their apparel frequently, sometimes appearing in civilian clothes, at times in navy or army uniforms.

He was questioned about the techniques employed and later was requested to consult on document forgeries; i.e. recognizing forgeries submitted to him among genuine documents. Subject told us that this was almost impossible, because his forgeries were so well made that it was hard to tell the fake from the real stuff. Nevertheless, he endeavoured to oblige and pointed out some documents of doubtful genuineness.

Later he was brought before court (it is not clear whether actually or in absentia) and given a defence lawyer. It is not clear whether he was sentenced to death, however, it might be understood from his inferences.

Subject was moved from jail to jail and from concentration camp to concentration camp. He told us that some of the time he spent in solitary and some of the time in communal cells. Occasionally he was manacled hand and foot. Towards the end of the war he knew that executions were carried out en masse. He resorted to a little ruse de guerre and announced to the Germans that his father and he had invented a motor that could run without gasoline. He also made it known that although he was able to draw one part of this motor, the other essential part was with his father, who in turn had cached it somewhere.

Subject knew that his father could not be contacted because the part of Austria he was in at the time was occupied (liberated territory). However, he was willing to draw the mechanism for his captors. It appears that the whole idea seemed attractive to the Germans and that they demonstrated great interest (this was the period of the Wunderwaffen). Subject thinks that this saved him from impending execution. Shortly afterwards he was liberated by components of the advancing US Army.

c. After WWII until Present

It might be chronologically incorrect, but at this point it should be mentioned that Subject's father decided to be repatriated. As far as Subject knows, his father is still in Soviet Georgia and might hold an engineering job. A fact is that his father had written to him (quite a while ago) and requested books on refrigeration-engineering. Subject's sister did not wish to let the father go alone, so she repatriated with him. She was accompanied by her husband, who also was a Georgian and who had been a member of the German armed forces. His sister's husband immediately upon arrival in the Soviet Union was sent to Siberia. His sister is believed to be working as a language professor.

Owing to the above his mother committed suicide. Subject's older brother, who had been an officer in the French Foreign Legion (decorated a couple of times) and is an engineer, went to Gap, where he got a position as municipal engineer.

Subject began working in Paris. He was employed by the firm of Jaques Garé, which specialized in making models of houses. He stayed with this firm approximately from 1947-1948 and was paid about Fr. frs. 120 an hour. There he met his future wife PARRON, [illegible], who originated from Besançon (her parents have a grain business in Besançon and own a house and car.).

Subject was married in 1949. Among Subject's acquaintances was a certain ADAM, Jaques (about six months older than Subject). It appears that ADAM convinced Subject of the necessity to make more money. Because making great quantities of money was quite difficult, they decided to commit robberies. It appears that Subject was not against this idea and did not oppose Adam, who had been a former schoolmate of his and with whom he had lived together in Versailles.

Their first objective was a jeweler's store in the rue du Bac (Veme). It seems that they broke in without much trouble and got away with Fr. frs. 1,000,000 in jewelry. Adam organized the sale of the loot. They netted Fr. frs. 250,000 each. It should be noted that the police had no clue as to who the culprits had been.

Approximately one year later Adam appeared at Subject's house and blackmailed him (in front of his wife) to commit another robbery. This objective was another jewelry store. However, the proprietor of the shop happened to be there (or actually the robbery had to be committed in daytime). Subject did not wish to get into a fight, so they beat a hasty retreat.

Approximately a year later the chosen objective was a bank. Adam and Subject watched the banking house from a truck which was equipped with a periscope. The truck was so parked as to make it possible to observe the teller's counter and thus to count the incoming money. At one point Adam wanted to begin the hold-up when an old woman brought about Fr. frs. 1,000,000. Subject, however, was against it (he told us that he had thought of his mother). Nevertheless, the hold-up took place. Both friends entered the bank. Subject jumped on the counter with drawn pistol. Miraculously both got away. Some time later Adam was apprehended mainly because he started spending money. Adam confessed. Subject at that time was on his way to Gap together with his wife, and daughter, Elizabeth. He told his wife the story and asked her to flee to Switzerland. Subject himself decided to attempt to cross the Spanish border. He, having been recognized by a shepherd, was apprehended in the mountains. As Subject indicated during the interview, he had not been actually too eager to flee the country.

During the ensuing investigation the two first crimes were confessed by Subject. He was sentenced to solitary imprisonment (a technical term in France which precludes parole).

Subject served his term in various rather notorious prisons of France. It appears that he read quite a lot (if and when it was possible) and also worked at one of his inventions: a machine for making cardboard boxes. This machine was actually built for one of the prison administrations and put into operation at the prison factory.

When he got out of jail, his wife's family communicated to him that they did not wish to see him any more. As a matter of fact he has not seen her since and does not know whether she has remarried. He told us that he would like to see his children but that he will only do so when he has achieved something in life.

At present Subject is living in Gap. He is not allowed to travel without permission from the local police. However, people treat him normally, because, as he likes to put it, "I am the brother of the brother". Subject is partner in a mechanical construction firm at present specialized in making advertising aids (electro-mechanical). If and when necessary, he gets permission to travel.

Some time ago Subject wrote a crime novel which until now is unpublished.
It appears that also he tried to get employment with the Kiems Bureau having
had an acquaintance who had some contacts there. Subject is not satisfied
with his present situation. He has no position in life, and besides, he is
unable to move, and that annoys him greatly.

3. Assessment:

Subject appears to be quite a complex personality. Based on so brief an
acquaintance it is still hard to tell what is veneer and what is actual make-up.
It is evident that part of his present reasoning might have evolved in jail.
Nevertheless, jail may be regarded as only a step in Subject's evolution.

When considering the sketchy biography, we see that sometimes in the
past he felt allegiance towards France regarding it as his homeland. If this
was true in the past, it is not any longer the case. He does not like France
and the French, although his recent experience in Jail was his own fault.
Moreover, he has forfeited the right to become a citizen and with it the
possibility to fashion his career to his own liking. However, this officer
does not know whether this is a driving factor in his decision or wish to
perform dangerous work. It is felt that Subject was to a certain extent
always an adventurer. He was accustomed to "move around" from childhood on.
Besides, he was always determined to get ahead in life and to make money.
His activity during the war was positively not dictated by ideological
motivation only. There was a definite gain to be had in the forgery field.
If his actions now appear to be presented as purely ideological ones, this
cannot be completely true, because at the same time Subject states that he
enjoyed making money and spending it.

There seems also to be in him a like for the clandestine and illegal
combined with a satisfaction derived from general disaster as for example
war. Subject told us that he had an opportunity to see artillery in action
and that he liked to see them fire. He also liked to see flaming houses.
Yet, on the other hand, he kept himself out of any active participation in
military action and rather concentrated on the task of producing good for-
geries and making money.

Politically speaking he hates Russians. He said that the German theory
of the Untermensch is true in regard to the Russians. Subject says that he
dislikes Communism but that Russians are for him the enemies par excellence.
Otherwise he has no national or racial prejudices. He says that he does not
practice any religion, however, he added, "Believe in your God; I shall
believe in mine".

Subject is an excellent conversationalist and definitely has the ability
to get along with most personalities without actually accepting their ideas
or ways of thinking. He has read a lot, however, it is felt that his knowl-
edge of scientific matters (he has claimed to have such knowledge) is based
on para-scientific literature which he reads indiscriminately.

Subject is an individualist. He is probably rather ruthless in further-
ing his personal interests. His present ambition is to be somebody in life.

It is this officer's opinion that Subject is rather suitable for REDSOX.
He has the traits of a born clandestine operator and a liking for the dangerous
and illegal. Physically he is healthy and enduring (proven by his long stay
in various rough jails). He learns easily and is assimilating easily. On the
other hand, his handling might sometimes present problems, because he may act
impulsively and rashly believing that what he does is the right thing to do.
It is this officer's feeling that Subject might sometimes not think matters
over preferring to make snap decisions and to act accordingly.

4. Recommendations:

It is recommended that Subject be assessed by our psychiatrists in Washington. It is this officer's opinion that any purely psychometrical assessment will not yield the necessary results. Generally speaking, Subject has desirable traits and aptitudes for REDSOX or other intelligence tasks.

Patrick B. Novens

201-233477

Preliminary Assessment of *Subject*

1. Brief Biography

Subject is a 40-year-old Georgian emigre living in Gap, Hautes Alpes, France. Together with his parents, brother and sister he moved from the disintegrating Georgian Republic in 1921 to France where he has lived ever since, except for about three years during World War II when he worked voluntarily in Germany as a semi-skilled laborer. He joined the French Foreign Legion in 1940 and was demobilized in 1941, having served for the most part in North Africa. While in Germany he developed a skill at forging documents which he used to help French officers to escape to France. He was arrested, convicted and jailed for about a year and a half. After the war he returned to Paris. In 1946 and 1947 Subject committed two armed robberies. In 1949 he robbed a bank in Paris, subsequent to which he was caught, convicted and sentenced to ten years, which was later reduced to five by the terms of a general amnesty. Since his release in 1955 Subject has lived in Gap where his brother lives and works as a moderately successful architect. Subject was married in 1947, divorced in 1950 while in prison. He has a daughter, born before his arrest, and a son, born while he was in prison.

2. Comments on Contact and Debriefing

Subject was contacted by Anthony H. Friganza in Gap on 15 October 1958, having been assessed and prepared for the contact by AEPADDLE, an old friend of Subject's, in February 1958. Shortly after the first meeting with Friganza, Subject met Patrick B. Newens and Peter K. Winship. In the course of meetings lasting a total of about 22 hours he was debriefed and a preliminary assessment, including a Wechsler-Bellevue psychological test, was made. There was no difficulty in authenticating ourselves with Subject and in the course of our conversations, we got no indication that Subject did not answer the questions put to him in a frank and open manner. If anything, Subject perhaps tried to please for it is clear that Subject would like a job, almost any job, with us because his present situation in Gap is restrictive and holds no promise of a future. But, Subject by nature is not easily debriefed or interrogated because he is glib and seems quite naturally to answer specific questions in a general way. Noteworthy and indicative is the fact that the only birth date Subject knew was his own; he did not know his parents', his wife's or even his brother's. This is not suspicious or unique, but it indicates that it is difficult to pin him down to hard facts. When dealing with unpleasantnesses or touchy matters, Subject is apt to philosophize as he did when he was asked questions about the current relationship of his brother and his new wife. Rather than give opinions on facts about the girl, he noted that marriage is sixty percent love and forty percent comedy, which is very Gallic and probably true, but only in a round-about way at best does it express Subject's personal views on the question under discussion. No attempt to be tough with Subject was made and there was no occasion when we conveniently could have challenged his sincerity or veracity, so we do not know how Subject behaves in such circumstances. We would guess that he would adopt a take-it-or-leave-it attitude. He would not be easily bullied.

3. Education, Languages and Cultural Standing

Subject probably has a better-than-average formal education for a Frenchman who did not and never planned to go on to a university. He has a fair lay knowledge of history but is ill informed on details. Subject is something of a scientific dillutant, interested in the atom, gadgets, electrical and mechanical, and probably the gamut of scientific and pseudo-scientific things and ideas. His knowledge is broad but not deep; in some things it is about as deep as Popular Mechanics, but in others he knows considerably more.

Subject claims to speak Georgian with native fluency. He may speak it well but we venture that it is not the same language currently being spoken in Georgia, this probably being so if only because he has never been to Georgia. There is no indication he uses the language much, if at all. He speaks, reads and writes French with native fluency. Actually, French is his native language; at least he calculates in French. He speaks and reads German well and is capable of expressing himself quite fully in it. He understands English and can say simple things in it. Subject says he is able to understand and speak Spanish well enough to get along.

Culturally Subject is French. His mannerisms are French, as are his habits. If there is anything particularly Georgian about Subject, it is not noticeable. We would guess that Subject was brought up in not too well-to-do middle class circumstances but under the influence of his parents who had had a much better, possibly even prosperous, past.

4. Character and personality: It is safe to describe Subject as independent and as a man who instinctively prefers to take his chances relying on his own abilities and resources in order to avoid compromising his independence by assuming commitments. He is a long wolf type. We guess that Subject behaves this way partly because he has an exaggerated notion of his competence, and partly because he is impatient with delay and with people who are less quick or able than he. Even though Subject needs people and likes company, he does not want to have his affairs, particularly his business affairs, dependent on others. There are several points which support this: By his own admission (which, incidentally, may be quite misleading because he may have quite incorrect opinion of himself) Subject as a student was obstreperous, impatient, and consistently so. As a voluntary worker in Germany when he seems to have struck out on his own is further evidence. Now, rather than taking a steady job of some kind which suits his abilities, Subject is once again striking out on his own, although his record as a convict mitigates this because he has no choice. One of the reasons why Subject does this is that he has an insatiable desire to strike it rich or at least to achieve success and stature. This desire to attain something may be related to the comparison he must be forced to make between his record and that of his brother: His has been unruly, irregular, troublesome, and he has attained very little; his brother became an officer in the French army (even though he was foreign born) and is now a so-so successful architect. Also, we suspect that we have not yet gotten the full story on Subject's marriage and divorce. Perhaps Subject married unwisely, lost face with his family, and/or was considered a low type mate by his wife's family even before he was arrested.

One of the underlying reasons why Subject is so independent is that he is impatient. In conversation we noticed that he did not wait for questions we wished to put to him to be fully formed. He tends to interrupt in order to supply usually interesting and sometimes even pertinent information. He seems unable to bide his time. We doubt that Subject has any definable moral or other standards. In any given instance he either rationalizes the facts in order to reach a conclusion which appealed to him to begin with, or he simply overlooks the certain significant issue. # Still, Subject is not amoral or a criminal type. We believe he knows right and wrong, sometimes considers whether any given act would be right or wrong and/or decent. His trouble is that not having any firm base point leads him into moral contradictions which he can't solve and frequently is unaware of because he doesn't really care. We doubt that Subject's morals concerning drink, women, gambling and the other vices differ greatly at most from the average person of approximately the same background. To repeat, it is not that Subject has no standards -- his are just different and peculiarly his own.

We believe that Subject is sentimental but is ashamed of being so; he makes a joke of it. He wants to be liked by people and realizes that most people find him interesting, entertaining and attractive. We think that Subject unconsciously gives an important place to comradeship. He can influence people and be influenced by them. He is mature about such things but still we think he would stick by a friend in trouble, perhaps to the point of foolishness. Because Subject has the winning personality he does, he has never been obliged to retain friends and/or acquaintances because he knows he will always be able to find new ones. Interestingly enough Subject more than once distinguished between a friend and an acquaintance, and in so many words stated that he has had very few friends as such.

Subject demonstrated that he is able to reason and to do so very well. He saw the solution of logical and algebraic problems he was given remarkably quickly. While attempting to solve these problems, Subject reasoned well enough but showed that his memory is perhaps not as good. Subject knew his own date of birth and hardly any other; of course, he had no interest in the others.

Subject is perceptive and is able to combine his perception with his ability to reason. He made several remarks which indicated that he saw through the behaviour of certain personalities, political questions, etc., which were discussed. Subject is observant but we did not have sufficient time with him to know whether his powers of observation are excellent or simply above average.

Subject has a winning personality and is quite capable in dealing with people. He listens well, talks rapidly and at length without attempting to monopolize the conversation. He is quite willing to climb out on a conversational limb, listen when he hears someone else speak up who is apparently better informed, and asks questions if his interest has been aroused. We would guess that Subject would be particularly well suited to dealing with the public. He nicely combines pseudo-attentiveness and Gallic charm.

We doubt that Subject has much resolve and almost certainly would not stick to a boring, difficult job for very long. We doubt he would simply quit in a huff; he would far prefer to rationalize and, if necessary, to manufacture excuses for release. Connected with this is the possible character weakness in Subject concerning his reliability to fulfill any given set task. We doubt that he would oversleep or get drunk but if he personally did not understand and appreciate the importance of the job, he would be inclined to let it slide or ignore it. An excellent point in Subject's favor is that he could not be brow beaten or easily dominated. For instance, we doubt that even the threat of physical torture would force Subject to tell something he did not wish to say. To preclude any such crisis, Subject would be glib, second guess his interrogators, invent facts or at least rearrange them to suit whatever purpose he might have in mind. Subject could probably be dominated by a man of superior intelligence (at least considerably superior to his) who spoke native French and was sufficiently informed about Subject's background so that he could catch out Subject in any one of his evasions.

Subject is a materialist because he has the idea that material success is necessarily the passport to respectability, social success, happiness and a host of other such abstract imponderables. Not that Subject is too unhappy or emotionally unbalanced at the present time; he enjoys the very carefree life he leads. We think that because Subject has this desire for material gain and hence respectability, the promise of a sizeable financial reward would by itself be sufficient to win his loyalty and wholehearted cooperation. This is not to say that the anti-Communist struggle for good and against evil means nothing to him. It does, of course, and he might undertake dangerous work for the love of adventure, but he would do so with a great deal more verve and conviction if he were sure of the pot of gold at the end of the road.

FORM 1047 53c	USE PREVIOUS EDITION.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	[X] CONTINUED	PAGE NO. 3

5. Resourcefulness and Adaptability: We believe Subject is extremely resourceful and would be so in nearly any circumstance. He is glib and he can talk. We doubt he is a slave to preconceptions. Because his moral standards are neither well defined and are elastic, his reasoning and behaviour is not constricted by them. He is a pragmatist through and through. He is also a realist. We thought Subject's appraisal of his own position, possibilities for the future, his past, etc., were disarmingly accurate and candid. However, Subject is 40 years old and we doubt that he could be made to change his ways, at least not for very long. We think he would work hard at learning any new job just so long as he found it interesting, adventurous, and/or materially rewarding. He has turned his hand to a lot of things and is experienced at being obliged to experiment and adapt himself to new situations in order to avoid trouble, discomfort, and work.

6. Willingness and motivation: Concerning a REDSOX mission and/or a repatriation mission under our direction, we think Subject is sincere and correct in claiming he is a willing candidate. We doubt that he would attempt to beg off at the last moment. He is no physical coward. However, Subject is willing for REDSOX because in it he sees a way out of the bind he is now in. He can't leave France because there is nowhere to go. Because he has a criminal record he is unable to build a future for himself in France, and he is unable to travel in order to look for possibilities. He has been exiled to a hick town where he is paroled to his brother who is to some extent responsible for his good behaviour. So basically Subject is willing because it is a way out. There is an element of adventure in his willingness but any adventurous ideas would immediately evaporate if Subject were a French citizen and had a financially successful business. Subject is anti-Communist, etc., we feel quite certain, but he is either too jaded, or too mature, or too cynical to permit such notions to play much of a role. If he were given the idea that KUBARK demands fiery anti-Communist motivation, Subject would do his best to convince us that that is what he is. Subject in so many words said that one of his prime desires was to get out of France, and as a first choice to go to the United States. The promise of American citizenship would weigh in heavily as a factor in Subject's willingness to undertake almost any REDSOX mission regardless of the danger. In a word, Subject's motivation is material.

7. Handling of Subject: The handling of Subject from this point on must be ruthless and calculated. We think that Subject would be neither shocked nor offended by such handling; as a matter of fact he probably expects it. We would recommend against paying Subject more than the absolute minimum he needs to survive until the mission had been completed. If Subject is removed from France, some means must be found to convince Subject that we can dispose of him to an area or a place at least as disadvantageous to Subject as his present one is. In other words, don't give Subject the carrot until he has done the job. Subject would not necessarily need to be trained in French as this is the only language in which he is perfectly fluent. Even though his Georgian is very good, it is not current and he could be used only on a black mission. He appears to be physically rugged and in good condition. Very arduous and exacting training would be salutary.

We do not think that Subject would be an awkward disposal problem if he were transferred to AEACRE for training and his mission were subsequently cancelled. Given some money and a job, he could be resettled in any South American country without difficulty.

8. Recommendation:

(a) We hope there is sufficient information on Subject now recorded on which Headquarters will be able to decide if Subject is of interest for REDSOX or not. If he is, he should be further debriefed and interrogated and given the LCFLUTTER test. The LCFLUTTER test could be given in Paris or Frankfurt.

If it is given in Paris there will be a less favorable climate, some risk will be taken that we might have difficulties with the French, and we might face several operational problems in getting a secure house, etc.

If the test is given in Frankfurt, Subject would necessarily need to travel here on a phoney German Reisenausweis (which we consider he could use properly from the language point of view). The principal risk in bringing Subject to Frankfurt is that Subject might have an accident or he might manufacture some reason not to return. In either case we might have an awkward disposal problem on our hands and we might be embarrassed vis-a-vis the Germans.

We would prefer to bring Subject to Frankfurt because we believe that Subject is bona fide and would be unlikely to double cross us.

(b) We wish to emphasize that this is a preliminary assessment and recommendation. With that caveat we would recommend Subject for a fully black REDSOX mission which requires: (1) able bodiness, (2) superior intelligence (considering the calibre of person who is likely to be willing) and reasoning power, (3) willingness to undertake an extremely hazardous mission, (4) no native Russian fluency, (5) basic technical, mechanical, and pseudo-scientific abilities. We would hesitate at this point to recommend Subject for a repatriation mission even though he is willing because we question whether Subject has the resolve or patience for such a task.

Anthony H. Friganza

SECRET

s/c Attachment E to
EGPA-28054

NOV 1 1959

Identity A is Mme. DUPUYT, 30 rue Cabanis, Paris XIVeme.

Identity B is David de Panashhet, 1 Avenue de Bellevue, Gap, Hautes-Alpes.

Identity C is GAF #1082

Identity D is Dr. Hendryck S. Vincent
405th Personnel Utilization and Evaluation Unit
APO 757
U. S. Army, Germany

SECRET

CS COPY

201-233477

14-00000

625 SE/LSB/LSB/

ORIG : G. Fill
UNIT : SR/DOB
EXT : 8331
DATE : 17 September 1958

625

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	3/DOB
3		6	2/DOB

TO : FRANKFURT PARIS

FROM : DIRECTOR

CONF : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

SEP 19 21 01:58
REC'D CABLE SECT.

PRECEDENCE				
	DEFERRED	PRIORITY	INITIALS
X	ROUTINE	OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE	INITIALS

OUT74763

TO FROB INFO FRAN PARI CITE DIR 41763

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

REF A. FROB 1947 (IN 35899)*

B. EGFA 22041

(C/S COMMENT: * QUERIED IF HQS DESIRED FOB CONTACT AND ASSESS SUBJ OFPA 39997.)

1. POA GRANTED.

2. IF POSSIBLE, SUGGEST FRIGANZA CONDUCT DEBRIEFING AND INITIAL ASSESSMENT IN PLACE.

3. DEBRIEFING EMPHASIS ON POSSIBILITY RECRUITMENT OF RELATIVES OR INDUCED REPATRIATION.

4. MOVEMENT TO GERMANY FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT CONTINGENT ON FAVORABLE ASSESSMENT AND HQS EVALUATION OF OPS POTENTIAL.

END OF MESSAGE

WE/3

SR/3

22 SEP 1958

SR/COP/FI

EE/COP

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

C/SR/DOB MICHAEL RAE

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

S-E-C-R-E-T

 Copy No.

21-23477

SECRET

PERSONAL RECORD QUESTIONNAIRE
PART II - OPERATIONAL INFORMATION

PRQ NO.

DISPATCH NO.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CASE OFFICER

1. This form must be filled in by the case officer or appropriate authority on the basis of the best available information. It is not to be shown to the subject.
2. Normally, headquarters will be unable to issue an approval unless requester furnishes answers to all questions in PRQ parts I and II. However, in an emergency provisional approval may be obtained by complying with the applicable provisions of FR 10-215, paragraphs 6a, 8, 12b and 12d. Thereafter the usual method to document or complete the case must be followed as set forth in FR 10-215.
3. Three (3) completed copies of PRQ part I (including copy in subject's handwriting, if possible) and two (2) completed copies of this form must be sent to headquarters as soon as possible.
4. Data acquired later must be sent to headquarters in PRQ format in two (2) copies.
5. Fill in all items, if information obtainable. If item not applicable write "NA." If additional space required for item, use reverse side of page keyed to appropriate item number.

1. CRYPTONYM

AEASPIC

2. PSEUDONYM

SECTION I — CONTACT AND DEVELOPMENT

1. INDICATE HOW, WHEN, WHERE, WHY, AND BY WHOM SUBJECT WAS FIRST CONTACTED OR DEVELOPED.

Initially contacted by AEPADDLE on spotting trip to France in early 1958.

Subsequently contacted by Frankfurt and Paris case officers.

2. INDICATE WHETHER SUBJECT COULD BE TURNED OVER QUICKLY AND IN A SECURE MANNER TO ANY RESPONSIBLE CASE OFFICER FOR HANDLING. IF SO, INDICATE TO WHOM.

Frankfurt Case Officer, Anthony H. FRIGANZA, is in touch with agent and presumably could arrange transfer of Subject from France to Germany for further transportation to the U.S.

3. LIST OTHER CASE OFFICERS WHO HAVE HANDLED SUBJECT OR WHOM HE KNOWS OR HAS KNOWN. GIVE NAMES BY WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN KNOWN.

Anthony H. FRIGANZA (p) Frankfurt c/o. Alias used not indicated.
Patrick B. NEWENS (p) Contract Employee, Frankfurt. Alias used not indicated.
Francis M. BANKSHIRE (p) Psychologist. Alias used "John".
Paris representative Interpreter. Alias used "Paul".

FORM NO. 1050a 1 NOV 56 — REPLACES FORM 51-95a WHICH MAY BE USED. — 14-301

SECRET

SECTION II — MOTIVATION AND CONTROL

1. ESTIMATE SUBJECT'S MOTIVATION. *(Be detailed. If possible cite evidence. Do not use stock comment such as "anti-communist.")*

Primary motivation appears to be acquisition of employment which would permit his removal from his present restricted surroundings.
Secondary motivation possibly is to acquire citizenship of a country other than France. Other motivations likely include financial reward.

2. INDICATE WHAT CONTROL, IF ANY, EXISTS OVER THE SUBJECT. *(If no control, or if there is a lack of real control explain.)*

No control as yet since Subject has not been contracted with nor have specific commitments been made to him.

SECTION III — COVER

1. COVER USED BY SUBJECT AND CASE OFFICER IN THEIR MEETINGS.

Not indicated in files.

2. COVER USED BY SUBJECT IN OBTAINING HIS INFORMATION AND IN CONTACTING HIS INFORMANTS.

N.A.

3. INDICATE SERVICE FOR WHICH SUBJECT THINKS HE *(will work) (is working)*.

U.S. Government. Files indicate Subject may look on contacts as U.S. Intelligence representatives.

SECTION IV — INTELLIGENCE CONNECTIONS AND TRAINING

1. EXPLAIN SUBJECT'S KNOWN PAST OR PRESENT CONNECTION WITH ANY OTHER INTELLIGENCE SERVICE. INDICATE THE EXTENT SUCH CONNECTION IS KNOWN OVERTLY.

None indicated in file.

2. LIST OTHER INDIVIDUALS *(Agents, relatives, friends)* WHO KNOW OF SUBJECT'S PRESENT INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.

Presumably none.

3. EXPLAIN ANY TRAINING SUBJECT HAS HAD IN CLANDESTINE OPERATIONS.

None indicated in files.

SECTION V — COLLABORATION POTENTIAL

1. INDICATE OTHER FOREIGN POWER SUBJECT WOULD BE MOST LIKELY TO COLLABORATE WITH BECAUSE OF HIS IDEOLOGY OR PAST ASSOCIATIONS. EXPLAIN.

None. Subject is Georgian by birth; however, his family fled to France when he was 3 years old. Therefore, for all practical purposes he might be considered French but due to his past record in France, it is probable he feels no allegiance to France.

SECTION VI — OPERATIONAL USE - CONTACT

1. IF SUBJECT HAS BEEN USED OR CONTACTED BEFORE, INDICATE ACTIVITY IN WHICH HE WAS ENGAGED AND THE TYPE OF INFORMATION HE HAS FURNISHED.

N.A.

2. INDICATE HOW AND FROM WHOM SUBJECT OBTAINS INFORMATION.

N.A.

3. INDICATE WHAT HAS BEEN TOLD SUBJECT AS TO THE DISPOSITION OF INFORMATION HE FURNISHES.

N.A.

4. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED FI OR CI TYPE USE OR DUTIES.

It is desired Subject be further assessed and placed in training in the U.S. for possible use as a member of a REDSOX team for a black operation into the USSR.

5. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED PP-PM TYPE USE OR DUTIES.

N.A.

SECTION VII — PROPOSED LIAISON OR OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY

1. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED LIAISON TYPE CONTACT OR OPERATIONAL USE:

A. WHERE SUBJECT IS AN OFFICIAL MEMBER OF A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT:

N.A.

B. WHERE SUBJECT IS AN OFFICIAL MEMBER OF AN ODYOKE AGENCY:

N.A.

SECTION VIII — AGENCY RELATIONSHIP WITH SUBJECT

1. EXPLAIN NATURE OF AGENCY RELATIONSHIP WITH SUBJECT, I.E. TRAINEE, OPPOSITE NUMBER, REMUNERATION, IF ANY, AGENCY PERSONNEL KNOWN TO SUBJECT.

Subject has been contacted by personnel listed in Section 1 (3) whom he probably knows as U.S. representatives, presumably all under pseudonyms.

SECTION IX	QUALIFICATIONS FOR OTHER OPERATIONS

1. INDICATE SPECIAL ABILITIES, TALENTS, OR QUALIFICATIONS POSSESSED BY SUBJECT WHICH COULD CONCEIVABLY BE OF VALUE FOR OTHER OPERATIONS:

Claims to be good at documentation forgery.
States he engineered the escape of several French Officers from German imprisonment during WWII.

SECTION X	COMMITMENTS

1. IF IT IS PROPOSED TO PAY SUBJECT A REGULAR SALARY, INDICATE THE WEEKLY AMOUNT. IF PAYMENT TO BE MADE IN EXCHANGE COMMODITIES, SUBSIDY OR OTHER METHOD, SPECIFY AND GIVE DETAILS.

No specific salary or other commitment has as yet been offered Subject but it is felt he should receive approximately $600. per month while in training and debriefing status with no guaranteed bonus but provisions made for possible maximum bonus of $10,000., which could also be used as death benefits.

2. EXPLAIN ANY PROMISES, OTHERWISE NOT COVERED HEREIN, MADE TO SUBJECT. (Example: Commitments regarding evacuation of subject and family.)

No commitments made as yet.

SECTION XI	CE AND SECURITY

1. INDICATE THE FIELD AGENCIES AND FIELD FILES WITH WHICH SUBJECT'S NAME HAS BEEN CHECKED AND THE RESULTS.

Field traces thru Paris Station reveal Subject was imprisoned in 1936 for a brief period after which he was released in the custody of his Mother. Imprisoned in 1950 for robbery and presently on parole from prison in custody of his Brother.

2. INDICATE OTHER INVESTIGATIVE MEASURES TAKEN TO VERIFY BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION FURNISHED BY SUBJECT.

Frankfurt and Paris case officers have worked with Georgian colony in France where Subject is known.

3. INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT PROVISIONAL OPERATIONAL APPROVAL OR OPERATIONAL APPROVAL FOR SUBJECT'S USE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY OBTAINED.	4. DATE APPROVAL OBTAINED
POA C-73532	15 September 1958

5. EVALUATE SUBJECT'S CHARACTER, RELIABILITY AND SECURITY, CITING EVIDENCE WHERE POSSIBLE.

History of impulsive actions indicate Subject has nerve and daring. Although the Psychologist feels Subject, "is likely to be loyal to a person whom he regards as his superior", his impulsiveness and immaturity would make him a handling problem. It has been reported that he is an exhibitionist and an egotist but his personality includes the fact that he reflects a superior intelligence.

6. EXPLAIN ANY SITUATION OR INDISCRETION IN WHICH SUBJECT MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WHICH MUST BE EVALUATED FROM A CE/OPERATIONAL SECURITY VIEWPOINT.

No reflected in files.

SECTION XII	SUBJECT'S PERSONAL HABITS

1. USE OF LIQUOR, DRUGS, GAMBLING, PARAMOURS.

Files do not indicate excessive habits.

SECTION XIII — AVOCATIONAL INTERESTS

1. INDICATE SUBJECT'S HOBBIES OR INTEREST IN SPORTS, MUSIC, ETC.

Reads considerably.

Mechanically inclined. Spends considerable time inventing and developing mechanical gadgets.

SECTION XIV — GENERAL

1. INDICATE WHETHER SUBJECT'S LANGUAGE ABILITIES AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS ARE SUCH AS HE COULD PASS AS A NATIVE OF A COUNTRY OR REGION OTHER THAN HIS OWN. EXPLAIN.

French - native. Although of Georgian birth and ancestry, Subject has lived most of his life in France.

2. INDICATE WHETHER INFORMATION IN PRQ PARTS I AND II WAS OBTAINED BY RESEARCH, CLANDESTINE ASSESSMENT, FILES, CORRESPONDENCE OR OTHER MEANS.

PRQ Part I obtained by case officer in field, directly from Subject.

PRQ Part II extracted from Subject's 201 file.

3. IF OTHER THAN ROUTINE ACTION IS REQUIRED, IF AND WHEN TERMINATION OF SUBJECT IS EFFECTED, PLEASE EXPLAIN. *(Also see para. 43b, supra)*

May require settlement in U.S. if this is offered Subject as inducement.

SECTION XV — EMERGENCY CONDITIONS RECONTACT ARRANGEMENTS

1. GIVE FULL DETAILS I.E. RECOGNITION SIGNALS, S/W, WHERE COULD CONTACT BE MADE; WHAT BONA FIDES COULD INDIVIDUAL MAKING CONTACT USE?

Not indicated in files. Frankfurt Station has information.

SECTION XVI — MISCELLANEOUS OPERATIONAL DATA AND REMARKS

N.A.

DATE	SIGNATURE OF APPROPRIATE SENIOR OFFICER
9 March 1959	GEORGE A. FILL

SECRET

HAND CARRY

Prepare in duplicate

SECTION A

REQUEST FOR: Pseudonym ______ Alias ______ Crypto or derivative number X
(Check one)

1. Pseudonym Assigned ______

Alias Assigned ______

Cryptonym Assigned [illegible]PIC-1

2. Project or Agent (give adequate description) (If a project please give description at bottom of page/Section B) Agent is a Georgian living in France who is being processed as a possible REDSOX agent of FOB.

3. Status (Staff Employee; Staff, Contract, or Field Agent; etc) ______

4. DOB Frank McNulty 5. FI
Branch/Staff Case Officer (Type of Activity: FI, PP, CE, etc)

6. To be used in cable traffic (yes or no) yes

NOTE: List any pseudonyms or cryptonyms previously assigned none

****

SECTION B

True Name David TSITSICHVILI
or
Project description ______

SR/MC ______

Sections A and B are to be separated by SR/MC when completed

SECRET

201-233477

S E C R E T

TO: Edgar C. E. Fash

FROM: FI/D

Subject:

The reply on your Green List Request

Number	Name
3477	TZITZICHVILI, David

was completed and forwarded to CI/OA

11 SEP 1958

S E C R E T

201-233477

S E C R E T

PROVISIONAL OPERATIONAL APPROVAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SR/DOB - Fill

NO: C-73532

SUBJECT: TZITZICHVILI, David

Reference: Your memorandum dated 8 Sept 1958

Provisional Operational Approval is granted for the use of this Subject as requested in referenced memorandum.

A Provisional Operational Approval issued by KUDESK grants the same authority, on a temporary basis, for the use of an individual as an agent as the authority granted in an Operational Approval. It is based, however, only on preliminary file checks and investigation and is subject to a further final review when all investigation is complete.

An Operational Approval will follow when all investigation is completed. To process the Operational Approval, full information (complete PRQ Parts I and II) must be submitted as soon as possible and not later than six months (6) from this date.

CI/OPERATIONAL APPROVAL AND SUPPORT DIVISION

DATE: 15 Sept 1958

des

S E C R E T

14-00000

SECRET

THIS BLANK FORM IS CLASSIFIED:

8 SEP

1. SUBJECT (NAME, IN ROMAN LETTERS AND IN NORMAL ORDER)	RESPONSE TO GREEN LIST REQUEST NO. 081777
David TZITZICHVILI	#081777 REQUEST 8 Sept. 1958
2. ALIASES, VARIANT SPELLINGS, CTC NUMBERS, ETC.	REQUEST FROM
	NAME OF ORIGINATING OFFICER: Edgar C.B. Pash
3. BIRTH DATE: 20 December 1919, or 12 July 1918	OFFICE DESIGNATOR: SR/DOB
	ROOM, BUILDING: 1052 J
	EXTENSION: 4705
4. BIRTH PLACE: Tibilsi, Georgia	14. WAS CONTINUING SEARCH REQUESTED? X YES ___ NO
5. CITIZENSHIP: Stateless	15. TYPE OF REQUEST: X FIRST TIME SUBMITTED; ___ ADDITIONAL DATA; ___ COVER NAME
6. PRESENT ACTIVITY AND LOCATION: Address: 1 bis rue de Bellvue, GAP (Hautes Alpes) France.	16. INTEREST IN SUBJECT: ___ ESPIONAGE OR CE ACTIVITY; X OPERATIONAL CLEARANCE; ___ OTHER
7. OCCUPATIONAL SPECIALTIES, EDUCATION	17. INFORMATION REQUIRED: CE or communist activity.

8. MILITARY SERVICE

SERVICE ARM, COUNTRY	SPECIALTY	LOCATION	DATES
French Army, private			1939-1941

9. PAST OCCUPATIONS

ACTIVITY	LOCATION	DATES
Subject worked in Germany from 1943 to 1945.		SEP 15 4 06 PM '58

10. DESCRIPTION (HEIGHT) (WEIGHT) (EYES) (HAIR) (RACE) (OTHER)

11. SPOUSE (IF WIFE, GIVE MAIDEN NAME)

Subject was married to Renee PARROT. Now divorced.

12. OTHER MEMBERS OF IMMEDIATE FAMILY, AND ASSOCIATES

Subject lives with brother, Artchil de PANASKET.

13. OTHER SIGNIFICANT DATA (POLITICS, RELIGION, ETC) ATTACH EXTRA SHEETS WHEN NEEDED

INSTRUCTIONS: INSERT CARBON; FILL OUT FORMS COMPLETELY.
SEND BOTH SHEETS TO FI/D. 201-233457 SEE CSI-10-7

FORM 5-57 501 REPLACES FORM 51-125 WHICH MAY BE USED.

SECRET

SECRET

TO:

DATE

FROM: FI/D

A. [ ] SEE DOSSIER ON SUBJECT IN FI/STD BIOGRAPHIC FILES.

B. [ ] A MEMORANDUM IS ATTACHED HERETO.

C. [ ] WITH THE LIMITED IDENTIFICATION PROVIDED IN THE REQUEST, STD IS UNABLE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS INFORMATION ABOUT THE SUBJECT OR NOT.

D. [XXX] FI/STD HAS NO ~~PERTINENT~~ INFORMATION ON SUBJECT. IF A CONTINUING SEARCH WAS REQUESTED IN SECTION 14, INFORMATION ABOUT THE SUBJECT WILL BE REPORTED TO YOU AS IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

E. [ ] THE SUBJECT OF THIS REQUEST IS APPARENTLY THE SUBJECT OF THE FOLLOWING:

16 Sept 58

NOTE TO THE ORIGINATING OFFICE

WHEN THE SUBJECT IS NO LONGER IMPORTANT TO YOUR OFFICE, THIS SHEET, WITH THE FOLLOWING CANCELLATION NOTICE COMPLETED, SHOULD BE SENT TO FI/STD.

REVIEW YOUR FILE OF THESE SHEETS FREQUENTLY, AND SEND TO FI/STD THE SHEETS FOR PERSONS THAT ARE NO LONGER OF SUFFICIENT INTEREST TO DEMAND A CONTINUING NAME CHECK. PLEASE DO NOT TIE UP FI/STD'S FACILITIES WITH CONTINUING SEARCHES FOR INFORMATION THAT IS NOT REQUIRED.

TO: FI/D

DATE

FROM:

NAME
OFFICE DESIGNATOR
ROOM, BUILDING
EXTENSION

CANCEL THE STOP CARD FOR THIS REQUEST

SECRET

14-00000

SECRET
(When Filled In)

REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION AND APPROVAL

DATE: 8 September 1958
PROJECT: AEDEPOT

TO: CI/Operational Approval and Support Division
FROM: (Division) SR/DOB

SUBJECT	CI/OA FILE NO.	SO FILE NO.
TRUE NAME: David TZITZICHVILI		
CRYPTONYM OR PSEUDONYM:		

TYPE OF AGENT OR EMPLOYEE: REDSOX agent

USE OF AGENT OR EMPLOYEE (Full details - Specify country of assignment)

Subject will be contacted and assessed by Frankfurt Operations Base personnel to determine his capability and suitability as a potential REDSOX agent.

TYPE ACTION REQUESTED

X	PROVISIONAL APPROVAL		PROVISIONAL COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL
	OPERATIONAL APPROVAL		COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL
	GOVERNMENT NAME CHECK		PROPRIETARY APPROVAL
	SPECIAL INQUIRY (SO field investigation)		OTHER:

PRQ AND GREEN LIST STATUS

X	PRQ I, OR EQUIVALENT, IS ATTACHED IN TWO COPIES	X	PRQ II WILL BE FORWARDED WHEN RECEIVED
	PRQ II IS ATTACHED, IF OBTAINABLE	X	GREEN LIST CHECK HAS BEEN INITIATED

FIELD TRACES

X	REVEAL NO INFORMATION ~~OF VALUE~~ NON-DEROG		WILL BE FORWARDED WHEN RECEIVED
	REVEAL INFORMATION AS ATTACHED		NOT INITIATED. NOT EXPECTED TO POSSESS RELEVANT INFO.

SUGGESTED INVESTIGATIVE COVER

X	GOVERNMENT		ACADEMIC
	COMMERCIAL		OTHER:

RI TRACES (*If derogatory attach summary)				DIVISION TRACES (*If derogatory attach summary)			
X RECORD	DEROGATORY*	X NON-DEROGATORY	NO RECORD	RECORD	DEROGATORY*	NON-DEROGATORY	NO RECORD

INDICATE SPECIAL LIMITATIONS ON INVESTIGATION OR SPECIAL COVERAGE DESIRED

Note: Please expedite. POA is needed at FOB by 15 September 1958.

SUBJECT	IS	AWARE	X	UNAWARE OF CIA INTEREST
		AWARE	X	UNAWARE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST
		AWARE	X	UNAWARE OF IMPENDING INVESTIGATION
	HAS	BEEN	X	NOT BEEN BRIEFED ON A "COVER STORY"

SIGNATURE OF CASE OFFICER	EXTENSION	SIGNATURE OF BRANCH CHIEF
GEORGE A. FILL	8331	MICHAEL RAE

FORM NO. 772 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.
1 AUG 56

SECRET

(8)

14-00000

SECRET

Biographic Data

Name: David TZITZICHVILI (No information shown why Subject's surname differs from that of father's.)

Date of Birth: 20 December 1919 (Some records show birthdate 12 July 1918.)

Place of Birth: Tibilsi, Georgia

Nationality: Georgian

Citizenship: Stateless

Address: Subject lives with brother at 1 bis rue de Bellvue, GAP (Hautes Alpes), France.

Employment: Subject is trying to set up an advertising agency and is also working on some inventions and patents.

Languages: Georgian, French, German, some English

Military service: 1939-1941 private in French Army. (Subject worked in Germany from 1943 to 1945. Aided French officer POW's to escape and was later arrested and sentenced to death for espionage. Liberated by U.S. Army in 1945.)

Relatives:

1) Father - Simon KANTCHELI. Lives in Tbilisi, Georgia.

2) Mother - Marie KANTCHELI. Deceased.

3) Sister - Helene LORTKIPANIDZE. About 42 years old. She and her husband live in Tbilisi.

4) Brother - Artchil. He changed his name to Artchil de PANASKET. About 41 years old. Lives in GAP (Hautes Alpes) France. Is a French citizen. Occupation - architect. (Subject lives with him.)

Marital status: Subject is divorced from his French wife, Renee PARROT. They had 2 children.

201-233477

SECRET

SECRET

David TZITZICHVILI

#08470

8 Sept. 1958

Edgar C.B. Pash
SR/COB
1052 J
4705

20 December 1919, or 12 July 1918

Tibilsi, Georgia

Stateless

Address: 1 bis rue de Bellvue, GAP (Hautes Alpes)
France.

CE or communist activity.

French Army, private

1939-1941

Subject worked in Germany from 1943 to 1945.

Subject was married to Renee PARROT. Now divorced.

Subject lives with brother, Artchil de PARASKEF.

SECRET

14-00000

SR/DOB PICTURES FOR PASSPORT (Sept. 4 1960)

AEASPIC


Pictures of AEASPIC











14-00000

SECRET
(When Filled In)

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE

SUBJECT OF DOCUMENT	DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION
	2. RI FILE NO.
	3. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO. / 4. DATE
	7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM / 8. DATE OF INFO. / 9. EVALUATION
5. ANALYST [signature] / 6. DATE PROCESSED	10. DISSEMINATED IN / 11. DATE

DOCUMENT DISPOSITION

12. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE	13. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.

PERTINENT INFORMATION

14.

THERE IS A [illegible] ON THE SUBJECT

OF THIS 201 HELD UNDER [illegible] 73532.

15. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.

FORM 8-57 867 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS. SECRET (7-46)

620 MH/LSB/LSB/C-1

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

ORIG : Edgar C.B. Pash
UNIT : SR/DOB
EXT : 4705
DATE : 5 September 1958

TO : FRANKFURT, PARIS

FROM : DIRECTOR

CONF : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/CA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

DEFERRED	PRIORITY	INITIALS
X ROUTINE	OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE	INITIALS

OUT 70033

TO FROB INFO FRAN, PARI CITE DIR

40056

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: FROB 1947, OFPA 39997

POA FOR SUBJECT IN PROCESS. WILL ADVISE FURTHER ACTION WHEN RECEIVED.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: * (IN 35899) HQS REQUESTED CONTACT AND ASSESSMENT SUBJ OFPA 39997.

WE/3 ____________

SR/3 ____________

SR/COP/FI ____________

EE/COP

FI

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

C/SR/DOB
MICHAEL RAE

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

SECRET

201-233477

14-00000

SAVE

362 SE/DJB/DJB

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 02 SEPT 1958

SECRET

ROUTING

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : FRANKFURT (FROB)

ACTION : SR 6

INFO : FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTINE

IN 35899

TO DIR INFO PARI FRAN CITE FROB 1947

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE

RE: OFPA 39997

DOES HDQS DESIRE FOB CONTACT AND ASSESS SUBJECT.

END OF MESSAGE

3 SEP 1958

mR

SECRET

201-233477

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION: SECRET NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OFPA - 39997

TO: Chief, SR

INFO: Chief, WE
Chief of Base, Frankfurt (ATTN: Anthony H. FRIGANZA)

HEADQUARTERS FILE NO.

FROM: Chief of Station, Paris, KUTUBE

DATE

SUBJECT: Operational/REDWOOD/REDSOX/AEACRE
REDSOX Candidate in France

RE "433" - (CHECK "X" ONE)
- MARKED FOR INDEXING
- X NO INDEXING REQUIRED
- INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY

ACTION REQUIRED: Headquarters' Comments on Paragraph 2

REFERENCE(S):
A. EGFA - 22041
B. PARI - 2456
C. DIR - 30031

1. Pursuant to Reference C, the Station requested UNWEAVE traces on the Subject of Reference A. The resulting report has been translated and is attached herewith.

2. The Station concurs with the suggestion that the REDSOX section of FOB undertake the recruitment and processing of the Subject. For reasons that need not be discussed in detail in this dispatch, the undersigned has become extensively known in the Georgian colony in true name, and plans to continue spotting and assessing Georgian candidates for other SR activities in France. The Georgian colony in France is small and the Station already has ample evidence that news of intelligence activity within the colony often becomes common knowledge very quickly. Therefore, it is best to risk an unknown outside asset for something so unequivocally compromising as a REDSOX recruitment.

3. Attached also is one of two copies of Subject's signature which was obtained by AEPADDIE as a means of establishing bona fides (see OFPW - 20982).

4. The Station will be glad to discuss the various problems of this case with FRIGANZA or anyone else designated by FOB. It is requested that Headquarters advise what further action will be required of the Paris Station.

Wallace N. Havedon
WALLACE N. HAVEDON

7 August 1958

Attachments:
A. UNWEAVE report
B. Bona fides

Distribution:
3 - SR, w/att A and B (2 cy A, 1 cy B)
1 - WE, w/att A (1 cy)
2 - Frankfurt, w/att A (2 cy)

207137

201-233477

USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.

CLASSIFICATION: SECRET NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

CONTINUED

PAGE NO.

SECRET [illegible] CONTROL

DIRECTION
de la
POLICE JUDICIAIRE

Cabinet du Directeur

N° H 591.595

R E C H E R C H E S

A tous SERVICES — PREFECTURE DE POLICE
SURETE NATIONALE
GENDARMERIE NATIONALE



Il y a lieu de rechercher très activement le nommé :

T Z I T Z I C H V I L I David, dit "DATOUNA", né le 20 décembre [illegible] à Tiflis (Géorgie), sans domicile connu.

Signalement :

1 m 67 à 1 m 70 - corpulence mince - visage allongé - nez long - cheveux chatain foncé - calvitie frontale.

Cet individu est l'auteur présumé du vol à main armée, commis le lundi 26 juin 1950, contre la BANQUE du CREDIT de l'OUEST, Bd Haussmann à Paris.

TZITZICHVILI se déplacerait en province, en compagnie de sa femme née PARROT, en état de grossesse avancée, et de leur fillette âgée de trois ans environ.

Il est susceptible de circuler à bord d'une voiture automobile dont la marque et le numéro sont inconnus.

Doit être considéré comme DANGEREUX - Peut être armé.

En cas de découverte, procéder à arrestation de TZITZICHVILI et de sa femme, et aviser d'urgence Etat-Major des Brigades Territoriales - Direction de la Police Judiciaire - Préfecture de Police - téléphone : [illegible] 13-66, et automatiques 1593, 1594 et 1595, ainsi que la 5ème Brigade Territoriale, 5, rue Achille Martinet à Paris (18°) - téléphones : Turbigo [illegible] ou MONT. 09-77, automatique : 1500.

PARIS, le 6 Juillet 1950.

LE DIRECTEUR DE LA POLICE JUDICIAIRE,
R. DENVAUX

2 A 2 1 3 4 7 7

SECRET [illegible] CONTROL

ENCLOSURE NO. A TO CSPA 29997

SECRET
NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

TZITZICHVILI, David (Alias DATOUNA)

1. TZITZICHVILI was born on 20 December 1919 at Tiflis in Georgia (USSR) of Simon and Marie KANTCHELI. He is also recorded as being born on 12 July 1918. In 1948 he married Renée PARROT; they had two children and lived at 28 rue Cabanis in Paris. He later divorced her.

2. TZITZICHVILI earned the first part of his baccalauréat, then entered the Foreign Legion at the beginning of World War II.

3. He was identified on 8 July 1950 as a participant in an armed bank robbery committed on 26 June 1949 in Paris. He was hunted throughout France; particularly in the area around Gap where his brother lives; and was finally arrested in Cergère on 11 July 1950, after abandonning an automobile that he had stolen at Sens (Yonne), while attempting to enter Spain illegally by way of the Pyrenees. 55,000 francs were found on his person, he confessed to the crime and told where he had hidden 540,000 francs (the remainder of his share of the hold-up). On 5 January 1953 he was condemned by the Cour d'Assises de la Seine to seven years of hard labor for the premeditated theft of 1,969,000 francs. Taking into consideration the time he served while waiting to be tried and his good behavior, he was released on 19 December 1955.

4. The measure to deport TZITZICHVILI because of arrest, passed on 12 February 1954, was modified on 14 February 1956 to limit him to residence in the Hautes Alpes department, where his brother Achille PARASKIET (formerly TZITZICHVILI) now lives.

5. TZITZICHVILI had planned on immigrating to Peru upon his release from prison, but he was refused an entrance visa to that country. He had an Italian friend in Lima named LANFRANCO RANGONI, who lives at Avenue Taena, Bureau 92 and is an official of the Peruvian Chemical Industry.

6. TZITZICHVILI had a rather stormy youth. He arrived in France in 1921 with his parents. In October 1936 he was condemned to imprisonment until the age of adulthood by the Tribunal pour Enfants de la Seine for theft. However, after a few weeks he was placed in his mother's custody. After that he fought in the War until 12 October 1940 when he was discharged. In 1943 he went to Germany as a voluntary worker and returned to France in June 1945.

7. Upon his arrest in July 1950, he was not only charged with armed robbery, for which he was sentenced, but also for four thefts accompanied by violence and an attempted theft, all committed between 1949 and June 1950.

SECRET
NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

CS COPY

201-233277

S E C R E T
NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

The attached was circulated by the police before TSITSICHVILI's arrest. His description is given as follows:

- 1m 67 to 1m 70 tall
- Thin build
- Long face
- Long nose
- Dark brown hair
- Balding in front

S E C R E T
NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

ENCLOSURE NO. B TO UFPA 39997

AUG 8 1953

SECRET



MEMORANDA

MEMORANDA

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY
SECRET
(When Filled In)

PERSONALITY (201) FILE REQUEST

TO: RI/ANALYSIS SECTION
DATE: 14 Aug 58
ACTION: [X] OPEN [ ] AMEND [ ] CLOSE
FROM: RID/201
ROOM NO.: 1040 K
TELEPHONE: 2027

INSTRUCTIONS: Form must be typed or printed in block letters.

SECTION I: List 201 number, name and identifying data in the spaces provided. All known aliases and variants (including maiden name, if applicable) must be listed. If the identifying data varies with the alias used, a separate form must be used. Write UNKNOWN for items you are unable to complete.

SECTION II: List cryptonym or pseudonym, if assigned. If true name is sensitive, obtain 201 number from 201 Control Desk and complete Section I and Section III. On a separate form, enter the 201 number and complete Section II and Section III. Submit each form separately.

SECTION III: To be completed in all cases.

SECTION I

[ ] SENSITIVE
[X] NON-SENSITIVE

201 NO. 233477
SOURCE DOCUMENT: OFPA-39997

NAME (Last) TZITZICHVILI, (First) David (Middle) (Title)
SEX: [X] M [ ] F

NAME VARIANT
TYPE NAME (Last) (First) (Middle) (Title)

PHOTO: [X] YES [ ] NO
BIRTH DATE: 20 . 12 . 19
COUNTRY OF BIRTH: USSR
CITY OR TOWN OF BIRTH: Tiflis
OTHER IDENTIFICATION: 1. 2. 3.
OCCUPATION/POSITION
OCC/POS. CODE

SECTION II

CRYPTONYM
PSEUDONYM

SECTION III

COUNTRY OF RESIDENCE: FRAN
ACTION DESK: SR/7
2ND COUNTRY INTEREST
3RD COUNTRY INTEREST

COMMENTS:

201-

PERMANENT CHARGE: [X] YES [ ] NO
RESTRICTED FILE: [X] YES [ ] NO
SIGNATURE: [signature]

FORM NO. 831 1 OCT 58 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

SECRET
CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

REQUESTOR'S COPY TO BE DESTROYED UPON RECEIPT OF MACHINE LISTING

14-00000

534 ERB/SW/

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ORIG : Francis McNulty
UNIT : SR/DOB 534
EXT : 4705
DATE : 27 June 1958

SECRET

ROUTING			
1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

TO : PARIS FRANKFURT

FROM : DIRECTOR

CONF : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

JUL 3 16 25 '58
CABLE SECT

	DEFERRED	PRIORITY	INITIALS
X	ROUTINE	OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE	INITIALS

OUT96319
OUT 96319

TO PARI INFO FRAN FROB CITE DIR

RRR EGFA 22041

30031
30031

1. REQUEST UNWEAVE TRACES PROVIDED SUBJECTS NAME CAN BE BURIED AMONG OTHERS.

2. POA REQUESTED FOR SUBJECT.

3. PHOTOS OF SUBJECT WILL BE SENT PARI AND FOB.

END OF MESSAGE

RI: Subj is David Tsitsichuili

7 JUL 1958

SR/2 ____

~~C~~ SR/~~COP/FI~~ ____

WE/3 ____

7 JUL 1958

C/WE/FI Robert K. Anderson
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

CSR/DOB Michael Rae
MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

201-233477

14-00000

201-233477

OFFICIAL DISPATCH

VIA AIR (Specify Air or Sea Pouch)

DISPATCH NO. EGFW-7178
74-6-39-1

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

TO Chief of Base, Frankfurt

DATE JUL 10 1958

FROM Chief, SR

INFO: COS, Germany
COS, Paris

SUBJECT GENERAL REDWOOD/REDSOX/AEACRE
SPECIFIC Photographs of Subject of EGFA-22041

ACTION REQUIRED: Information

1. Attached for your information and possible use in establishing bona fides with Subject are two photographs of him made in March 1958 at a ski resort in eastern France. The photographs are from movies taken by AEPADDLE/1, and the source will be obvious to Subject.

2. AEPADDLE/1 also obtained a specimen of Subject's name written backward on a slip of paper. This was sent to Paris in OFPW-20982, and could also be used to establish bona fides with Subject.

3. A POA has been requested, and the field will be notified when it is received.

4. We should appreciate the Paris station's comments on the relative merits of the proposals made in EGFA-22041 as to ways of approaching Subject.

David T. [illegible]

MICHAEL RAE

Attachments:
1-2 Photos of Subject

Distribution:
2 Addressee w/atts as stated one cy. ea.
2 COS, Paris w/atts. as stated 1 cy. ea.
2 COS, Germany wo/atts.

1-RI w/o atts.
2-SR/DOB/SA&E
1-SR/DOB Chrono

SR/DOB/SA&E/FMcNulty:nw/4705(30 June 58) (Releasing officer)
(Coordinating officer) SR/[illegible]
(Authenticating officer) [signature]

SR/COP/FI


MICHAEL RAE

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

FORM NO. 51-29
JUN 1949

13-61224-1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

201-233477



201-233477

14-00000

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 261 MM/DJB/ 25 JUNE 1958

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1	DOB	4	
2	DOB	5	
3		6	

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : PARIS

ACTION: SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/OPS, WE 4, S/C 2

JUN 25 1747Z 58

ROUTINE

IN 46759

TO DIR INFO CITE PARI 2456

REDWOOD AEACRE

RE OFPW 20982

1. ONLY STATION FACILITY CHECK STATUS SUBJECT REF IS UNWEAVE.

2. PLEASE ADVISE IF STATION SHOULD INITIATE REQUEST TRACES.

END OF MESSAGE

David TSITSICHVILI

201-39-1

26 JUN 1958

201-233477

S-E-C-R-E-T

Copy No.

SECRET
(WHEN FILLED IN)

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Use officer designations in the "TO" column. Number each comment to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Draw a line across the sheet under each comment. Each officer should date and initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record sheet should NOT be removed from the attached RECORD document.

FROM: RI/AN

DOCUMENT NUMBER: EGFA-22041

DOCUMENT DATE:

TO	ROOM NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS
1. SR/DCB	1C52 J	2 [illegible] 1959		[illegible]
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14. RI/FI	1400L			

COMMENTS

NOTE: If a copy or attachments are removed from the attached document, note the location of the copy and/or attachment hereon. If this RECORD COPY is to be retained in the files at the Desk, call the Central File Section for permanent loan.

this dispatch has been assigned the wrong 201 number. the Subject of this dispatch is David TSITSICHVILI 201-233477 doc was never abstracted

FILE TITLE

TZITZICHVILI, DAVID

FILE NUMBER: 201 233477

ENCLOSURE

ABSTRACT X

DATE PROCESSED: 13 June 1959

0061 INDEX

FORM NO. 610a 1 OCT. 55

SECRET

SECRET

VIA: AIR

Dispatch No. EGFA 22041
76 6 39

TO : Chief, SR

Date: JUN 13 1958

FROM : Chief of Base, Frankfurt

INFO: EE, WE, COS, PARIS

SUBJECT: General - Operational/REDWOOD/REDSOX/AEACRE
Specific - REDSOX Candidate in France

Reference: OPFW 20982, dated 23 May 1958 201-207137

Action requested: Please advise if it is desired that we contact and assess Subject

1. Subject of this dispatch is the person thought to have genuine REDSOX potential mentioned in the first paragraph of reference.

2. Subject was described to us by AEPADDLE before the latter contacted him in France and from the report submitted under reference, it would appear to us that he may be even better than first was thought. We have noted the pros and cons as outlined in reference and would like the opportunity to comment on the latter. It would seem to us that the fact that Subject is on parole ought to make little difference, as far as his candidacy for REDSOX is concerned. Surely a means can be devised to remove him from France so that he will neither be hunted by the French authorities nor become the object of suspicion simply because he has left. He might emigrate to South America, which we probably could help him achieve. He could move to North Africa and disappear from there. If the fact that Subject is on parole is thought to be a handicap because it reflects on his character, we believe that what we wrote about the criminal record of AEOASIS 2 applies equally to this case. The matter of relatives in Georgia being considered as a con is difficult for us to understand, but we assume there must be some special circumstances which make it so. Generally speaking, we should have thought this to be a positive factor. Regarding possible surveillance by the other emigres we submit that such must be assumed in every case, notwithstanding what the Subject in question may think. The presumed surveillance becomes a problem when it is time to remove a candidate for training, which calls for a smooth cover for his disappearance (Cf. EGFA 21407). After all, emigre surveillance can only do so much; it cannot keep close tabs on an individual hourly. About the last point: Unless there are some special reasons not explained in reference why contacting Subject is difficult, we believe that we could do this securely and without attracting attention. The means of authenticating the approach outlined in reference should be ample - assuming that AEPADDLE's arrangements are firm.

3. Unless the Paris Station is in a position to make the contact with Subject, we request permission to do so. If granted, we would visit Gap, contact Subject by means of a photograph which, it would seem, could be supplied by Headquarters. It should be an uncomplicated matter to establish our bona fides. We should then try to arrange for Subject to

CS COPY SECRET ~~201-207137~~ 201-233477

14-00000

SECRET

EGFA 22041
76-6-39

visit Germany (possibly black, if necessary) for full debriefing and assessment. It would seem to us that if we can arrange a visit to Germany, the future problems which would arise concerning his secure removal to AEACRE for training can be worked out. Our contact in Gap with Subject would be coordinated with the Paris Station in detail.

4. If the above suggestion is approved, a reply in the near future is requested so that we shall be able to arrange our schedule accordingly.

AHV
Anthony H. Friganza

APPROVED: Francis M. Bankshire
~~Charles D. Richardson~~
Francis M. Bankshire

Distribution:
3 - SR
2 - EE
2 - WE
2 - CCS
2 - PARIS

SECRET

201-207137 201-233477

SECRET

AIR

OFPW-20932
76-6-39

Chief of Station, Paris

Chief, SR through Chief, WE

INFO: COS, Germany
COS, Frankfurt

REDWOOD REDSOX AEACRE
AEPADDLE Debriefing

ACTION REQUIRED:

Attached for the information of Paris and Frankfurt is a copy of the debriefing of AEPADDLE. The Paris Station has already received some of this information directly from AEPADDLE, but there was probably not enough time for him to pass all of it to Platipodis during their meetings. There are a number of items that the field stations may find of use, but only one person named appears to have any genuine REDSOX potential. This is David TSITSICHVILI (Cf. IV of Attachment No. 2).

Pros	Cons
1. No wife or children	1. On parole
2. Not living in large Georgian colony	2. Relatives in Georgia
3. No occupation	3. Possible emigre surveillance
4. Daring, initiative	4. Difficult to approach without attracting attention

Request Paris comments on feasibility of further efforts--possibility of finding out more about crimes for which Subject and ADAM were sentenced; also about nature of parole and possibility of having it lifted.

MICHAEL RAE

JOHN D. PHILIPSBORN

28 April 1958 SR/DOB/FMcNulty

Attachments: 1. Bona Fides (1 copy)
2. Debriefing (2 copies) UNDER SEPARATE COVER

SECRET 201-233477

14-00000

OFPW-20982
Page 2

Distribution
3 COS, Paris w/1 cc of att # 1 & att # 2
2 COB, Frankfurt w/1 cc of att # 2
2 COS, Germany w/o att
1 RI w/o att
1 WE/3 w/o att
2 DOB File w/1 cc att # 2
1 DOB Chrono

SR/2 __________

SR/COP/FI __________

CWE __________ WE/3 __________ CSR/DOB __________
ERIC W. TIGHT MICHAEL RAE

14-00000

534 ERB/SW/

201: 233477
74-6-32-3

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

ORIG : Francis McNulty
UNIT : SR/DOB 534
EXT : 4705
DATE : 27 June 1958

TO : PARIS FRANKFURT X

FROM : DIRECTOR

CONF : SR 6

INFO : CI, CI/OPS, CI/OA, FI, FI/OPS, EE 4, WE 4, S/C 2

RE Filing (check one): Branch copy filed as indicated
RE Indexing (check one): Marked

JUL 3 16 25 '58
CABLE SECT

DEFERRED | PRIORITY | ROUTINE X | OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE

OUT 96319

TO PARI INFO FRAN FROB CITE DIR 30031

RE EGFA 22041

1. REQUEST UNWEAVE TRACES PROVIDED SUBJECTS NAME CAN BE BURIED AMONG OTHERS.

2. POA REQUESTED FOR SUBJECT.

3. PHOTOS OF SUBJECT WILL BE SENT PARI AND FOB.

END OF MESSAGE

RI: Subj is David Tsitsichuili

RI COPY

7 JUL 1958

SR/2
ac SR/~~COP/FI~~
WE/3

C/WE Robert K. Anderson
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

CSR/DOB MICHAEL RAE
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. Copy No.

FORM 2-58 1389

201-233477

AEPADDLE DEBRIEFING 3 and 4 April 1956

I. Previous Ops of British and Georgian Emigres

A. Georgians involved

1. Noe JORDANIA, late President of Georgian Republic in 1920's.

2. Revaz CASACHVILI, ca. 75 yrs. old. Lives with wife at 133 r. l'Abbe Groult, Paris XV, no phone. Gets Social Security and aid from Georgians.

3. Elisse PATARIDZE, ca. 55-60 yrs. old. President of Georgian Association in Paris and of Georgian National Democrats. Lives with wife and children at 35 r. du Gen. Erlanger, AUteuil 6589. Has grocery store at 84 r. Michelange. Three children: one 20 yrs. old and twins (boy and girl) 14.

4. Levan ZURABICHVILI was possibly involved. AEPADDLE surmised this, although L.Z. did not so state. Ca. 51 yrs. old. Married, boy 7, girl 5. Budget director for SIMCA automobile plant. Lives at 2 r. Jacques Mawas, Phone LEcourbe 0212. Graduate of Ecole des Mines. Father of L.Z. was friend of PATARIDZE and CABACHVILI (National Democrats). L.Z. considers self a National Democrat, but felt need for broader organisation to embrace all non-Socialist Georgians. He has established such an organisation, possibly known as the Comite des Georgiens Libres.

(all the above four have lived in Paris since the 1920's)

5. Fna SOUMBATACHVILI (SOUMBATOFF) was the Georgian contact man with the British.

GABACHVILI and PATARIDZE were in touch with the Chief of British Intelligence" in 1950-53. GABACHVILI stated that he felt the British worked closely with the Americans, and that the chief intended to bring him to the US. GABACHVILI and PATARIDZE did not know why the British dropped the work, but GABACHVILI felt that after 1953 the British had turned the Near East over to the US. GABACHVILI has not been in touch with the Americans.

B. Agents used by the British

1. Mamia BERESHVILI

Lives in Leuville near Paris. Age ca. 36-40. Employed as a chemist and earns ca. 60,000 - 80,000 francs per month. Hgt. ca. 5'8", wgt. ca. 175 lbs., dark curly hair, dark round face, presently wears goatee and moustache. ASPADDLE knew BERESHVILI before. All BERESHVILI's family were killed or deported. He is anti-Russian and anti-Communist. He was very close to Noe JORDANIA. He has some writing ability, and had an article published last month in a journal of the anti-Russian group of the Georgians (possibly known as Notre Patrie) which is published by Micha STOUROUA (STURWA). BERESHVILI belongs to this group and writes for newspapers. He went two or three times to Georgia on illegal missions. The last time he was wounded in the lower right leg, and was helped by a companion. He did not service the customary dead drop the last time because of his leg. The method of crossing involved use of uniforms of Turkish army officers, and the assistance of another Georgian and two Turks. At night they changed to civilian clothes and crossed over. BERESHVILI says there are organised Georgian groups willing to fight the Russians.

Since 1953 BERESHVILI has been contacted two or three times by the Americans, but nothing has come of this, and he feels the Americans are not following up properly. He said the latest attempt involved a letter written to him in Russian, giving him a telephone number to call. Before calling it he tried to trace the number through connections of his wife's. He feels that contact with Georgia is important if the emigration organizations are to be representative of the feelings in the homeland.

Beginning in 1945 BERESHVILI was in the French Army for two or three years. This was to avoid being sent back to the USSR. In 1948 he had a grocery store. AEPADDLE feels that BERESHVILI would be willing to go again. AEPADDLE asked BERESHVILI about the conflict of interest between the emigres and the sponsoring country. BERESHVILI said he feels it is possible to satisfy both interests.

Note: Georgian Soviet ballet troupe which visited Paris in January - February 1958 knew of BERESHVILI's missions to Georgia.

2. Fnu DJAPADZE (CHAPADZE)

Now lives in London. Born 1912. Married, has children. Was in close contact with CABACHVILI and PATARIDZE. Went to Georgia in 1951 and 1952. AEPADDLE gathered that DJAPADZE was the partner of BERESHVILI. BERESHVILI said that a clash occurred on the last trip involving politics. He did not mention DJAPADZE, but since they are of different parties, AEPADDLE has connected them. (It is not clear whether this clash occurred on the trip when BERESHVILI was wounded.)

While in London, and later in Paris, AEPADDLE met Irakli MENTETACHVILI, 23 Alexandria Rd., Wimbledon, London SW 19. MENTETACHVILI stated that he has contact in London with the police or intelligence service, and offered to be of service to AEPADDLE. AEPADDLE asked MENTETACHVILI about DJAPADZE. MENTETACHVILI had nothing good to say about him. Considered him a big bluff, who was not properly handled by the British. MENTETACHVILI said the 1953 work was not continued because of the poor quality of DJAPADZE. MENTETACHVILI said that DJAPADZE never actually crossed the border. AEPADDLE discounts some of this, saying that MENTETACHVILI is a very bitter man. CABACHVILI and PATARIDZE said that they have a good, courageous man for entry into Georgia, whom AEPADDLE assumes to be DJAPADZE. They said this man is in London working for British Intelligence.

3. Bagrat TDJADIACHVILI (CHADJASHVILI)

Born 1916 in Georgia. Married to a Russian. Lives at 27 r. Villedieu, Valentigney (Doubs). Taken prisoner in the Crimea by the Germans in 1942 or 1943. After three days he

went into an SS group (in the same group were David KVARIANI and the KALATOZI brothers, Shota and Irakli). The assignment of the group was to serve as security police after the Germans should take Georgia. After the war TODJADIAHVILI ended in Valentignay where he works in a small shop. (Note: There is a fairly large Georgian colony in this small town. Most of them work at the Peugeot automobile plant.) He is emotional, gets drunk easily, and beats his wife. In the summer of 1951 and 1952 he went to England for training, but was never sent because he disagreed with the purposes of the mission. The British were interested in military matters, while he was more interested in political conditions. TODJADIACHVILI was working with the same group as BERESHVILI. TODJADIACHVILI is 5'10", 165 lbs., very excitable after a few drinks. Very nationalistic, can't stand Georgian socialists. He did not mention any associates in England. He considered all his assignments to be of a petty nature. AEPADDLE feels that he is interested to work, however he lives in a small town where everyone knows about his previous training.

II. Other persons of possible interest

1. Toutouni AKHMEDIANI

Lives at 31 r. Villedieu, Valentignay (Doubs). Stated that quite a few Georgians in Valentignay have worked for or are under the influence of the Soviets. Several are planning to go back. AKHMEDIANI is excitable, over 50, has rheumatism and eye trouble. Feels that action is necessary and has the desire, but knows his limitations. Fought with the Germans against the Soviets.

2. Shota STOUROUA (STURWA)

Lives in Paris area, not married. Anit-Soviet, but AEPADDLE had no chance to find out how far. Age 36 or 37, physically strong, has desire to work. Is elevator repair man, with plenty of opportunity to move around. Platipodis has means of reaching STOUROUA through Irakli KALATOZI, 67 r. Jean Jaures, Vanves (Seine).

3. Sergo (?) KOKREIDZE (GOKH- KHOKH-)

Lives 5 r. Leconte de Lisle (Metro Eglise d'Auteuil) c/o Mrs. Kodia. Does not live there but visits often. Is in close contact with Ilo DJABADARI, artists' agent, 16 r. du Cherche Midi, Pari XVI, Phone Littre 1909. DJABADARI is an agent for ballets and movies. Trying to set up a Georgian ballet together with Sergo KOKREIDZE. Have 12 boys and girls in troupe, want to expand to 30 members and come to US. Expect to tour Spain in near future. Sergo KOKREIDZE is about 5'8", 140 - 150 lbs. Is a dancer, thin body and face. Light hair, part bald. Narrow moustache. 41 years old. Is a W W II escapee with friends in Georgia. AEPADDLE is of the opinion that man not suitable for our specific purposes, but willing to do something. Can write.

4. Alyosha ABACHIDZE

Expressed wish to work. Lives at 6 r. Mont Blanc, Paris XV. Is over 50, 5'10" or 11", 200 lbs. Round face, bald. Emotional, flushes easily. Anti-Socialist. Works as a messenger for the Indian Embassy, earns 30,000 - 35,000 francs per month. Widower, no family. Worked with Germans, apparently as an agent trainer. Strong, fast thinking, has initiative. Not a talker or writer, but an action man. Had some recent lung trouble. Willing to go to Gerogia. Believes best means of contact with Georgia is through Turks of Georgian descent who live near Georgian border and who could aid in crossing. Doesn't believe in frequent crossing.

5. Irakli KALATOZI

Age about 36 - 37. French citizen. Keeps in touch with travellers from Georgia. Thinks in terms of hot war situation. Fears that action now might jeopardize existing Georgian underground organization. Feels that even top Georgian Communists have more ability and a larger following than the emigre leaders. 5'8", 160 lbs., bald, married, two daughters, moustache, drives taxi. Trained as a chemist. W W II escapee.

6. Revas GOGITIDZE

Has new name (unknown to AEPADDLE). About 38 years old. French citizen. Well-balanced, intelligent. Was medical student in Georgia. Now is chemist. Makes ca. 80,000 francs per month. Wife Nelli nee MDIVANI. Has son and daughter. Lives at 53 Boul. St. Michel, Phone DAnton 7829. W W II escapee. Strong man, 6'1", 180 lbs. Former international volley ball player. Long face, curly hair, no moustache. Never expressed any interest in active work. Loves money. Likes France.

7. Mathe KERESELIDZE

Le Vesinet (near Paris). Phone PRincess 1743. Ca. 45 years old (appears 55). Lives with father, Gogi, and brother, Louloa.

Wife Maia. Daughter Nina, 22 years old, works for 20th Century Fox, and wants to come to the US. She has a business education. She is a French citizen. Mathe was born in Tiflis, left at age 3, and was educated in Germany. He and brother sent to France in early 30's to learn French. The family followed later. In 1941 Mathe volunteered to help the Germans fight the Soviets. In 1942 he was sent on a parachute mission from the Crimea to Georgia. He was caught after hiding with a farmer for three months. His companion was killed and he was wounded in the leg. KERESELIDZE kept his German uniform all the time he was in prison. A military court considered him a POW, but the NKVD sentenced him to 20 years for espionage. He was in several camps. His family attempted to get word of him through the French and German embassies in Moscow. They spent their own funds, and received aid from Artchil TSITSICHVILI. KERESELIDZE was released from pirson in 1957 and arrived in France in September. He refused to return to Tiflis or to take Soviet citizenship. His teeth are poor and he is in need of rest. He plans to go to Germany for medical and financial assistance as a former soldier. He will also attempt to finish the memoirs he has started. He will also attempt to find work through former German comrades, and may ask for German or French citizenship. Still wants to fight Communism, and does not fear reprisals against self or family. Interested in becoming an advisor on Soviet affairs, or in working for Voice of America. Knows Georgian, Russian, German, and French. Has fair knowledge of English.

14-00000

8. Sergo TSOULADZE

Born in Georgia. Ca. 41 years old. Educated in France. (Picture included in AEPADDLE's movies.) Graduate of Paris Medical School. French Army 1939 - 1940) Worked for French underground in WW II in Communist section. Was eager Communist at end of war; member of Party. Asked for permission to return to Georgia after war, but did not actually go until March 1958. Married to French girl, Madeleine, also Communist. Wife attended Moscow Youth Festival 1957. Have son and daughter. TSOULADZE is 5'10", 185 lbs., reddish moustache, heavy face, grey curly hair. Illegally practiced medicine in France. Subject's oldest sister Tamara TALY (TALASHASHVILI) told AEPADDLE's wife that only two days before receiving Soviet visa Subject had stated his intention to remain in France, take French citizenship, and become a legal doctor. Subject has another sister, Ninouka, who is married to Shota KALATOZI. She and her husband are considering repatriating, but since Shota was in the SS, he is afraid of the consequences. Shota and Sergo TSOULADZE devised a code to advise Shota whether he should repatriate or not. Shota is to inform AEPADDLE of any such message. Shota was formerly an engineer, is now a taxi driver. AEPADDLE feels that Sergo TSOULADZE is no longer a firmly convinced Communist. Sergo stated that he plans to do research at the University of Tiflis, and to keep out of politics. He plans to see Helene TSITSICHVILI in Tiflis.

9. Gouram TSOULADZE

Is a cousin of Sergo. He is 5'11", 190 lbs, round face, light hair, heavy moustache. He is an officerworker for Air France. He is 22 or 23 years old. He went to the Moscow Youth Festival in 1957 with a French football team. He stayed in Moscow about two months, using money he made selling watches and pullovers. He plans to go back to the USSR this summer, and is looking for funds to finance his trip and black market activities. Gouram told AEPADDLE if this does not materialize, he will try to go the US instead. AEPADDLE overheard GOURAM tell Sergo he would see him in September. PADDLE considers Gouram to be not too secure or substantial. He is not well educated. He says little. Sergo has been a strong influence in

his life. AEPADDLE stated he was non-committal to Platipodis in evaluating Couram. Couram lives with his father Bagrat on Square Leon Guillot.

10. Kalistrat SALIA (SSALIA)

Born 26 August 1900. Wanted to see AEPADDLE, but there was no opportunity. Address 8 r. Berlics. Not working, except on Georgian publication. Travels to Germany and Belgium. No visible means of support. Publishes journal in French. AEPADDLE says he has best brains and is most influential individual in Georgian emigration. From 1945 - 1948 he was closely involved with the Soviet Embassy in Paris. He helped distribute Soviet films, and was associated with the repatriation movement. In 1953 or 1954 Levan ZURABICHVILI was Micha KEDIA in Geneva, shortly before KEDIA died. KEDIA worked for Germans during WW II, and warned ZURABICHVILI that SALIA was a Soviet agent. SALIA has a good literary journal, and AEPADDLE said that he tries to nullify emigre political activities by tying up some of the best minds in purely cultural activities. GABACHVILI and ZURABICHVILI say SALIA is a Soviet agent, but that they don't know what to do about it. AEPADDLE says SALIA is in close contact by mail with Givi KOBAKHIDZE who works for VOA in Washington.

11. Jora ASSATIANI

35 years old. Married to a French girl, has one child. Lives in Leuville (apparently in communal house with BERESHVILI et.al.). Wife is a school teacher. Subject arrived in Paris in 1947, after escaping forced repatriation. Applied for US visa in 1949, but did not come because of lack of friends here. Works at Indian Embassy. Wants to fight communism. Claims his job gives him contact with legal travellers to the Soviet Union, who could be used for taking in letters, newspapers, etc. Subject is well educated, and is close to some of the higher officials in the Indian Embassy, whom he considers Communists. His French is good, and his English fairly good. Subject said he could not go back to the USSR on a mission himself. He is 5'6", 170 lbs., bald, round face, light complexion, quick thinker, well dressed. Well-regarded by all who know him. Feels that the older generation of emigres is too rigid. Considers BERESHVILI a good asset, also Sergo KOKHREIDZE.

III. Miscellaneous

1. The following is given as evidence of Soviet activity among Georgians in France:

a. The following have received telephone calls from Tiflis:

Leo SARDJVELADZE, from his daughter two phone calls on family matters. The daughter married a WW II escapee who came out in 1943 or 1944 and went back in 1946 or 1947.

Shota KALATOZI, from his mother. He also received several letters in which she said that everything is fine in Georgia but that Shota is old enough to make up his own mind about repatriation.

Themo TAKTAKICHVILI, from his mother who said she may visit him in Paris.

b. The following have received packages from Tiflis containing food and home made candy:

Themo TAKTAKICHVILI
Mrs. Ketho TCHENKELI (CHENKELI)
Georgi CHANCHIACHVILI (SHANSHIASHVILI)

c. Nearly everyone received the Georgian language paper of the Mikhailov Committee in Berlin.

2. CABACHVILI, PATARIDZE and ZURABICHVILI all expressed interest in American support for their group. They stated that the support given to their group as a whole by Polish intelligence before WW II largely contributed toward the readiness of large numbers of Caucasians to fight against the USSR during the war. PATARIDZE said he would like to be sent to Turkey to organize contacts with Georgia. Says he can get necessary papers for trip to Turkey, and has man in mind for such mission (apparently DJAPADZE). PATARIDZE says this operation would cost no more than one million French francs.

14-00000

3. At a convention of National Democrats in Paris, AEPADDLE met A. KIPIANI from London. KIPIANI is a former colonel of the Polish Army and works closely with General ANDERS. KIPIANI suggested working through American intelligence, and will send AEPADDLE a letter for making contact with the Anders group in the US.

4. AEPADDLE carried money (apparently from NY Georgians) to Paris to assist the publication and distribution of an emigre paper.

5. Both the Socialists and National Democrats would like to organise a group for common action. One drawback is that the Socialists apparently want to play down anti-communism (as opposed to Georgian separatism) and also want to have nothing to do with the American Committee in Munich. Noe TSINSADZE, the principal collaborator with the American Committee refused to break the connection or to drop the anti-communist line.

6. There are no really young Georgian-born people in Paris, but there are about 50 or 60 second generation Georgians, who speak French among themselves, but have some interest in Georgian affairs. The visit of the Georgian ballet stimulated this interest, and some of these youngsters are eager to visit Georgia.

7. The Germans are trying to set up an information link with the Caucasus. Concerned with this activity are Micha ALCHIBAYA and fnu MENDE, the German officer in charge who was interested in the Caucasus during WW II. So far nothing concrete has been accomplished. AEPADDLE received this information from PATARIDZE, who has been to Munich in connection with this activity.

David TSITSICHVILI (nickname Dathouna)

Subject is a childhood friend of AEPADDLE. Age - 39 years, height: 5'10", weight: 160 lbs., bald, has moustache. Subject is included in movies taken by AEPADDLE. Subject arrived in France with family from Georgia ca. 1923, and lived in Paris. He was a poor scholar and did not get any kind of diploma from school. He served as a private in the French Army from 1939 to 1940 or 1941 when he was discharged. In 1942 or 1943 he volunteered for work in Germany with the Todt Organization as a truck dirver, but changed to some other kind of work after reaching Germany. While in Germany he assisted French officer POW's to escape, aiding them with false papers, stamps and uniforms. He was able to travel from Berlin to Paris, and AEPADDLE saw him in Paris on one occasion during the war. In 1944 a woman got in touch with him about helping an alleged French officer, and at the railroad station where he was to meet her, he was arrested by the SS (sic). In early 1945 he was sentenced to death for espionage, but managed to drag out his case by implying the existence of a large British-run net of which he was a part. In 1945 he was liberated by the US Army and returned to Paris in the summer of that year. He was unable to find a suitable job, and between 1945 and 1948 he did odd jobs as a draftsman, and tried to market an invention of his own (some kind of engine). He married a French girl about 1946 or 1947, and had two children. In 1948 he began to rob banks, jewellery shops, etc., with a companion, Jean ADAM, a Frenchman who had worked with him during the war assisting French officers. ADAM was caught, and Subject attempted to escape to Spain but was also caught. Because of their war record they were given a "light" sentence -- ten years. Subject was released about one or two years ago, and is required to live in the small town of Gap (Hautes Alpes), under parole to his brother. ADAM has also been released, and is not under restriction as to residence. Subject feels this is unjust discrimination against himself as a non-citizen.

Subject is bored by the quiet life in Gap. His wife and children are not with him, and he has not been able to make contact with them. AEPADDLE said his wife may have divorced him. Subject is now trying to set up an advertising agency, and is also working on inventions and patents. Subject told AEPADDLE that he would like to work for American intelligence, and that he had unsuccessfully tried to reach US Naval intelligence in 1957 through the American Embassy in Paris. AEPADDLE said that Subject has always been interested in this kind of work, and that he has imagination and initiative, and likes action. AEPADDLE feels that Subject's motivation is that he wants to live down his prison sentence and redeem himself as a man. He wants to acquire citizenship where he can feel he belongs (preferably US citizenship). Money is secondary with him. AEPADDLE says that Subject needs to have strict instructions and guidance in order not to go overboard and exceed the proper limits.

AEPADDLE requested and received from Subject two copies of Subject's name written backward. AEPADDLE explained that this would serve as bona fides for anyone who might want to contact Subject in the future.

Subject knows Georgian (AEPADDLE is not sure that he reads or writes well), French, German and a little English. AEPADDLE described him as sensitive, and wanting respect. Subject has no present contact with his family in the USSR. Subject has written a detective novel, but it has not been published. He has also invented a calendar that shows the day of the week for any given date (a slide rule type gadget).

Subject's family

Father: Simon	Mother: Marie (deceased)
Sister: Helene	Brother: Artchil

Subject is now living with his brother Artchil, who is about 41 years old. The address is 1 bis r. de Bellvue, Cap (Hautes Alpes), Phone 1082. Artchil was recently divorced from the daughter of Noe Jordania (late President of Georgian Republic in early 1920's). His two daughters live with him. His former

wife also lives in Gap. They are the only Georgians living there. Artchil was in the French Army until 1943 and is a French citizen. He is a graduate in architecture from the Ecole des Beaux Arts, and is a successful architect in Gap. He is presently in charge of reconstruction of a whole village which had to move because of a new dam. He has changed his name to Artchil de PANASKET (name of a village in Georgia formerly owned by the family). Artchil is financially well-off and is helping other Georgians, including Mathe KERESELIDZE, who has recently returned from Siberia.

In 1943 Subject's father, mother and sister left Paris for Germany, where the daughter worked in an office, and where the father hoped to sell some inventions to the Germans. At that time Helene (who is now about 42 years old) married Irakli LORTKIPANIDZE in Paris. They returned to Paris in 1946 or 1947. The Soviet Embassy was encouraging repatriation, and the father and daughter decided to go back. The mother objected and was supported by her two sons. She finally committed suicide in protest, but they went anyway (father, daughter, her husband and child). The daughter is now teaching French in Tiflis. The father recently had a letter published in the Berlin Georgian newspaper (apprently the Georgian paper of the Mikhailov Committee) urging Georgian emigres to repatriate. AEPADDLE heard that shortly after their return both father and daughter were sent to Siberia for a time.

NOTE: AEPADDLE went to Gap with his wife on his way to Florence. Also there at that time was Levan ZURABICHVILI who happened to be vacationing.

14-00000

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY
SECRET
(When Filled In)

PERSONALITY (201) FILE REQUEST

TO: RI/ANALYSIS SECTION	DATE: 14 Aug 59	ACTION: X OPEN / AMEND / CLOSE
FROM: SR/2/1	ROOM NO.: 1040 K	TELEPHONE: 2027

INSTRUCTIONS: Form must be typed or printed in block letters.

SECTION I: List 201 number, name and identifying data in the spaces provided. All known aliases and variants (including maiden name, if applicable) must be listed. If the identifying data varies with the alias used, a separate form must be used. Write UNKNOWN for items you are unable to complete.

SECTION II: List cryptonym or pseudonym, if assigned. If true name is sensitive, obtain 201 number from 201 Control Desk and complete Section I and Section III. On a separate form, enter the 201 number and complete Section II and Section III. Submit each form separately.

SECTION III: To be completed in all cases.

SECTION I

SENSITIVE / X NON-SENSITIVE	201 NO. 1. 233477	SOURCE DOCUMENT: OFPA-39997

NAME (Last)	(First)	(Middle)	(Title)	SEX 3.
TZITZICHVILI,	David			X M

NAME VARIANT

TYPE NAME 2.	(Last)	(First)	(Middle)	(Title)

RECORD COPY

PHOTO 4.	BIRTH DATE 5.	COUNTRY OF BIRTH 6.	CITY OR TOWN OF BIRTH 7.	OTHER IDENTIFICATION 8.
X YES / NO	D 20 M 12 Y 19	USSR	Tiflis	1. 2. 3.

OCCUPATION/POSITION	OCC/POS. CODE 9.

SECTION II

CRYPTONYM	PSEUDONYM

SECTION III

COUNTRY OF RESIDENCE 10.	ACTION DESK 11.	2ND COUNTRY INTEREST 12.	3RD COUNTRY INTEREST 12A.
FRAN	SR/7		

COMMENTS:

201- 233477

PERMANENT CHARGE	RESTRICTED FILE	SIGNATURE
YES / X NO	YES / X NO	[signature] Minnick

FORM NO. 831 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.
1 OCT 58

SECRET
CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

PUNCHED

(38)